ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT

(April-2018 to March-2019)

&

ACTION PLAN

(April-2019 to March-2020)

TO BE PRESENTED AT

ANNUAL ZONAL WORKSHOP FOR KVK OF ZONE-VIII

(Gujarat, Goa & Maharashtra)

ORGANIZED BY
DIRECTOR, ATARI ZONE-VIII, ICAR, PUNE
HELD AT

INCIAN COUNCIL OF AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH (ICAR)

CENTRAL COASTAL AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE

ELA, VELHA, GOA

During JUNE 14-16, 2019

PREPARED/COMPILED By

Dr. K. P. Baraiya, Senior Scientist & Head Smt. A. K. Baraiya, Scientist Shri S. H. Lakhani, Scientist Dr. J. N. Thaker, Scientist



KRISHI VIGYAN KENDRA

JUNAGADH AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY JAMNAGAR - 361 006 GUJARAT



CONTENT

| §r. | | PARTICULARS | Page No. |
|-----|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1. | GENER. | AL INFORMATION ABOUT THE KVK | 1 |
| | 1.1 | Name and address of KVK with phone, fax and e-mail | 1 |
| | 1.2 | Name and address of host organization with phone, fax and e-mail | 1 |
| | 1.3 | Name of the Senior Scientist & Head with phone & mobile No | 1 |
| | 1.4 | Year of sanction | 1 |
| | 1.5 | Staff Position (as on 31st March 2017) | 1 |
| | 1.6 | Total land with KVK (in ha) | 2 |
| | 1.7 | Infrastructural Development | 2 |
| | 1.8 | A). Details SAC meeting conducted in the year | 4 |
| 2. | DETAIL | S OF DISTRICT | 5 |
| | 2.1 | Major farming systems/enterprises (based on the analysis made by the KVK) | 6 |
| | 2.2 | Description of Agro-climatic Zone & major agro ecological situations (based on soil and topography) | 6 |
| | 2.3 | Soil type | 8 |
| | 2.4 | Area, Production and Productivity of major crops cultivated in the district | 10 |
| | 2.5 | Weather data | 12 |
| | 2.6 | Production and productivity of livestock, Poultry, Fisheries etc. in the district | 13 |
| | 2.7 | Details of Operational area / Villages | 13 |
| | 2.8 | Priority thrust areas | 14 |
| 3. | TECHN | CAL ACHIEVEMENTS | 14 |
| | 3.A | Details of target and achievements of mandatory activities by KVK | 14 |
| | 3.1 | Operational Areas details | 15 |
| | 3.2 | Technology Assessment and refinement | 16 |
| | Α | Abstract on Technology Assessment & Refinement | 16 |
| | В | Achievements on Technology Assessment & Refinement | 17 |
| | С | Details of each OFT for assessment to be furnished in the detail format separately | 19 |
| | D | Details of each OFT for Refinement to be furnished in the detail format separately | 27 |
| | 3.3 | FRONTLINE DEMONSTRATION | 38 |
| | a. | Follow-up for results of FLDs implemented during previous years | 38 |
| | b. | Details of FLDs implemented | 39 |
| | С | Performance of FLD | 45 |
| | 3.4 | Training programme | 47 |
| | 3.5 | Extension programmes | 66 |
| | 3.6 | Production of seed/planting material and bio-products | 67 |
| 4 | Literati | ure Developed/publication | 68 |
| | Α | KVK News Letter | 68 |
| | В | Literature developed | 68 |
| | С | Detail of electronic media | 69 |
| | D | Success stories | 70 |
| | Е | Innovative methodology/technology transfer | 73 |

| | 1 | T | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|--|--|--|--|
| | F | Indigenous technology practices | 73 | | | | |
| 5 | Indicat | e specific training need analysis tools/methodology | 74 | | | | |
| 6 | Linkage | | | | | | |
| 7 | Convergence with other agencies and departments | | | | | | |
| 8 | Innova | tor farmer's meet | 78 | | | | |
| 9 | Farme | rs Field School | 78 | | | | |
| 10 | Techni | cal feedback | 78 | | | | |
| 11 | Techno | ology week celebration | 80 | | | | |
| 12 | Interve | ention on drought mitigation | 81 | | | | |
| 13 | Impact | | 82 | | | | |
| 14 | Kishan | mobile advisory service | 86 | | | | |
| 15 | Perfor | mance of infrastructure in KVK | 86 | | | | |
| 16 | Financ | ial performance | 88 | | | | |
| 17 | Detail | of HRD activity | 89 | | | | |
| 18 | Other | Special programmes celebrated by KVK | 90 | | | | |
| | 18.1 | Establishment of Agricultural Technology Information Centre (ATIC) | 90 | | | | |
| | 18.2 | Other Programme Celebrated | 93 | | | | |
| | 18.3 | Detail of Soil, water and plant analysis | 95 | | | | |
| | APR SU | JMMARY | 96 | | | | |
| ANN. – I Proceeding of the 15 th scie JAU, Jamnagar | | Proceeding of the 15 th scientific advisory committee meeting of Krishi Vigyan Kendra, JAU, Jamnagar | 98 | | | | |
| ANI | N.– II | Research Result of technical Programme | | | | | |
| ANI | N.– III | Proforma for submission of physical and financial progress report of seed village programme | | | | | |
| | ACTIO | N PLAN (April-2018 to March-2019) | 119 | | | | |
| 1 | Detail | of operational area | 119 | | | | |
| 2 | Priority | y thrust area | 119 | | | | |
| 3 | Techni | cal Programme | 120 | | | | |
| | 3.1 | Details of targeted mandatory activities | 120 | | | | |
| | 3.2 | Detail of On Farm Testing Assessment and refinement (OFT) | 120 | | | | |
| | 3.3 | Frontline demonstration | 125 | | | | |
| | 3.4 | Training | 126 | | | | |
| | 3.5 | Extension Activities | 128 | | | | |
| | 3.6 | Target for production and supply of technological products | 129 | | | | |
| 4 | Target | of soil sample analysis | 129 | | | | |
| 5 | Action | plan of infrastructure in KVK | 130 | | | | |
| | Annex | ure | 148 | | | | |
| AN | N.– I | TRAINING PROGRAMMES | | | | | |
| AN | NII | New technical programme | | | | | |
| AN | NIII | Details of budget utilization & Details of Budget Estimate | | | | | |
| | | | 137 | | | | |

ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT-2018-19

(1st APRIL - 2018 TO 31st MARCH-2019)

KRISHI VIGYAN KENDRA JUNAGADH AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY, JAMNAGAR

DETAIL REPORT OF APR-2018-19

1. GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE KVK

1.1. Name and address of KVK with phone, fax and e-mail

| | Telep | hone | | Website address & | |
|------------------------------|---------|---------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Address | Office | FAX | E mail | No. of visitors (hits) | |
| Krishi Vigyan Kendra | (0288) | (0288) | | www.jau.in | |
| Millet Research Station, JAU | 2710165 | 2710165 | kvkjamnagar@gmail.com | 11347096 | |
| Air force Road, Opp. Digjam | | | kvkjamnagar@jau.in | | |
| Mill | | | | | |
| Jamnagar- 361 006 | | | | | |

1.2 Name and address of host organization with phone, fax and e-mail

| Address | Telephon | e | E-mail | Mah addrass | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|------------|-------------|--|
| Address | Office | FAX | E-Maii | Web address | |
| Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh – 362 001 (Gujarat) | PBX 2672080-90 | (0285) 2672653 | dee@jau.in | www.jau.in | |

1.3. Name of the Senior Scientist & Head with phone & mobile No

| | Telephone / Contact | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Name | Residence | Mobile | Email | | | | | |
| Dr. K. P. Baraiya | Senior Scientist & Head Krishi Vigyan Kendra Junagadh Agricultural University, Air force Road, Opp. Digjam Mill Jamnagar- 361 006 | 9427980032 | kvkjamnagar@gmail.com kvkjamnagar@jau.in | | | | | |

1.4. Year of sanction :ZARS (KVK) 2001, Letter No. F.No. 18(4)/99-NATP Dated October 31st, 2001 ICAR (KVK) 2004, Letter No. F.No. 8(1)/2002-AE-II(Pt.) Dated February 5th, 2004

1.5. Staff Position (as on 31st March, 2019)

| SI. No. | Sanctioned post | Name of the | Discipline | If Permanent, Please indicate | | Date of joining | If Temporary, pl. indicate the |
|------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | incumbent | | Current Pay Band | Current Grade Pay | , , | consolidated amount paid (Rs./month) |
| 1 | Senior Scientist | Dr. K.P. | Plant Protection | 37400-67000 | 9000 | 17.08.2006 | |
| | & Head | Baraiya | | | | | |
| 2 | Scientist | Shri S. H. Lakhani | Crop Production | 15600-39100 | 6000 | 30.03.2015 | |
| 3 | Scientist | Vacant | Plant Protection | 15600-39100 | 6000 | | |
| 4 | Scientist | Vacant | Horti./ Ag. Engg | 15600-39100 | 6000 | | |

| 5 | Scientist | Vacant | Ext. Education | 15600-39100 | 6000 | | |
|----|------------------|------------|----------------|-------------|------|------------|---------|
| 6 | Scientist | Dr. J. N. | Fisheries | 15600-39100 | 8000 | 31.08.2006 | |
| | | Thaker | | | | | |
| 7 | Scientist | Smt. A. K. | Home Science | 15600-39100 | 8000 | 17.08.2006 | |
| | | Baraiya | | | | | |
| 8 | Farm Manager | Shri H. S. | Agril. Ento. | 39900- | - | 19.09.2015 | 38090/- |
| | | Godhani | | 126600 | | | |
| 9 | Programme | Shri A. B. | Ext. Education | 39900- | - | 17.10.2018 | 38090/- |
| | Assistant | Parmar | | 126600 | | | |
| 10 | Computer | Shri C. P. | Computer | 39900- | - | 29.12.2008 | |
| | Programmer | Padhiyar | Operator | 126600 | | | |
| 11 | Accountant / | Shri B. H. | Adm. | 39900- | - | 11.6.2008 | |
| | Superintendent | Joshi | | 126600 | | | |
| 12 | Stenographer | Vacant | Adm. | 19900-63200 | | | |
| 13 | Driver | Vacant | Supt. | 19900-63200 | | - | |
| 14 | Driver | Shri. D.M. | Supt. (Fix) | 19900-63200 | | 9.10.2007 | |
| | | Chauhan | | | | | |
| 15 | Supporting staff | Shri B. V. | Supt. | 14800-47100 | | 01.11.2014 | |
| | | Bamaniya | | | | | |
| 16 | Supporting staff | Shri P. S. | Supt. | 14800-47100 | | 1.09.2006 | |
| | | Damor | | | | | |

1.6. Total land with KVK (in ha) : 20.44 ha

| Sl. No. | Item | Area in hectare(s)* |
|---------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | Under Building and Road | 2.00 |
| 2 | Under Demonstration units | 0.70 |
| 3 | Under crops | 12.00 |
| 4 | Orchard | 3.50 |
| 5 | Agro-forestry | 0.24 |
| 6 | Others (Farm Pond & Channels) | 2.00 |
| | Total | 20.44 |

1.7. Infrastructural Development: A) Buildings

| | , | | Stage | | | | | | |
|-----|----------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| SI. | | Complete | | | | Incomplete | | | |
| No. | Name of building | Sourceof funding | Comp- letion Plinth area (Sq.m) Date | | Expen- diture (Rs.) | Star- ting Date | Plinth area (Sq.m) | Status of const-ruction | |
| 1. | Administrative Building | KVK | 15-8-11 | 550 | 5500000 | | | | |
| 2. | Farmers Hostel | KVK | 15-8-11 | 305 | 3000000 | | | | |
| 3. | StaffQuarters (6) | KVK | 15-8-11 | 400 | 4000000 | | | | |
| 4. | Demonstration Units of vegetable | KVK + ATMA | 31-3-07 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 5 | Poly House | RKVY | 31-3-09 | 320 | 281602 | • | - | - | |
| 6 | Net House | RKVY | 31-3-09 | 150 | 64498 | ı | - | - | |
| 7 | Training Hall | RKVY | 20-2-10 | 190.99 | 1395800 | - | - | - | |
| 8 | Process Plant | RKVY | 20-2-10 | 197.31 | 1536400 | ı | - | | |
| 9 | Implement shed | RKVY | 11-2-10 | 77.33 | 297800 | - | - | - | |

| 10 | Rain Water harvestingsystem | KVK | 31-3- 2007 26m×26m (2 Ponds)60m×60m (1 Pond) | | 999000 | - | - | - |
|----|--------------------------------|-----|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------|--------|---|---|---|
| 11 | Fencing | 1 | Not | Available | - | ı | 1 | - |
| 12 | Threshing floor | - | Not | Available | - | - | - | - |
| 13 | Farm godown | - | Not | Available | - | - | - | - |
| 14 | ICT lab | - | Not | Available | - | - | - | - |
| 15 | Other | 1 | Not | Available | - | - | - | - |

B) Vehicles

| Type of vehicle | Year of purchase | Cost (Rs.) | Total kms. Run | Present status |
|-------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Toyota Quallis (GJ-10G 433) | 2004-05 | 490200 | 463568 | Working (it is required to be right up) |
| Hero Honda splender (bike) GJ-10 BB-1634 | 2010-11 | 46475 | 20547 | Working |

C) Equipments & AV aids

| Name of the equipment | Year of purchase | Cost (Rs.) | Presentstatus |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------|---------------|
| Captain Mini Tractor | 2001-02 | 166125 | Working |
| Telephoneline | 2001-02 | 19850 | Working |
| Multi tool carrier complete set | 2001-02 | 6500 | Working |
| Photocopier | 2001-02 | 125000 | Working |
| Over headprojector | 2001-02 | 17600 | Working |
| Computer | 2002-03 | 29500 | Working |
| HP Laser printer | 2002-03 | 20390 | Working |
| U.P.S. (3 KVA) | 2002-03 | 38000 | Working |
| Spectrophotometer | 2005-06 | 89160 | Working |
| Flame photometer | 2005-06 | | Working |
| Physicalbalance | 2005-06 | 10640 | Working |
| Chemicalbalance | 2005-06 | 100000 | Working |
| Water distillation still | 2005-06 | 96118 | Working |
| Kieldahi digestion and distillation | 2005-06 | 49644 | Working |
| Shaker | 2005-06 | 90090 | Working |
| Grinder | 2005-06 | 80080 | Working |
| Refrigerator | 2005-06 | 16772 | Working |
| Oven | 2005-06 | 20550 | Working |
| Hot plate | 2005-06 | 30550 | Working |
| Aspee tractor mounted sprayer | 2006-07 | 32000 | Working |
| Air assisted blower type sprayer | 2009 | 98750 | Working |
| Laptop computer (HCL) | 2009 | 47500 | Working |
| Digital camera (Nikon)P-90 12.1 | 2009 | 24300 | Working |
| Cotton stalk shredder | 2008-09 | 121000 | Working |
| Groundnut digger-tractor operated | 2009 | 78500 | Working |
| Cultivator cum rotavator | 2009 | 90000 | Working |
| Groundnut decorticator | 2009 | 95850 | Working |
| Multi crop thresher | 2009 | 114000 | Working |
| Processing Unit | 2009 | 1685000 | Working |
| Plantar-tractor operator | 2009 | 44000 | Working |
| EPBX System | 2012 | 44000 | Working |
| Vertical Autoclave | 2012 | 78190 | Working |

| Laminar Airflow | 2012 | 127440 | Working |
|-------------------------------------|------|--------|---------|
| Electronic Balance (200 gm) | 2012 | 12600 | Working |
| EC/ Conductivity meter | 2012 | 6300 | Working |
| Portable pH Meter | 2012 | 6300 | Working |
| Compound microscope | 2012 | 4410 | Working |
| Trinocular microscope | 2012 | 112000 | Working |
| Digital temperature & humidity | 2012 | 34750 | Working |
| indicator cum controller | 2012 | 34/30 | |
| Digital TDS meter | 2012 | 3985 | Working |
| Research centrifuse with accesaries | 2012 | 42480 | Working |
| Stabilizer | 2012 | 10440 | Working |
| Hot air oven | 2012 | 41580 | Working |
| BOD incubator | 2012 | 46305 | Working |
| Digital camera SLR (Canon) | 2012 | 44750 | Working |
| AC 1.5 tonn | 2012 | 45990 | Working |

1.8. A). Details SAC meeting conducted in the year

| Sl.No. | Date | Number of Participants | Salient Recommendations | Action taken |
|--------|------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| 1. | 01-10-2005 | 21 | - | - |
| 2. | 07-10-2006 | 30 | - | - |
| 3. | 02-11-2007 | 31 | - | - |
| 4. | 17-10-2008 | 30 | - | - |
| 5. | 14-09-2009 | 33 | - | - |
| 6. | 29-4-2010 | 35 | - | - |
| 7. | 07.04.2011 | 37 | - | - |
| 8. | 10.04.2012 | 32 | - | - |
| 9. | 02.04.2013 | 37 | - | - |
| 10. | 27.12.2013 | 26 | - | - |
| 11. | 21.02.2015 | 25 | - | - |
| 12. | 29.01.2016 | 22 | - | - |
| 13. | 25.10.2016 | 27 | - | - |
| 14. | 12.04.2018 | 30 | - | - |
| 15. | 25.03.2019 | 35 | As below | As below |

The Fifteenth Scientific Advisory Committee meeting of Krishi Vigyan Kendra, JAU, Jamnagar was held at Training Hall, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, JAU, Porbandar (Khapat) on 25th March, 2019.

Committee made the following recommendation after active interaction.

| SI. | Name and Designation of | Salient Recommendations | Action taken |
|-----|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| No. | Participants | | |
| 1 | Dr. A. R. Pathak, Hon'ble | Arrange FLD on latest variety of pearl | Suggestion accepted and |
| | Vice Chancellor, JAU, | millet | incorporated in action |
| | Junagadh | | plan |
| | | Arrange training on micro irrigation | Suggestion accepted and |
| | | system | incorporated in action |
| | | | plan |
| | | Analyze maximum soil and water | Suggestion accepted and |
| | | sample at KVK Soil Testing Laboratory | incorporated in action |
| | | | plan |
| 2 | Dr. V. P. Chovatiya, Director | Arrange training on processing of | Suggestion accepted and |
| | of Research, JAU, Junagadh | dragon fruit and pearl millet | incorporated in action |
| | | | plan |

| | | \ | Arrange training on horticultural crops cultivation | Suggestion accepted and incorporated in action plan |
|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | A | Informed farmers in advance about weather and technical suggestion on precaution measures through SMS | Suggestion accepted and implemented |
| 3 | Dr. P. V. Patel, Director of Extension Education, JAU, Junagadh | \ | Presentation of SAC should be in English and vocal language should be in Gujarati | Suggestion accepted and implemented |
| 4 | Shri Vitthalbhai Sanghani progressive farmers of Jamnagar | A | Increase organic farming and advice about dangerousness effect of chemical on human being. | Suggestion accepted and incorporated in action plan. Maximum emphasis on organic farming in every programme. |

^{❖ 15&}lt;sup>th</sup> SAC proceeding along with list of participants in Annexure -1.

2. DETAILS OF DISTRICT

The district of Jamnagar is lies in North Saurashtra Agro climatic zone(VI) with an area of 35.02 lakh hectare land. The total geographical area of entire district (21.8 – 22 ON, 69.0 – 70.7 E) occupies 14125 km² i.e. 14.125 lakh ha area in the west of Gujarat state. The climate is arid (80%) and semi arid (20%) with a mean moisture index of 67.5. About 95 to 98% of annual rainfall comes during the monsoon month of June to October, July and August being the rainiest months. The co-efficient of variation ranges between 50 and 82%. The annual potential evapo-transpiration ranges between 1500 and 1650mm, three times the precipitation, resulting in no flow in the ephemeral channels for the most of the year. The district is a water scarcity area droughts are common in this region draughts of moderate to severe intensity occur once in 2 to 3 years. Although the integrated drainage system from the story/rocky/gravelly surfaces and torrential nature of precipitation generate 40 to 60% of rainfall as runoff, steeper slopes and absence of checks allow the water to quickly flow to the sea. Being is hard rock terrain, the groundwater potential is very low, is already over exploited and mined, resulting in either the saline water ingress in the costal aquifers, or drying up of the ground water up to a depth of 100m. Consequently a need for holistic approach to water resource development in the district. Wind velocity prevailing in the district is higher order (14.1 km) ha on an annual average basis due to sea coast area.

According to physiographically, major portion of the area in the district have an altitude ranging between 25 to 150 meters, which consists ten taluka having gentle slope to moderate slope. The district is marked by radical drainage pattern. Deccan trap basalt occupies a major part of the district. The Quaternary formations include milliolite, limestone, alluvium and Geolian sediments. The dominant land forms are colluvial plains and rocky uplands. Low hills occur in the southern part of district and are dissected by numerous large and small seasonal streams, most of which drain towards north and form potential drainage basins. The district is characterized by shallow, black soil and coastal alluvial soils with large variations in depth, texture, structure salinity, and water erosion. Nearly two third area of the district is under cultivation. The major factors of land degradation are accelerated water erosion and Salinization.

Basic information of operational district, Jamnagar and Devbhumi Dwarka:

| Sr. No. | Details | etails JAMNAGAR | |
|------------|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| 1 | Total geographical area | 6.075 lakh ha. | 4.07509 lakh ha. |
| 2 | Total cultivable area | 4.32 lakh ha. | 2.52 lakh ha. |
| 3 | Net cultivated area | 3.53 lakh ha. | 2.38 lakh ha |

| 4 | Total are aunder forest | 0.43 lakh ha. | | 0.1736 lakh ha | |
|----|--------------------------|----------------|-------|---------------------|-------|
| 5 | Total irrigated area | 0.939 lakh ha. | | 0.23092 lakh ha. | |
| 6 | Number of holdings | 1.44 lakh | | 1.17 lakh | |
| 7 | Average annual rainfall | 550 mm. | | 550 mm. | |
| 8 | Soil type | Medium black | | Medium black | |
| 9 | Total number of villages | 419 (8 city) | | 280 (8 city) | |
| | Totalpopulation | 13.89 lakh (20 |)11) | 7.48 lakh (201 | .1) |
| 10 | (a) Male | 7.18lakh . | | 3.84lakh . | |
| | (b) Female | 6.71 lakh | | 3.64lakh . | |
| 11 | Literacy percentage | Rural | Urban | Rural | Urban |
| 11 | a. Male | 86.95 | 79.55 | 76.14 | 80.74 |
| | b. Female | 76.22 | 62.18 | 55.41 | 61.36 |
| | | 6 (Six), | | 4 (Four) | |
| | | Jamnagar | | Jamkhambhalia | |
| 12 | Number of talukas | Dhrol | | Jamkalyanpur | |
| 12 | Number of talukas | Jodiya | | OkhaMandal (Dwarka) | |
| | | Kalavad | | Bhanvad | |
| | | Lalpur | | | _ |
| | | Jamjodhpur | | | |

2.1 Major farming systems/enterprises (based on the analysis made by the KVK)

| S. No | | | | Farming system/enterprise |
|----------|---------|-----------------------|---|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | Crops | Cereals | : | Pearl millet, Sorghum, Wheat, Maize |
| | | Pulses | : | Greengram, Blackgram, Chickpea, pigeonpea |
| | | Oilseeds | : | Groundnut, Sesamum, Castor, Mustard, |
| | | Cash crops | : | Cotton, |
| | | Spices and condiments | : | Cumin, Fennel, Coriander, ajwan, Ishabgul |
| | | Vegetables | | Onion, garlic, potato, chilli, binjal, tomato, cauliflower, Cowpea, cabbage, okra, peach, cucurbits etc |
| | | Horticulture | : | Chiku, pomegranate, lemon (Citrus), Jamun, Aonla, guava, custard apple, papaya, coconut, ber, Almond, Banana, Dragon fruit, Drum stick |
| | | Floriculture | : | Rose, merry gold, vevanti, etc |
| | | Other Crops | : | Chikori, Fenugreek, Mulberi neem |
| 2 | Live | Bullocks and cows | | |
| | stock | Buffaloes | | |
| | | Sheep | | |
| | | Goats | | |
| | | Horse and camel | | |
| | | Poultry | | |
| | | Others animals | | |
| 3. | Fishery | 340 km coastal belt | | 4832 tonnes fish production |

2.2 Description of Agro-climatic Zone&major agro ecological situations (based on soil and topography)

a) Soil type

| <u>u, 00.11 t</u> | ,,,, | |
|-------------------|----------|-----------------|
| | Agro- | |
| S. No | climatic | Characteristics |
| | Zone | |

| Zone- | North | The influence area of North Saurashtra Agroclimatic Zone is spread among five |
|-------|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| VI | Saurashtra | districts viz., Amreli (7 taluukas out of 10), Bhavnagar (7 talukas out of 14), Jamnagar |
| | | (all the 10 talukas), Rajkot (9 talukas of 13) and Surendranagar (6 talukas out of 9) |
| | | covering 39 talukas in all. The influence area of the zone lies between 21°-02′ to |
| | | 23°-16' North Latitude and 68°-56' to 72°-12' East Longitude. It is founded in the |
| | | north by the Gulf of Kutch and parts of Rajkot as well as Surendranagar districts, in |
| | | the East by the Ahmedabad district and ncoastal part of Bhavnagar district, on the |
| | | South by the Junagadh district and parts of Amreli as well as Rajkot district, to the |
| | | west by Are bian sea. |
| | | The North Saurashtra region which comprises the peninsular part of Gujarat has |
| | | low to medium rainfall and shallow to medium black soils and also coastal saline |
| | | alluvial soils. In this Agro-climatic zone, cotton (Bt), groundnut, pearl millet, wheat |
| | | are the major crops which contribute considerably to the economy of the state. In |
| | | Saurashtra, among this zone taking in to consideration the rainfall pattern, the |
| | | topography, soil characteristics, the climate and the cropping pattern have been |
| | | identified in Gujarat. The North Saurashtra zone have five main / sub station cum |
| | | testing centre of University like Dry Farming Research Station with KVK, Targhadia |
| | | (Rajkot District), Main Millet Research Station with KVK, Jamnagar, Oilseeds |
| | | Research Station (Sesamum, Mustard, Sunflower) with KVK, Amreli, Dry Farming |
| | | Research Station, Nanakandhasar, (Surendranagar District) and Dry Farming |
| | | Research Station, Jamkhambhalia (Jamnagar District). |

b) Topography

Agro - Ecological situation in the District

The advent of southwest monsoon greatly influences seasonal patterns of rainfall distribution in the district. Thus, mean annual rainfall provides useful comparison of agricultural potential of a given situation in the district. The mean rainfall in the district 539.17mm

The physiography of entire region of district is more or less flat. However, the region is undulating with slopes having little hilly areas from 25 to 150 meters Physical features of the area vary from flat landto 150 meters above mean sea level. Most of the area falls in the range of 25m to 150m above mean sea level.

Based on the soil survey information of the zone, the soils of the district hence been broadly classified in tofine categories Available information about the properties of these soils and their textures has been considered. The types of soils categories are as under: -

Shallow black soils

Medium black soils

Saline alkali soils

Costal alluvial soils

Hilly soils

While delineating the zoneinto district agro ecological situations, there major factors including various soil types, altitude and the rainfall patterns have primarily been considered. The district can be delineated into five agro ecological situations.

Although, each of the situations has rainfed and irrigated condition, but irrigation has not been considered in identification of the agro ecological situations. While deciding the major crops, cropping patterns and constraints in production, mention has been made of both these conditions one or the other agro ecological situation occurs in the influence area of the district. The fact that this does not preclude the existence of more than one agro ecological situations within the same area.

| SI. No. | Agro Ecological Situation | Soil textur e | Altitude | Principal crops | Special features | Approximate area (000ha) | Taluka included | Characteristic s |
|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| AES- 1 | Shallow Black soils with 500-600 mm Rainfall | Sandy clay loam to clayey | 75 – 150 | , wheat, sorghum, | Well drained soils with rapid permeability | 124 | Kalawad, Jamjodhpur, Bhanvad, Okha | Moisturestres s, temperaturest ress |
| AES- 2 | Shallow Black soils with 600-700 mm Rainfall | Clayey | 75 – 150 | , wheat, sorghum, | Slightly well drained soils with rapid permeability | 180 | Part of Kalyanpur, Jamnagar, Jamkhambhalia, Lalpur, Dhrol, Jodia | Moisturestres s, temperature stress |
| AES- | Coastal Alluvial soils with 300-400 mm Rainfall | Clayey loam to clayey | 50 | Groundnut , pearlmillet , sorghum, chickpea | Low nitrogen and phosphus | 181 | Jodia, part of Okha, Jamkhambhalia, Kalyanpur& Jamnagar | Salt affected salinity |
| AES- 4 | Coastal Alluvial soils with 500-700 mm Rainfall | Silt clay | 25-50 | Groundnut , pearlmillet , sorghum, chickpea | Low nitrogen and phosphorus | 299 | Kalyanpur, Jodia& Jamnagar, Khambhadia, Lalpur, Dwarka | Salt affected salinity |
| AES-5 | Coastal Alluvialshallo w black soils with 300-400 mm Rainfall | Sandy Ioam toclay Ioam | 0-25 | Sorghum, Pearlmillet, Groundnut , Sesamum | Aridclimate | 31 | Okha | Known salinityforgen us ephedra seacoast very rich in Alghlflor and fanner of economic importance. |

2.3 Soil type

As the geographical formation of Saurashtra is to volcanic origin, the soils are generally desired from basaltic rock known as Daccan trap. This is the commonest rock in India and due to its extensive occurrence in south is called "Daccan Traps". In many parts, they6 have flat top features and hence, are also known as plateau basalt. The trap rocks, which occupy a large part of western cost of India, is also covering North Saurashtra zone. The most common colour of the trap rock in the region is dark grey. On weathering, trap rock form a ferruginous gravelly material known as murrum, which under lie-soil formed in situ. Soils, thus derived are either brown red in colour or regular, the black soil. In district black or

brown colour is predominant. The soils are shallow to moderately deep. The detailed soil survey information for the soils of Jamnagar district are as under.

| S. | Soil | Charactaristics | Aros in hs |
|----|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| No | type | Characteristics | Area in ha |
| 1 | Shallow black soils | These soils have developed from basaltic trap especially from granite and gneiss parent materials. They light grey in colour. Taxonomically, they are classified as <i>Ustorthents</i> and <i>Ustochrepts</i> . Soils depth varies for cm to 45 cm. They are gravelly but mainly they are sandy clay loam to clayey in texture. The clay on tent in surface soil varies from 20% to 77.49% and calcium carbonate content varies from 3.76 to 26.71 per cent. The soil structure is weak, mainly sub angular blocky and occasionally crumb. Since these soils lack district profile layering and are shallow, capacity to retain moisture is not sufficient. | (Kalawad, |
| | | The soils are neutral to alkaline in reaction p^H ranges from 7.3 – 8.4) and from fertility point of view, these are medium in available nitrogen, low to medium in available phosphorus and adequate in availability of potash. | |
| 2. | soils | The major portion of Jamnagar (Some part of Kalyanpur, KHambhaliya& Jamnagar, major part of Lalpur, Dhrol, Jodiataluka is covered under medium black soils. These residual soils have basaltic trap parent materials. These soils vary in depth from 30 to 60 cm or more at few places. They are calcareous in nature. A layer of murrum (Unconsolidated material of decomposed trap and limestone) is generally found in sub soil layer. The drainage does not pose any problem, because of porous sub soil layer. Morphologically, the profile of these soils has A-C horizon characteristics, having moderate sub angular blocky structure. They are plastic and sticky and hard in consistency on drying. The colour of these soils varies from very dark brown to light grey. Taxonomically, these soils are classified as <i>Ustochrepts</i> in <i>Inceptisol</i> order. The soils are dominated by smectite group of clay minerals which give to mild cracking in dry season, due to which these are further classified as <i>Vertic – Ustochrepts</i> at sub group level. The soils are clay loam to clayey in texture. The souls are highly retentive of moisture because higher percentage of clay content. The percentage of clay content in the surface varies from 31.79 to 73.27 per cent, while no definite trend of clay content in different horizon of the profile is observed. The chemical composition of these soils is neutral to alkaline reaction (p ^H 7.4 to 8.9). Calcium is the dominant exchangeable cation followed by magnesium. The soils are generally low to medium in available nitrogen, phosphorus and adequately supplied with potassium. The calcium carbonate contents various from 5.26 to 20.36 per cent in these soils. | (Part of Kalyanpur, Jamnagar, Jamkham- bhalia, Lalpur, Dhrol, Jodia) |
| 3. | Saline alkali soils | Saline alkali souls are extensively distributed on the coastal are3a as well as inlands. These soils are located in the districts of Jamnagar (Jodia, part of Okhamandal, Kalyanpur, Jamkhambhaliya and jamnagartalukas). These soils are originated as a result of higher water table, low rainfall and high evaporation losses during summer months resulting into upward movement of salts, poor drainage, use of saline ground water and ingress | (Jodia, part of Okha, Jamkhambhal ia, |

| | | of sea water (in coastal areas). The souls are classified as Fluvaquents, | Kalyanpur& |
|----|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| | | Halaquents, andHaplaquents (Entisol): Haplaquents and Haptaquepts in | Jamnagar) |
| | | order – Inceptisol. Texturally these soils vary from sandy loam to clay. The | |
| | | degree of salinity and alkalinity is also highly variable. | |
| | | In Jamnagar district, the saline and alkaly soils are widely distributed | |
| | | mainly termed as coastal soil. The soils are sandy loam to clay loam in | |
| | | texture. The EC varies from 1.54 to 38.6 m.mhos/cm and ESP ranges from | |
| | | 9.2 to 74.64% in surface soil. The p^H varies from 7.6 to 9.00 in surface soils | |
| | | and normally calcareous in nature. Most of these soils are low to medium | |
| | | in available nitrogen and phosphorus and high in available potash. | |
| 4. | Costal | these soils are located in the district of Jamnagar consisting Kalyanpur, Jodia | 299000 ha |
| | alluvials | and Jamnagar, Jamkhambhadia, Lalpur, Dwarka (OkhaMandal) and Dhrol, | (Kalyanpur, |
| | oils | talukas. These soils are sandy clay loam to clay in texture. These soils are | Jodia& |
| | | also affected with salts and are saline sodic in nature. The surface soil varies | Jamnagar, |
| | | from 1.54 to 38.6 m.mhos/cm in Electrical conductivity, and from 9.2 to | Khambhadia, |
| | | 74.64 in Exchangeable sodium percentage. The soil reaction varies with | Lalpur, |
| | | situation ranging from moderately alkaline or highly alkaline (p^H 7.6 to 9.0). | Dwarka) |
| | | The souls are normally medium in fertility. Taxonomically, these souls are | |
| | | classified as <i>Halaquents</i> and <i>Haplaquents</i> – Entisol and <i>Helaquepts</i> and | |
| | | Hapdaquents in Inceptisol order. | |
| 5. | Hilly | These soils occur in some parts Bhanvad and Jamjodhpurtalukas of | 31000 ha |
| | soils | Jamnagar district. Because of the steep slope and erosion, the profile is not | (Some part of |
| | | developed. These soils are developed because of weathering of parent | Bhanvad and |
| | | materials existing basaltic trap limestone and sand stone. These soils are | Jamjodhpur) |
| | | shallow to moderately deep and are coarse to find in their texture. The | |
| | | texture varies from loamy sand to clay loam to clay. They have under | |
| | | composed rock fragments and are low in fertility status. These soils are | |
| | | placed in to <i>Ustorthents</i> and those near foothills and valley are | |
| | | comparatively deeper can be placed under <i>Ustochrept</i> sand can be | |
| | | classified under estisol and <i>Inceptisol</i> orders respectively. | |

2.4. Area, Production and Productivity of major crops cultivated in the district

| | | | Jamnagai | • | Devbhumi Dwarka | | | |
|-------|-----------------------|-----------|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------------|--|
| S. No | Crop | Area (ha) | Production (Qtl) | Productivity (Qtl /ha) | Area (ha) | Production (Qtl) | Productivity (Qtl /ha) | |
| | Oilseeds | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Groundnut | 132795 | 5168380 | 38.92 | 245540 | 9821600 | 40.00 | |
| 2 | Sesamum | 4822 | 23660 | 4.91 | 1458 | 6124 | 4.20 | |
| 3 | Castor | 7095 | 243950 | 34.38 | 280 | 9800 | 35.00 | |
| 4 | Soybean | 1793 | 12855 | 7.17 | 16 | 112 | 7.00 | |
| | Total Oilseeds | 214358 | 0 | 0.00 | 177640 | 0 | 0.00 | |
| | Cash Crops | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Cotton | 173236 | 5112160 | 29.51 | 7204 | 194508 | 27.00 | |
| 6 | sugarcane | 82 | 4101 | 50.00 | 68 | 3399 | 50.00 | |
| | Total Cash Crops | 98753 | 0 | 0.00 | 81837 | 0 | 0.00 | |
| | Food Grain | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Wheat | 7030 | 265030 | 37.70 | 51570 | 1753380 | 34.00 | |
| 8 | Pearlmillet | 242 | 6490 | 26.82 | 3278 | 91784 | 28.00 | |
| 9 | Sorghum | 4429 | 46508 | 10.50 | 3671 | 40377 | 11.00 | |

| Total Food Grains | 7.00 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Pulse Crops | 0.00 |
| 11 Greengram 2346 17100 7.29 1839 13793 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 | |
| 12 | 7.50 |
| 13 Cowpea 156 586 3.76 129 409 14 Pigeon pea 3729 77190 20.70 500 10055 15 Moothbean 197 827 4.20 163 589 16 Chickpea 10222 158030 15.46 21078 313425 17 Cluster bean 41 769 18.75 34 617 18 18 Chter pulses 8 0 0.00 7 Total Pulses 21493 0 0.00 17812 | 7.30 |
| 14 Pigeon pea 3729 77190 20.70 500 10055 105 106 Noothbean 197 827 4.20 163 5829 162 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 163 1 | 3.17 |
| 15 Moothbean 197 827 4.20 163 589 16 Chickpea 10222 158030 15.46 21078 313425 17 Cluster bean 41 769 18.75 34 617 18 Other pulses 8 0 0.00 7 | 20.11 |
| 16 Chickpea 10222 158030 15.46 21078 313425 17 Cluster bean 41 769 18.75 34 617 18.75 34 617 18.75 34 617 18.75 34 617 18.75 34 617 18.75 34 617 18.75 34 617 18.75 34 617 18.75 34 617 18.75 34 617 18.75 34 617 18.75 34 617 18.75 34 617 18.75 34 617 18.75 34 617 18.75 34 617 34 617 34 617 34 617 34 617 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 3 | 3.61 |
| 17 | 14.87 |
| Total Pulses | 18.16 |
| Total Pulses 21493 0 0.00 17812 | |
| SPICES AND CONDIMENTS 1998 | |
| 19 Cumin 2351 19987 8.50 1949 15413 20 Fenugreek 49 771 15.67 41 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 | |
| 20 Fenugreek 49 771 15.67 41 615 21 Coriander 1258 18237 14.50 1042 14498 22 Ajwan 2742 23312 8.50 2273 17978 24 Chilli 848 16104 19.00 702 12931 25 Garlic 328 26084 79.50 272 21456 70tal spices 7576 104495 13.79 6279 82891 70tal spices 7576 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7580 7 | 7.91 |
| 121 | 15.08 |
| 22 Ajwan 2742 23312 8.50 2273 17978 | 13.91 |
| 24 Chilli 848 16104 19.00 702 12931 25 Garlic 328 26084 79.50 272 21456 7761 104495 13.79 6279 82891 27 27 21456 27 27 21456 27 27 21456 27 27 21456 27 27 21456 27 27 21456 27 27 27 21456 27 27 27 21456 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 2 | 7.91 |
| Total spices | 18.41 |
| Total spices | 78.91 |
| VEGETABLE Dinom 109 22311 204.00 91 18436 28 Potato 55 8011 146.50 45 6612 29 Brinjal 960 177547 185.00 795 146664 30 Tomato 1288 383764 298.00 1067 317397 31 Cauliflower 53 7792 146.91 44 6432 32 Cowpea 431 32230 74.80 357 26499 34 Okra 1526 109848 72.00 1264 90286 34 Okra 1526 109848 72.00 1264 90286 37 Cucurbits 790 129113 163.40 655 106610 38 Cluster bean 2474 238732 96.50 2050 19628 39 Other vegetable 87 9668 110.50 73 7969 FRUT CROPS 7 44 145.2 | 13.20 |
| 27 | |
| 28 Potato 55 8011 146.50 45 6612 29 Brinjal 960 177547 185.00 795 146664 30 Tomato 1288 383764 298.00 1067 317397 31 Cauliflower 53 7792 146.91 44 6432 32 Cowpea 431 32230 74.80 357 26499 33 Cabbage 443 74681 168.40 368 61672 34 Okra 1526 109848 72.00 1264 90286 37 Cucurbits 790 129113 163.40 655 106610 37 Cucurbits 790 129113 163.40 655 106610 38 Other vegetable 87 9668 110.50 73 7969 40 Chiku 136 15754 115.70 113 12989 40 Chiku 136 15754 | 203.41 |
| Tomato | 145.91 |
| 30 Tomato 1288 383764 298.00 1067 317397 31 Cauliflower 53 7792 146.91 44 6432 32 Cowpea 431 32230 74.80 357 26499 33 Cabbage 443 74681 168.40 368 61672 34 Okra 1526 109848 72.00 1264 90286 34 Okra 1526 109848 72.00 1264 90286 37 Cucurbits 790 129113 163.40 655 106610 38 Cluster bean 2474 238732 96.50 2050 196628 39 Other vegetable 87 9668 110.50 73 7969 Total Vegetable 8216 1193698 145.29 6809 985205 FRUIT CROPS 113 12989 145.29 6809 985205 113 12989 40 Chiku 136 <td>184.41</td> | 184.41 |
| 31 Cauliflower 53 7792 146.91 44 6432 32 Cowpea 431 32230 74.80 357 26499 33 Cabbage 443 74681 168.40 368 61672 34 Okra 1526 109848 72.00 1264 90286 37 Cucurbits 790 129113 163.40 655 106610 38 Cluster bean 2474 238732 96.50 2050 196628 39 Other vegetable 87 9668 110.50 73 7969 Total Vegetable 8216 1193698 145.29 6809 985205 FRUIT CROPS | 297.41 |
| 32 Cowpea 431 32230 74.80 357 26499 33 Cabbage 443 74681 168.40 368 61672 34 Okra 1526 109848 72.00 1264 90286 37 Cucurbits 790 129113 163.40 655 106610 38 Cluster bean 2474 238732 96.50 2050 196628 39 Other vegetable 87 9668 110.50 73 7969 Total Vegetable 8216 1193698 145.29 6809 985205 FRUIT CROPS 40 Chiku 136 15754 115.70 113 12989 41 Pomegranate 309 27500 89.01 256 22639 42 Citrus 141 10412 74.09 116 8560 44 Aonla 19 1148 60.00 16 942 45 <td< td=""><td>146.32</td></td<> | 146.32 |
| 33 Cabbage 443 74681 168.40 368 61672 34 Okra 1526 109848 72.00 1264 90286 37 Cucurbits 790 129113 163.40 655 106610 38 Cluster bean 2474 238732 96.50 2050 196628 39 Other vegetable 87 9668 110.50 73 7969 Total Vegetable 8216 1193698 145.29 6809 985205 FRUIT CROPS 40 Chiku 136 15754 115.70 113 12989 41 Pomegranate 309 27500 89.01 256 22639 42 Citrus 141 10412 74.09 116 8560 44 Aonla 19 1148 60.00 16 942 45 Guava 7 284 43.33 5 232 46 Custard apple | 74.21 |
| 34 Okra 1526 109848 72.00 1264 90286 37 Cucurbits 790 129113 163.40 655 106610 38 Cluster bean 2474 238732 96.50 2050 196628 39 Other vegetable 87 9668 110.50 73 7969 Total Vegetable 8216 1193698 145.29 6809 985205 FRUIT CROPS 40 Chiku 136 15754 115.70 113 12989 41 Pomegranate 309 27500 89.01 256 22639 42 Citrus 141 10412 74.09 116 8560 44 Aonla 19 1148 60.00 16 942 45 Guava 7 284 43.33 5 232 46 Custard apple 36 2685 75.54 29 2208 47 Papaya 264 165079 625.01 219 136672 48 Coconut 276 | 167.81 |
| 37 Cucurbits 790 129113 163.40 655 106610 38 Cluster bean 2474 238732 96.50 2050 196628 39 Other vegetable 87 9668 110.50 73 7969 Total Vegetable 8216 1193698 145.29 6809 985205 FRUIT CROPS 40 Chiku 136 15754 115.70 113 12989 41 Pomegranate 309 27500 89.01 256 22639 42 Citrus 141 10412 74.09 116 8560 44 Aonla 19 1148 60.00 16 942 45 Guava 7 284 43.33 5 232 46 Custard apple 36 2685 75.54 29 2208 47 Papaya 264 165079 625.01 219 136672 48 Cocon | 71.41 |
| 38 Cluster bean 2474 238732 96.50 2050 196628 39 Other vegetable 87 9668 110.50 73 7969 Total Vegetable 8216 1193698 145.29 6809 985205 FRUIT CROPS | 162.81 |
| 39 Other vegetable 87 9668 110.50 73 7969 Total Vegetable 8216 1193698 145.29 6809 985205 FRUIT CROPS Secondary FRUIT CROPS Secondary Secondary Secondary 40 Chiku 136 15754 115.70 113 12989 41 Pomegranate 309 27500 89.01 256 22639 42 Citrus 141 10412 74.09 116 8560 44 Aonla 19 1148 60.00 16 942 45 Guava 7 284 43.33 5 232 46 Custard apple 36 2685 75.54 29 2208 47 Papaya 264 165079 625.01 219 136672 48 Coconut 276 23224 84.10 229 19111 49 Ber 192 18193 94.79 | 95.91 |
| Total Vegetable 8216 1193698 145.29 6809 985205 FRUIT CROPS 136 15754 115.70 113 12989 41 Pomegranate 309 27500 89.01 256 22639 42 Citrus 141 10412 74.09 116 8560 44 Aonla 19 1148 60.00 16 942 45 Guava 7 284 43.33 5 232 46 Custard apple 36 2685 75.54 29 2208 47 Papaya 264 165079 625.01 219 136672 48 Coconut 276 23224 84.10 229 19111 49 Ber 192 18193 94.79 159 14983 50 Kharek 50 2488 50.00 41 2038 51 Banana 24 10587 440.00 20 8762 <td>109.91</td> | 109.91 |
| 40 Chiku 136 15754 115.70 113 12989 41 Pomegranate 309 27500 89.01 256 22639 42 Citrus 141 10412 74.09 116 8560 44 Aonla 19 1148 60.00 16 942 45 Guava 7 284 43.33 5 232 46 Custard apple 36 2685 75.54 29 2208 47 Papaya 264 165079 625.01 219 136672 48 Coconut 276 23224 84.10 229 19111 49 Ber 192 18193 94.79 159 14983 50 Kharek 50 2488 50.00 41 2038 51 Banana 24 10587 440.00 20 8762 52 Mango 257 15678 61.00 213 12867 53 Cashew nut 2 22 10.00 2 | 144.70 |
| 41 Pomegranate 309 27500 89.01 256 22639 42 Citrus 141 10412 74.09 116 8560 44 Aonla 19 1148 60.00 16 942 45 Guava 7 284 43.33 5 232 46 Custard apple 36 2685 75.54 29 2208 47 Papaya 264 165079 625.01 219 136672 48 Coconut 276 23224 84.10 229 19111 49 Ber 192 18193 94.79 159 14983 50 Kharek 50 2488 50.00 41 2038 51 Banana 24 10587 440.00 20 8762 52 Mango 257 15678 61.00 213 12867 53 Cashew nut 2 22 10.00 2 17 54 Other fruits 97 7596 78.47 80 | |
| 42 Citrus 141 10412 74.09 116 8560 44 Aonla 19 1148 60.00 16 942 45 Guava 7 284 43.33 5 232 46 Custard apple 36 2685 75.54 29 2208 47 Papaya 264 165079 625.01 219 136672 48 Coconut 276 23224 84.10 229 19111 49 Ber 192 18193 94.79 159 14983 50 Kharek 50 2488 50.00 41 2038 51 Banana 24 10587 440.00 20 8762 52 Mango 257 15678 61.00 213 12867 53 Cashew nut 2 22 10.00 2 17 54 Other fruits 97 7596 78.47 80 6247 55 Total Fruits 1809 300650 166.20 1499 <td>115.11</td> | 115.11 |
| 44 Aonla 19 1148 60.00 16 942 45 Guava 7 284 43.33 5 232 46 Custard apple 36 2685 75.54 29 2208 47 Papaya 264 165079 625.01 219 136672 48 Coconut 276 23224 84.10 229 19111 49 Ber 192 18193 94.79 159 14983 50 Kharek 50 2488 50.00 41 2038 51 Banana 24 10587 440.00 20 8762 52 Mango 257 15678 61.00 213 12867 53 Cashew nut 2 22 10.00 2 17 54 Other fruits 97 7596 78.47 80 6247 55 Total Fruits 1809 300650 166.20 1499 248265 56 FLOWERS 36 3363 93.18 30 <td>88.42</td> | 88.42 |
| 45 Guava 7 284 43.33 5 232 46 Custard apple 36 2685 75.54 29 2208 47 Papaya 264 165079 625.01 219 136672 48 Coconut 276 23224 84.10 229 19111 49 Ber 192 18193 94.79 159 14983 50 Kharek 50 2488 50.00 41 2038 51 Banana 24 10587 440.00 20 8762 52 Mango 257 15678 61.00 213 12867 53 Cashew nut 2 22 10.00 2 17 54 Other fruits 97 7596 78.47 80 6247 55 Total Fruits 1809 300650 166.20 1499 248265 56 FLOWERS 36 3363 93.18 30 2769 58 Merry gold 77 6261 81.79 | 73.50 |
| 46 Custard apple 36 2685 75.54 29 2208 47 Papaya 264 165079 625.01 219 136672 48 Coconut 276 23224 84.10 229 19111 49 Ber 192 18193 94.79 159 14983 50 Kharek 50 2488 50.00 41 2038 51 Banana 24 10587 440.00 20 8762 52 Mango 257 15678 61.00 213 12867 53 Cashew nut 2 22 10.00 2 17 54 Other fruits 97 7596 78.47 80 6247 55 Total Fruits 1809 300650 166.20 1499 248265 56 FLOWERS 36 3363 93.18 30 2769 58 Merry gold 77 6261 81.79 63 5151 60 Jasmine 2 142 86.67 | 59.41 |
| 47 Papaya 264 165079 625.01 219 136672 48 Coconut 276 23224 84.10 229 19111 49 Ber 192 18193 94.79 159 14983 50 Kharek 50 2488 50.00 41 2038 51 Banana 24 10587 440.00 20 8762 52 Mango 257 15678 61.00 213 12867 53 Cashew nut 2 22 10.00 2 17 54 Other fruits 97 7596 78.47 80 6247 55 Total Fruits 1809 300650 166.20 1499 248265 56 FLOWERS 36 3363 93.18 30 2769 58 Merry gold 77 6261 81.79 63 5151 60 Jasmine 2 142 86.67 1 117 | 42.74 |
| 48 Coconut 276 23224 84.10 229 19111 49 Ber 192 18193 94.79 159 14983 50 Kharek 50 2488 50.00 41 2038 51 Banana 24 10587 440.00 20 8762 52 Mango 257 15678 61.00 213 12867 53 Cashew nut 2 22 10.00 2 17 54 Other fruits 97 7596 78.47 80 6247 55 Total Fruits 1809 300650 166.20 1499 248265 56 FLOWERS 57 Rose 36 3363 93.18 30 2769 58 Merry gold 77 6261 81.79 63 5151 60 Jasmine 2 142 86.67 1 117 | 74.95 |
| 49 Ber 192 18193 94.79 159 14983 50 Kharek 50 2488 50.00 41 2038 51 Banana 24 10587 440.00 20 8762 52 Mango 257 15678 61.00 213 12867 53 Cashew nut 2 22 10.00 2 17 54 Other fruits 97 7596 78.47 80 6247 55 Total Fruits 1809 300650 166.20 1499 248265 56 FLOWERS 57 Rose 36 3363 93.18 30 2769 58 Merry gold 77 6261 81.79 63 5151 60 Jasmine 2 142 86.67 1 117 | 624.42 |
| 50 Kharek 50 2488 50.00 41 2038 51 Banana 24 10587 440.00 20 8762 52 Mango 257 15678 61.00 213 12867 53 Cashew nut 2 22 10.00 2 17 54 Other fruits 97 7596 78.47 80 6247 55 Total Fruits 1809 300650 166.20 1499 248265 56 FLOWERS 36 3363 93.18 30 2769 58 Merry gold 77 6261 81.79 63 5151 60 Jasmine 2 142 86.67 1 117 | 83.51 |
| 51 Banana 24 10587 440.00 20 8762 52 Mango 257 15678 61.00 213 12867 53 Cashew nut 2 22 10.00 2 17 54 Other fruits 97 7596 78.47 80 6247 55 Total Fruits 1809 300650 166.20 1499 248265 56 FLOWERS 36 3363 93.18 30 2769 58 Merry gold 77 6261 81.79 63 5151 60 Jasmine 2 142 86.67 1 117 | 94.20 |
| 52 Mango 257 15678 61.00 213 12867 53 Cashew nut 2 22 10.00 2 17 54 Other fruits 97 7596 78.47 80 6247 55 Total Fruits 1809 300650 166.20 1499 248265 56 FLOWERS 57 Rose 36 3363 93.18 30 2769 58 Merry gold 77 6261 81.79 63 5151 60 Jasmine 2 142 86.67 1 117 | 49.41 |
| 53 Cashew nut 2 22 10.00 2 17 54 Other fruits 97 7596 78.47 80 6247 55 Total Fruits 1809 300650 166.20 1499 248265 56 FLOWERS 8 8 8 36 3363 93.18 30 2769 58 Merry gold 77 6261 81.79 63 5151 60 Jasmine 2 142 86.67 1 117 | 439.41 |
| 54 Other fruits 97 7596 78.47 80 6247 55 Total Fruits 1809 300650 166.20 1499 248265 56 FLOWERS State of the control of the | 60.41 |
| 55 Total Fruits 1809 300650 166.20 1499 248265 56 FLOWERS State of the control of | 9.41 |
| 56 FLOWERS See 36 3363 93.18 30 2769 58 Merry gold 77 6261 81.79 63 5151 60 Jasmine 2 142 86.67 1 117 | 77.88 |
| 57 Rose 36 3363 93.18 30 2769 58 Merry gold 77 6261 81.79 63 5151 60 Jasmine 2 142 86.67 1 117 | 165.61 |
| 58 Merry gold 77 6261 81.79 63 5151 60 Jasmine 2 142 86.67 1 117 | |
| 60 Jasmine 2 142 86.67 1 117 | 92.59 |
| | 81.20 |
| 62 Hilly 1 93 85 00 1 77 | 86.08 |
| · · | 84.41 |
| 63 Other flowers 90 8011 88.79 75 6595 | 88.20 |
| Total flowers 206 17871 86.91 170 14709 | 86.32 |
| OTHER CORPS | |

| 64 | Chikori | 27 | 2365 | 86.50 | 23 | 1947 | 85.91 |
|----|--------------------|-------|---------|--------|------|---------|--------|
| 65 | Palma Rosa | 24 | 2939 | 125.00 | 19 | 2424 | 124.41 |
| | Total Other crops | 51 | 0 | 0.00 | 42 | 0 | |
| | Fodder crops | | | | | | |
| 67 | Lucern | 604 | 72510 | 120.00 | 501 | 59794 | 119.41 |
| 68 | Sorghum | 9110 | 1366542 | 150.00 | 7550 | 1128004 | 149.41 |
| 69 | Maize | 1591 | 0 | 0.00 | 1319 | 0 | |
| | Total Fodder crops | 11306 | 0 | 0.00 | 9369 | 0 | |

^{*} Source : DAO, &Dy.Dir.Hort., Jamnagar

2.5. Weather data (January-18 to March-19)

| Weekly mean Weather data-at Jamnagar during-2018 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------|------|-------|-------|----|--------|-------|------|-------|----------|--|--|
| Week No | Tem | p. °c | R.H.% | | WS | BSS | Eo | Rain | Rainy | | |
| | Max | Min | I | II | (kmph) | (hrs) | (mm) | (mm) | Days | | |
| 1-J (2018) | 25.9 | 10.9 | 80 | 27 | 3.8 | 9.1 | 3.1 | , , | <u> </u> | | |
| 2 | 26.7 | 15.1 | 70 | 35 | 5.7 | 6.4 | 3.7 | | | | |
| 3 | 28.7 | 13.9 | 86 | 34 | 4.5 | 9.1 | 3.4 | | | | |
| 4 | 26.6 | 12.5 | 90 | 26 | 4.3 | 9.1 | 3.3 | | | | |
| 5 | 28.2 | 13.3 | 86 | 29 | 4.2 | 9.1 | 3.6 | | | | |
| 6-F | 27.6 | 14.9 | 80 | 31 | 4.3 | 7.6 | 3.8 | | | | |
| 7 | 29.2 | 15.5 | 72 | 26 | 6.4 | 9.1 | 4.3 | | | | |
| 8 | 31.3 | 17.9 | 95 | 29 | 5.4 | 8.9 | 4.5 | | | | |
| 9 | 34.0 | 18.8 | 71 | 25 | 21.7 | 32.6 | 5.8 | | | | |
| 10-M | 33.0 | 18.2 | 85 | 24 | 6.9 | 10.0 | 6.4 | | | | |
| 11 | 32.2 | 17.8 | 90 | 32 | 8.2 | 10.0 | 6.3 | | | | |
| 12 | 32.7 | 21.0 | 80 | 28 | 9.1 | 9.7 | 7.0 | | | | |
| 13 | 38.6 | 21.9 | 78 | 18 | 8.5 | 10.0 | 9.4 | | | | |
| 14-A | 34.7 | 21.9 | 88 | 35 | 9.3 | 9.5 | 9.1 | | | | |
| 15 | 35.7 | 24.2 | 88 | 46 | 9.8 | 9.5 | 9.2 | | | | |
| 16 | 36.3 | 24.0 | 80 | 31 | 9.8 | 10.6 | 9.3 | | | | |
| 17 | 37.1 | 23.6 | 74 | 30 | 9.8 | 10.6 | 9.3 | | | | |
| 18 | 36.6 | 25.9 | 78 | 37 | 13.2 | 10.3 | 9.6 | | | | |
| 19-M | 35.7 | 26.0 | 85 | 48 | 11.8 | 10.5 | 8.7 | | | | |
| 20 | 36.5 | 26.7 | 84 | 46 | 14.8 | 10.2 | 9.3 | | | | |
| 21 | 37.3 | 27.3 | 81 | 44 | 13.3 | 11.1 | 9.4 | | | | |
| 22 | 35.6 | 28.2 | 82 | 45 | 14.4 | 11.0 | 9.0 | | | | |
| 23-J | 35.6 | 29.2 | 77 | 51 | 16.9 | 10.6 | 9.2 | | | | |
| 24 | 36.0 | 29.3 | 77 | 49 | 18.9 | 10.6 | 9.2 | | | | |
| 25 | 35.1 | 28.3 | 78 | 56 | 15.8 | 10.6 | 8.8 | | | | |
| 26 | 35.7 | 27.8 | 81 | 55 | 12.5 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 22.0 | 2 | | |
| 27-J | 35.2 | 27.6 | 79 | 59 | 14.7 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 3.0 | | | |
| 28 | 33.8 | 27.5 | 84 | 65 | 12.7 | 1.1 | 5.2 | 3.0 | 1 | | |
| 29 | 31.1 | 26.2 | 93 | 78 | 12.4 | 0.4 | 4.3 | 251.0 | 4 | | |
| 30 | 32.8 | 26.9 | 86 | 63 | 16.6 | 2.0 | 4.7 | | | | |
| 31 | 33.2 | 26.9 | 85 | 61 | 15.7 | 2.9 | 4.9 | | | | |
| 32-A | 31.8 | 26.1 | 87 | 67 | 12.7 | 2.0 | 4.7 | 7.5 | 1 | | |
| 33 | 31.1 | 26.1 | 90 | 78 | 11.6 | 0.7 | 4.2 | 31.0 | 2 | | |
| 34 | 30.4 | 24.8 | 93 | 79 | 9.2 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 32.5 | 2 | | |
| 35 | 30.7 | 24.7 | 91 | 75 | 8.4 | 2.4 | 3.8 | 9.5 | 2 | | |
| 36-S | 30.3 | 23.5 | 89 | 61 | 8.9 | 6.4 | 4.3 | 10.5 | 1 | | |
| 37 | 30.3 | 23.5 | 87 | 59 | 7.2 | 6.9 | 4.5 | | | | |
| 38 | 31.6 | 24.4 | 85 | 53 | 8.5 | 9.2 | 4.9 | | | | |
| 39 | 34.1 | 22.5 | 93 | 42 | 6.2 | 8.5 | 4.8 | | | | |
| 40-O | 37.3 | 23.6 | 86 | 30 | 3.9 | 9.4 | 5.5 | | | | |
| 41 | 37.4 | 23.5 | 81 | 26 | 3.4 | 8.2 | 5.8 | | | | |
| 42 | 36.0 | 21.6 | 90 | 25 | 3.7 | 9.1 | 5.3 | | | | |
| 43 | 36.0 | 20.5 | 85 | 31 | 3.5 | 9.6 | 5.5 | | | | |
| 44 | 35.6 | 19.6 | 69 | 27 | 3.8 | 9.5 | 5.2 | | | | |
| 45-N | 32.9 | 18.0 | 61 | 23 | 3.1 | 9.6 | 4.6 | | | | |
| 46 | 32.9 | 19.7 | 78 | 38 | 3.3 | 9.2 | 4.5 | | | | |
| 47 | 33.2 | 18.1 | 73 | 26 | 3.3 | 9.3 | 4.3 | | | | |

| 48 | 30.5 | 15.9 | 72 | 40 | 4.0 | 7.3 | 3.9 | | |
|---------|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|-----|-------|----|
| 49-D | 29.1 | 14.0 | 74 | 31 | 3.5 | 7.3 | 3.9 | | |
| 50 | 27.2 | 13.8 | 72 | 33 | 7.8 | 8.7 | 3.7 | | |
| 51 | 26.4 | 11.3 | 67 | 27 | 6.8 | 8.0 | 3.6 | | |
| 52 | 26.5 | 11.2 | 65 | 24 | 4.9 | 9.1 | 3.4 | | |
| Mean | 32.7 | 21.4 | 81 | 42 | 8.9 | 8.4 | 5.8 | 370.0 | 15 |
| Highest | 38.6 | 29.3 | 95 | 79.28 | 21.7 | 32.6 | 9.6 | | |
| Lowest | 25.9 | 10.9 | 61.28 | 18 | 3.1 | 0.4 | 3.1 | | |

^{*} Source: Meteorological observatory, Millet Research Station, JAU, Jamnagar

2.6. Production and productivity of livestock, Poultry, Fisheries etc.in the district

| Category | Population | Production | Productivity |
|------------|------------|------------------------|---------------|
| Cattle | 349229 | 2475.2 qtl. total milk | |
| Crossbred | | | 8.585 lit/day |
| Indigenous | | | 3.375 lit/day |
| Buffalo | 209616 | | 4.451 lit/ha |
| Sheep | 232530 | 295.16 lakh kg wool | |
| Crossbred | | | |
| Indigenous | | | |
| Goats | 173022 | | 0.274 lit/ha |
| Pigs | | 290097.9 Qtl meat | |
| Crossbred | | | |
| Indigenous | | | |
| Poultry | 38041 | 12.77 lakh eggs | |
| Hens | | | |
| Desi | | | |
| Improved | | | |
| Horse & | 410 | | |
| Camels | 2260 | | |
| Donkey | 2577 | | |
| Total Milk | | | |
| Total egg | | | |
| Total wool | | | |

| Category | Area | Production | Productivity |
|----------|------|------------|--------------|
| Fish | | | |
| Marine | | | |
| Inland | | | |
| Prawn | | | |
| Scampi | | | |
| Shrimp | | | |

Source: Assistant Directorate of Fishries, Jamnagar

2.7 Details of Operational area/ Villages (2018-19 to 2020-21)

| SI No | Taluka | Name of the village | Major crops & enterprises | Major problem identified | Identified thrust area |
|----------|----------|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| 1 | Jamnagar | Chandragadh, | Cotton, | Heavy infestation of | |
| | | Khojaberaja, | groundnut, | sucking pest in | |

| | | Lothiya, NaniBanugar, Suryapara | greengram, | cotton, stem rot disease&whitegrub in Groundnut, Root | - ICM in major crops of the district - Organic crop production |
|---|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | Kalyanpur | Gadhka, Patelka, Haripar, Juvanpur, Jampar | cumin, mustard, Vegetable, Soyabean, flowers, live stock, fisheries | rot in castor, Less area under horticulture crops, Blight in cumin, salinity, pink bollworm in cotton | Introduction of new crop Recycling of farm waste Popularization of MIS Motivation of fisheries cultivation Soil Reclamation Farm women empowerment Farm mechanization |

2.8 Priority thrust areas

| SI. No | Crop/ Enterprise | Thrust area |
|--------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. | Cotton, groundnut, castor, cumin, coriander, wheat, vegetables, fruits, etc. | Integrated Crop Management in major crops IPM & IDM in major field crops Whitegrub management in Groundnut Wireworm management in garlic & Onion Micro nutriet management in wheat |
| 2. | Organic farming | Enhancement of organic farming through improved technologies |
| 3. | Farm waste/ organic matter | Recycling of farm waste through composting, vermicompost, green manuring, etc. |
| 4. | Micro irrigation | Efficient use of water by micro irrigation system, water harvesting structure, and water conservation techniques |
| 5. | Soil | Reclamation of saline & alkaline soils |
| 6. | Farm Women | Farm women empowerment by training in value addition, handi crafts, and small scale enterprises |
| 7. | Fisheries | Fish Farming |
| 8. | Improved Implements | Popularization of the mechanized technological know how |
| 9. | Plant protection | Pinkboll worm in cotton and white grub in groundnut, |
| 10 | Horticultural area | Enhancement of pomegranate, datepalm, draganfruit, |
| 11. | Storage facility | Requirement of storage techniques and value addition in farm produce |
| 12. | Water conservation & use of Micro irrigation | Efficient use of water by micro irrigation system, water harvesting structure, and water conservation techniques |

3. TECHNICAL ACHIEVEMENTS

3.A. Details of target and achievements of mandatory activities by KVK during 2018-19

| | 0 | FT | | FLD | | | |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------------|-----------------------------|-------------|-----|-----|
| 1 | | | | 2 | | | |
| Num | ber of OFTs | Total | no. of Trials | Area in ha Number of Farmer | | | |
| Targets Achievement Targets Achievement | | Targets | Achievement | Targets | Achievement | | |
| 10 | 9 | 37 | 34 | 264 | 176.5 | 715 | 500 |

|--|

| | 3 | 3 | | 4 | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------|---------|-------------|---------|-----------------|-----------|-------------|--|--|
| Number of Courses Number of | | | ımber of | Numbe | r of activities | Number of | | | |
| | Participants | | | | participants | | | | |
| Targets | Achievement | Targets | Achievement | Targets | Achievement | Targets | Achievement | | |
| 33 | 56 | 825 | 3041 | 358 | 1416 | 39632 | 47253 | | |

| Seed Pro | duction (Qtl.) | Planting material (Nos.) | | | | | |
|----------|----------------|--------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 5 | 6 | | | | | |
| Target | Achievement | Target | Achievement | | | | |
| 80 | 88.45 | 0 | 0 | | | | |

| Livestock, poultry strai | ns and fingerlings (No.) | Bio-products (Kg) | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| | 7 | 8 | | | | |
| Target | Achievement | Target | Achievement | | | |
| - | - | 10000 | 33547 | | | |

3.1. B. Operational areas details during 2018-19

| S. | Major crops & | Prioritized problems in these | Extent of area | Names of Cluster | Proposed |
|-----|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|
| No. | enterprises | crops/ enterprise | (Ha/No.) | Villages identified | Intervention |
| | being practiced | | affected by the | for intervention | (OFT, FLD, |
| | in cluster | | problem in the | | Training, |
| | villages | | district | | extension activity |
| | | | | | etc.)* |
| 1 | Groundnut | Whitegrub, | 300000 ha. | Chandragadh, | OFT, FLD and |
| | | Stemrot | | Khojaberaja, | Training |
| | | Nutrional deficiency | | Lothiya,Nani Banugar, | |
| | | | | Suryapara, Gadhka, | |
| | | | | Patelka, Haripar, Juvanpur, Jampar | |
| 2 | Chilli | Thrips, Curling of leaves, | 1500 ha | _ " _ | OFT, FLD and |
| | Cilliii | nutritional deficiency | 1500 110 | | Training |
| | | indicational deficiency | | | |
| 3 | Garlic | Puple blotch, wireworm, | 600 ha | - " - | OFT, FLD and |
| | | yellowing, tip burning | | | Training |
| 4 | Sesame | Leaf webber, mite, blight, stem | 12000 ha. | - " - | OFT, FLD and |
| | | rot, root rot, yellowing | | | Training |
| 5 | Wheat | Stem borer, Termite, nutritional | 58000 ha | - " - | OFT, FLD and |
| | | deficiency, | | | Training |
| 6 | Vegetabe | Drudgery reduction, cut & | 2790 ha | - " - | OFT, FLD and |
| | mittens (Okra, | wounds, skin hardness, blisters | | | Training |
| | Brinjal) | and abrasions, | | | |
| 7 | Animal | Due to inadequate nutrients in | Majority | - " - | OFT, FLD and |
| | Husbandry | the daily ration, the % fat in milk | farmers | | Training |
| | | and productivity of the animal | (350000) | | |
| | | decreased hence, financial loss. | | | |
| 8 | Fishereis | Direct stocking of Spawn, | In Majority | Nana Khadba | OFT |
| | | Mortality rate is higher during | reservoir | Navi Pipar | |
| | | spawn to fingerling stage rearing | | Navi Veraval | |
| | | and uncertain in production | | | |
| 9 | Fishereis | Stocking of single species, total | In Majority | Nana Khadba | OFT |
| | | production is reduce | reservoir | Navi Pipar | |
| | 0 | 5: 1.1 11 6 | 100110 | Navi Veraval | 51.5 |
| 10 | Cotton | Pink bollworm, redding & | 180440 | | FLD and |
| | | yellowing of leaves, sucking | | | Training |
| | | pests, weevil, | | | |

| 11 | Brinjal | IPM, INM, variety | 1755 | | FLD and |
|----|--------------|---------------------------|----------|------------|------------------|
| | | | | | Training |
| 12 | Okra | IPM, INM, variety | 2790 | | FLD and |
| | | | | | Training |
| 13 | Chicory | ICM | 50 | | FLD and |
| | | | | | Training |
| 14 | Cumin | IPM, IDM, INM, variety | 4300 | | FLD and |
| | | | | | Training |
| 15 | Ajwain | IDM, Variety | 5015 | | FLD and |
| | | | | | Training |
| 16 | Coriander | IDM, IPM, Variety | 2300 | | FLD and |
| | | | | | Training |
| 17 | Pearl millet | Variety, IPM, IDM | 3520 | | FLD and |
| | | | | | Training |
| 18 | Chick pea | IPM, Variety | 31300 | | FLD and |
| | | | | | Training |
| 19 | Kitchen | Nutritional balance | Majority | | FLD and |
| | gardening | | farmers | | Training |
| 20 | Seaweed | Nutrition supply | Majority | | FLD and |
| | | | farmers | | Training |
| 21 | Fisheries | Inadequate use of natural | - | Rasulnagar | FLD and Training |
| | | resources | | | |

^{*} Support with problem-cause and interventions diagram

3.2. Technology Assessment and Refinement

A1. Abstract on the number of technologies assessed in respect of crops

| Thematic areas | Cereals | Oilseeds | Commercial Crops | Vegetables | Flower | Plantation crops | Tuber Crops | TOTAL |
|----------------------------------------------|---------|----------|---------------------|------------|--------|------------------|----------------|-------|
| Integrated Nutrient Management | | | | | | | | |
| Varietal Evaluation | | | | | | | | |
| Integrated Pest Management | | 1 | | | | | | 1 |
| Integrated Crop Management | | | | | | | | |
| Integrated Disease Management | | | | | | | | |
| Small Scale Income Generation Enterprises | | | | | | | | |
| Weed Management | | | | | | | | |
| Resource Conservation Technology | | | | | | | | |
| Farm Machineries | | | | | | | | |
| Integrated Farming System | | | | | | | | |
| Seed / Plant production | | | | | | | | |
| Value addition | | | | | | | | |
| Drudgery Reduction | | | | 1 | | | | 1 |
| Storage Technique | | | | | | | | |
| Mushroom cultivation | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | 1 | | 1 | | | | 2 |

A2. Abstract on the number of technologies refined in respect of crops

| Thematic areas | Cereals | Oilseeds | Pulses | Commercial Crops | Vegetables | Fruits | Flower | Plantation | | TOTAL |
|--------------------------------|---------|----------|--------|---------------------|------------|--------|--------|------------|-------|-------|
| | | | | Crops | Ŭ | | | crops | Crops | |
| Integrated Nutrient Management | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | 2 |
| Varietal Evaluation | | | | | | | | | | |
| Integrated Pest Management | | 1 | | | 1 | | | | | 2 |
| Integrated Crop Management | | | | | | | | | | |

| Integrated Disease Management | | | | 1 | | | 1 |
|-------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| Small Scale Income Generation | | | | | | | |
| Enterprises | | | | | | | |
| Weed Management | | | | | | | |
| Resource Conservation | | | | | | | |
| Technology | | | | | | | |
| Farm Machineries | | | | | | | |
| Integrated Farming System | | | | | | | |
| Seed / Plant production | | | | | | | |
| Value addition | | | | | | | |
| Drudgery Reduction | | | | | | | |
| Storage Technique | | | | | | | |
| Mushroom cultivation | | | | | | | |
| Total | 1 | 2 | | 2 | | | 5 |

A.3. Abstract on the number of technologies to be assessed in respect of livestock / enterprises

| Thematic areas | Cattle | Poultry | Sheep | Goat | Piggery | Vermi culture | Fisheries | TOTAL |
|------------------------|--------|---------|-------|------|---------|---------------|-----------|-------|
| Evaluation of Breeds | | | | | | | | |
| Nutrition Management | 1 | | | | | | | 1 |
| Disease of Management | | | | | | | | |
| Value Addition | | | | | | | | |
| Production and | | | | | | | 1 | 1 |
| Management | | | | | | | | |
| Feed and Fodder | | | | | | | | |
| Small Scale income | | | | | | | | |
| generating enterprises | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | 1 | | | | | | 1 | 2 |

A.4. Abstract on the number of technologies to be refined in respect of livestock / enterprises

| Thematic areas | Cattle | Poultry | Sheep | Goat | Piggery | Rabbitary | Fisheries | TOTAL |
|------------------------|--------|---------|-------|------|---------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| Evaluation of Breeds | | | | | | | | |
| Nutrition Management | | | | | | | | |
| Disease of Management | | | | | | | | |
| Value Addition | | | | | | | | |
| Production and | | | | | | | | |
| Management | | | | | | | | |
| Feed and Fodder | | | | | | | | |
| Small Scale income | | | | | | | | |
| generating enterprises | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | | |

B. Achievements on technologies Assessed and Refined

B.1. Technologies Assessed under various Crops

| Thematic areas | Crop | Name of the technology assessed | No. of trials | No. of farmers | Area in ha (Per trail covering all the Technological Options) |
|--------------------------------|--------|----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Integrated Nutrient Management | | | | | |
| Varietal Evaluation | | | | | |
| Integrated Pest Management | Sesame | Management of sesame leaf webber | 3 | 3 | 1.8 |
| Integrated Crop Management | | | | | |
| Integrated Disease Management | | | | | |
| Small Scale Income Generation | | | | | |
| Enterprises | | | | | |

| Weed Management | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|------|------------------------------------------------|----|----|-----|
| Resource Conservation Technology | | | | | |
| Farm Machineries | | | | | |
| Integrated Farming System | | | | | |
| Seed / Plant production | | | | | |
| Post Harvest Technology / Value | | | | | |
| addition | | | | | |
| Drudgery Reduction | Okra | Assessment of mittens for vegetable harvesting | 10 | 10 | 1.8 |
| Storage Technique | | | | | |
| Others (Pl. specify) | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | 13 | 13 | |

B.2. Technologies Refined under various Crops

| Thematic areas | Crop | Name of the technology refined | No. of trials | No. of farmer s | Area in ha (Per trail covering all the Technological Options) |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Integrated Nutrient Management | Groundnut | Effect of Bio fertilizer in Groundnut production | 3 | 3 | |
| | Wheat | Response of Bio fertilizer to wheat yield | 3 | 3 | |
| Varietal Evaluation | | | | | |
| Integrated Pest | Groundnut | Management of whitegrub in groundnut | 3 | 3 | |
| Management | Chilli | Management of thrips in chilli | 3 | 3 | |
| Integrated Crop Management | | | | | |
| Integrated Disease Management | Garlic | Management of purple blotch of garlic | 3 | 3 | |
| Small Scale Income Generation Enterprises | | | | | |
| Weed Management | | | | | |
| Resource Conservation Technology | | | | | |
| Farm Machineries | | | | | |
| Integrated Farming System | | | | | |
| Seed / Plant production | | | | | |
| Value addition | | | | | |
| Drudgery Reduction | | | | | |
| Storage Technique | | | | | |
| Others (Pl. specify) | | | | | |
| | | Total | 15 | 15 | |

B.3. Technologies assessed under Livestock and other enterprises

| Thematic areas | Name of the livestock enterprise | Name of the technology assessed | No. of trials | No. of farmers |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Disease Management | | | | |

| | | Total | 6 | 6 |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------------|---|---|
| Others (Pl. specify) | | | | |
| | | pond/ Reservoir | | |
| Management | | spawn to fry before stocking in village | | |
| Production and | Fisheries | Pen cultures of Indian Major Carp(IMC) | 3 | 3 |
| | | animals | | |
| Nutrition Management | Cattle | Role of bypass fat in rations of dairy | 3 | 3 |
| management | | | | |
| Feed and Fodder | | | | |
| Evaluation of Breeds | | | | |

B.4. Technologies Refined under Livestock and other enterprises

| Thematic areas | Name of the livestock enterprise | Name of the technology refined | No. of trials | No. of farmers |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Disease Management | | | | |
| Evaluation of Breeds | | | | |
| Feed and Fodder management | | | | |
| Nutrition Management | | | | |
| Production and Management | | | | |
| Others (Pl. specify) | | | | |
| Total | 1 | , | | |

C. Details of each On Farm Trial for assessment to be furnished in the following format separately as per the following details

OFT-1 Sesame (Assessment) (Plant Protection)

Title: Management of sesame leaf webber

- 1) Objective: To manage the leaf webber infestation in sesame
- 2) Problem definition: attack of leaf webber is increase
 - Heavy infestation of leaf webber was found
 - > Improper cultivation practices
 - > Lack of knowledge about pest outbreaks and its management

Problem diagram :-

| Improper cultivation practices | | Irregular irrigation |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Mono-cropping system | | Lack irrigation facilities |
| No adoption of recommended | | Lack of knowledge about pest |
| practices | Management of | outbreaks and its management |
| Crop failure due to water logging condition in rainy season | sesame leaf webber | In judicious use of chemical pesticide |
| Farmer follows instruction given by the local pesticides retailer | | Heavy incidence of pest and disease attack |

3) Details of technologies selected for assessment/refinement

| tegory |
|--------|
|--------|

| Technology | Farmer | | Farmer | Injudicious use of insecticides. [use of chlorpyriphos, |
|---------------------|--------|-------|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| option 1 | | _ | | quinalphos, flubendiamide, imidacloprid, cypermethrin, |
| | | 11 | | lamdacyhalothrin after infestation of leaf webber at weekly |
| | | | | interval without follow ETL] |
| Tachnalagy | | | Poso | Application of the insecticide will be start at pest infestation |
| Technology option 2 | SAU | I I - | Reco. practices | occurred. Cartap hydrochloride 50% S.P.@10g/10 Litre of |
| option 2 | | | practices | water at the time of infestation. |

- 4) Source of Technology: Junagadh Agricultural University
- **5) Production System and thematic area:** Crop grown as Integrated Crop Management system and all agronomical practices adopted commonly.
- 6) Thematic area: Integrated Pest Management
- 7) Performance of the Technology assessed / refined with performance indicators:

| Sr. | Name of the farmer | Name of the | 1 | | | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|-------------|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------|--|--|
| No | | Village | assessed / r | assessed / refined $$ [Yield (q/ha), No. of leaf webber per 1 $$ | | | | |
| | | | | meter row le | ength from each p | plotj | | |
| | | | 1 | 1 | Т | - 2 | | |
| | | | No. of leaf | Yield | No. of leaf | Yield | | |
| | | | webber | | webber | | | |
| 1 | PipaliyaJagdishSavjibhai | Lothiya | 8 | 6.42 | 2 | 7.9 | | |
| 2 | Koradiya Dhanji Meghjibhai | KhojaBeraja | 6 | 6.81 | 3 | 7.27 | | |
| 3 | ParmarRanchhodBhikhabhai | Gadhka | 6.3 | 6.5 | 2 | 8.1 | | |
| | Average | 6.77 | 6.58 | 2.33 | 7.76 | | | |

- **8) Final recommendation for micro level situation:** Application of the insecticide will be start at pest infestation occurred. Cartap hydrochloride 50% S.P.@10g/10 Litre of water at the time of infestation having minimum pest population and highest yield withfarmers practices.
- 9) Constraints identified and feedback for research:
 - > It start within early stage of crops and till remain till the pod formation
 - It cannot come in direct contact of pesticide due to webbing of leaves.
 - Yield increase as compare to farmers' practices.
- **10)** Process of farmer's participation and their reaction: Farmers have good response and they have support for OFT. Recommended practices having found lower incidence of leaf webber and highest yield.

11) Results of On Farm Trial

| Crop/ enter- prise | Farm- ing situ- ation | Problem Diag- nosed | Title of OFT | No. of trials | Technology Assessed | Parameters of assessment | par | ata on the ameter Q/ha |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | | 2/11a 8 |
| Sesam e | Rainfed | IPM | Management of sesame leaf webber | 3 | Litre of water at the | leaf webber per 1 | | 6.58 7.76 |

| Crop/ enterprise | Results of assessment | Feedback from the | Any refinement done | Justification for refinement | |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--|
| 1 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | |
| Sesame | Application of the insecticide will be start at pest infestation occurred. Cartap hydrochloride | response and they | | It is necessary against outbreak of pest and heavy | |

| 50% S.P.@10g/10 Litre of water at | Recommended | infestation. Also |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| the time of infestation. having | practices having | resistance |
| minimum pest population and | found lower incidence | developed against |
| highest yield with farmers | of leaf webber and | conventional |
| practices. | highest yield. | insecticide. |

| Crop/ enterp rise | Technology Assessed / Refined | | | Input costRs. /ha | Gross return Rs./ha (Rate 105.00/kg | Net Return (Profit) in Rs. / ha | BC Ratio |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1 13 | | | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| Groun dnut | T ₁ | Injudicious use of insecticides. [use of chlorpyriphos, quinalphos, flubendiamide, Imidacloprid, cypermethrin, lambdacyhalothrin after infestation of leaf webber at weekly interval without follow ETL] | 658 | 2500 0 | 69090 | 44090 | 2.76 |
| | T ₂ | Application of the insecticide will be start at pest infestation occurred. Cartap hydrochloride 50% S.P.@10g/10 Litre of water at the time of infestation. | 776 | 2250 0 | 81480 | 58980 | 3.62 |

OFT-2: Home Science:

- 1) Title :-Assessment of Mittens for vegetable harvesting
- 2) Problem definition:
 - 1. Injury due to thorns of okra
 - 2. Drudgery to rural women
 - 3. Muscular skeletal problem of workers

3) Details of technologies for assessment/ refinement

| Category | Source of | | Technology details | | | | | |
|------------|------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | technology | | | | | | | |
| Technolog | Farmer | T_1 | Farmer | No use any protective wear | | | | |
| y option 1 | | | practices 1 | | | | | |
| Technolog | Farmer | T_2 | Farmers | Use of hand care household rubber/surgical gloves | | | | |
| y option 2 | | | practices 2 | | | | | |
| Technolog | SAU (MKV- | T ₃ | Reco. | Use of mittens (gloves made from denim, cotton and | | | | |
| y option 3 | Parbhani) | | practices | plastic material) for Okra harvesting | | | | |

4) Source of Technology:- SAUs (MKV-Parbhani, Maharshtra)

5) Production system:

Farm women suffers different health hazards *viz.* cuts and wounds in hands, hardness of skin, blisters and abrasions, irritation etc. during okra fruit picking. In the present study, for reduction of the drudgery and pain, Farm women usually not used any protective wears; some farmers use rubber gloves; however the vegetable mittens is recommended practice for reduction of drudgery. Thus, farm women use the mittens in both hand during picking of okra.

6) Thematic area: Drudgery reduction

7) Raw data about the Performance of the Technology assessed / refined with performance indicators

| Sr. | Name of the farm women | Name of the | Data on Performar | nce indicator of the |
|-----|------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| No. | | Village | technology ass | sessed/ refined |
| | | | Efficiency of picking | Efficiency Increase |
| | | | (kg/hour) | (%) |

| | | | T ₁ | T ₂ | T ₃ | T ₁ | T ₂ | T ₃ |
|---|-----------------------------|------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1 | Rinkuben Kailashbhai Memana | | 7.5 | 8.3 | 8.5 | | 10.67 | 13.33 |
| 2 | Niraben Rupsang | Memana | 7.3 | 8 | 8.2 | | 9.59 | 12.33 |
| 3 | Nilavben Kailashbhai | Memana | 8 | 8.3 | 8.5 | | 3.75 | 6.25 |
| 4 | Bhartiben Dipakbhai Nakum | Harshadpur | 8.2 | 8.7 | 9 | | 6.10 | 9.76 |
| 5 | Vijiben Keshubhai Nakum | Harshadpur | 7.5 | 8.2 | 8.4 | | 9.33 | 12.00 |
| | Hemaliben Rameshbhai | Harshadpur | | | | | | |
| 6 | Nakum | | 8 | 8.4 | 8.6 | | 5.00 | 7.50 |
| 7 | Mitaben Anilbhai Ddabhi | Harshadpur | 7.6 | 8 | 8.3 | | 5.26 | 9.21 |
| | Savitaben Parshotambhai | Harshadpur | | | | | | |
| 8 | Chopada | | 7.9 | 8.6 | 9 | | 8.86 | 13.92 |
| | Rekhaben Prashantbhai | Harshadpur | | | | | | |
| 9 | Chopada | | 8 | 8.8 | 9 | | 10.00 | 12.50 |
| 1 | Jiviben Narsang Dabhi | Harshadpur | | | | | | |
| 0 | | | 7 | 7.7 | 8 | | 10.00 | 14.29 |
| | Average | | | 8.30 | 8.55 | | 7.86 | 11.11 |

Conti...

| Sr. No. | Name of the farm women | Name of the Village | Dat | a on P | erforr | mance | indica | tor of | the te | chnol | ogy as | sessec | l/ refir | ned |
|------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|--------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| | | - 0 - | | | | | E | Effect on skin | | | | | | |
| | | | lr | ritatio | n | w | uts an ounds hands | in | hai | rdness skin | of | | sters a orasio | |
| | | | T ₁ | T ₂ | Тз | T ₁ | T ₂ | T ₃ | T ₁ | T ₂ | T ₃ | T ₁ | T ₂ | T ₃ |
| 1 | Rinkuben Kailashbhai | Memana | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 2 | Niraben Rupsang | Memana | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| 3 | Nilavben Kailashbhai | Memana | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| 4 | Bhartiben Dipakbhai | Harshadpur | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| 5 | Vijiben Keshubhai | Harshadpur | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 6 | Hemaliben Rameshbhai | Harshadpur | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| 7 | Mitaben Anilbhai | Harshadpur | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| 8 | Savitaben Parshotam | Harshadpur | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 9 | Rekhaben Prashantbhai | Harshadpur | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| 10 | Jiviben Narsang Dabhi | Harshadpur | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| | Average | | 2.4 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 0.1 |

^{*}Effect on skin for different hazardous effect according to grade (0= no, 1= slightly, 2= moderate, 3=heavy)

8) Final recommendation for micro level situation:

It was observed that the treatment 3 vegetable mittens technology is helpful for Effect on skin, Drudgery perceived, Efficiency of picking per hour. It was observed that helpful in reduction of physiological cost of work and body discomfort ratings and health hazards while harvesting manually. It increasing work output (11.11%) and reduction of drudgery involved in harvesting activity of okra.

9) Constraints identified and feedback for research:

- ➤ Long sleeves of mittens give protection to the skin of arms
- > Provision of Sticking belt makes possible to adjust the mitten to any size of hand and arm
- Mittens are simple in design and easy for stitching. It made out of locally available material by local tailor. Mittens are useful for increasing speed of work. It reduces the musculo-skeletal problems of workers

10) Process of farmers participation and their reaction:

Farm women appreciate with this technology for future use. It is very useful for empowering the rural women and cost effective on large scale adoption.

11) Results of On Farm Trials

| Crop/ enter- price | Farming situation | Problem Diagnosed | | No. of trials | Technology Assessed | Parameters of assessment | Data on the parameter |
|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Okra | Irrigated | Injury due to thorns of okra | Assessment of Mittens for vegetable harvesting | | Use of mittens (gloves made from denim, cotton and plastic material) for Okra harvesting | Effect on skin Efficiency of picking per hour | 94 % protection 11.11% increasing |

OFT - 3 Fish

- 1) Title:- Pen cultures of Indian Major Carp (IMC) (Catlacatla) from fry stage to fingerling stage before stocking in village Pond/Dam.
- 2) Problem definition: Due to mortality rate is higher, decrease and uncertain final production
- 3) Details of technologies selected for assessment/ refinement

| Category | Source of technology | | Technology detail | | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Technology option 1 | Farmer | T ₁ | Farmer practices | Direct stocking of spawn into village ponds/reservoir. | | | |
| Technology option 2 | CIFRI, ICAR Institutes | T ₂ | | First rare the fish seeds of Fry stage up to fingerlings stage in a pen system (Closer and controllable water logged area adjoining to pond/dams) and then release in to the main water bodies, | | | |

- 4) Source of Technology: Central Inland Fisheries Research Institutes, Barrakpore, Calcutta.
- 5) Production system and thematic area:
 - > Fish were grown in natural water bodies without any additional treatments.
- 6) Thematic area: To increase the final production.

7) Performance of the Technology assessed / refined with performance indicators:

| Sr. | Name of the farmer | Name of the | Data on | the perfo | rmance | indicators | of the t | echnology |
|-----|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|-------------|----------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| No | | Village | ass | sessed / re | fined [Y | ield (Tone | /ha), Gro | owth |
| | | | (A | vg. Body v | weight)] | at time of | harvest | ing. |
| | | | | T_1 | | T ₂ | T ₂ com | pare to T ₁ |
| | | | Growth | Total Yield | Growth | Total Yield | Growth | Total Yield |
| | | | (Avg. | (Tone/ha) | | (Tone/ha) | (Avg. | (Tone/ha) |
| | | | Body | | Body | | Body | |
| | | | weight) | | weight | | weight | |
| | | | (Kg.) | | (Kg.) | | | |
| 1 | Siraj Umar Safiya | Luharsar | 0.500 | 3.600 | _ | _ | - | - |
| _ | Siraj Omai Sanya | (Gajansa Dam) | 0.500 | 3.000 | | | | |
| 2 | Al UnusMatsyaSahkari Group | NaviVeraval | 0.480 | 3.264 | ı | - | - | - |
| 3 | SikandarSidikbhaiAadmani | KhadDhoraji | 0.470 | 3.008 | - | - | - | - |
| 4 | Mahammad Husain | Nana Khadba | | | 0.500 | 4 502 | - | - |
| 4 | HabibmiyaSaiyad | (Village pond) | _ | - | 0.580 | 4.582 | | |
| 5 | SahedbhaiHasambhaiNakani | Nikava (Village pond) | - | - | 0.670 | 5.561 | - | - |

| | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | Average | 0.478 | 3.291 | 0.638 | 5.034 | 0.16 | 1.743 |
|---|---------------------------------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|
| 6 | AsarfmiyaHabibmiyaSaiyad | Sapada Dem | - | - | 0.620 | 4.960 | - | - |

- **8) Final recommendation for micro level situation:** The inland fish farmers should rare the fish seeds from spawn/fry to fingerling stage in pen system before stocking into the pond/reservoir instead of direct stocking.
- 9) Constraints identified and feedback for research: Natural orldeal location for construction of pen is not available at every place (near the reservoir/Dam or Stocking site) hence sometime cost of preparing of Pen become costly.
- 10) Process of farmer's participation and their reaction: Educated and progressive farmers were selected for conducting the OFT at their pond/reservoir (On lease). Seeds and technical knowledge were provided to them at the site as well as by phone/media. After getting the result they are really happy and applied this technology in future and also give promise to spread this technique among the other fish farmers.

11) Results of On Farm Trials (Average of Three Years):

| TT) Kesuit | S OI OII F | 11111 1111a15 (/ | Average of Inree Ye | ars): | | | |
|-------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Crop/ enterpris e | Farming situatio | Problem Diagnose d | Title of OFT | No. of trial s | Technology Assessed | Parameters of assessment | Data on the paramete r |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| FISH | Inland | Low Productio n | Pen cultures of Indian Major Carp (IMC) (Catla catla) from fry stage to fingerling stage before stocking in village Pond/Dam. | 3 | First rare the fish seeds from fry stage to fingerlings stage in a pen system (Closer and controllable water logged area adjoining to pond/dams) and then release in to the main water bodies. | weight in Kg.) Total Yield (Tone/ha) at the time of | |

| Crop/ enterprise | Results of assessment | Feedback from the farmer | Any refinement done | Justification for refinement |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| FISH | In the assessed technology the seeds (fry stage) of Catla catlawere reared up to fingerling stage in Pen Culture system and then release into the ponds/Dams. In such situation the mortality rate is decreased and more number of seeds can be stocked in ponds/Dams hence, increase up to 12% in growth (body weight) and 34.6 % in total yield, obtained higher net return (42.86% increase) and | proper feed and care , healthy seeds become | No | NA |

| B:C ratio (1.32) as compared | the pond and hence fast |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| to farmers practices. | growth achieved. |

| Crop/ | Technology Assessed | Production | Gross | Cost of | Net Return | B:C |
|------------|-------------------------|------------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------|
| enterprise | /Refined | (Tone/ha) | Return | cultivation | (Profit) in | Ratio |
| enterprise | | | (Rs./ha) | Rs./ha | Rs./ha | |
| 1 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| FISH | T1 (Farmer's Practices) | 3.330 | 133219 | 61632 | 71587 | 2.16 |
| | T2 (Reco. Practices) | 4.483 | 179256 | 76990 | 102267 | 2.32 |

OFT -4 :- Fish

- 1) **Title:** Stocking of Freshwater prawn (*Macrobrachiumrosenbergii*) with IMC fingerlings in village pond/Reservoir
- 2) **Problem definition:** Natural resources cannot be fully utilized due to single spp. of fish was stocked in pond/reservoir by farmers hence, lower the production and finally financial loss.

3) Details of technologies selected for assessment/ refinement

| Category | Source of technology | Technology detail | | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Technology option 1 | Farmer | T ₁ | Farmer | stocking a single species IMC into ponds | | | |
| | | | practices | | | | |
| Tochnology option 2 | CIFRI, ICAR | Т | Reco. | stocking of <i>M. rosenbergii</i> with IMC fingerlings into | | | |
| Technology option 2 | Institutes | 12 | practices | ponds/reservoir | | | |

7) Performance of the Technology assessed / refined with performance indicators:

** OFT could not perform due to insufficient water available in the ponds/reservoir at later stage because of insufficient rain during the late monsoon season

OFT -5 Animal Husbandry (2017-18)

- 1. Title:- Role of Bypass fat in rations of dairy animals.
- **2. Problem definition**: Due to inadequate nutrients in the daily ration, the % fat in milk and productivity of the animal decreased hence, financial loss.
- 3. Details of technologies selected for assessment/refinement

| Category | Source of technology | | Technology detail | | | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------------|-----|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Technology | Farmer | Т | Farmer | Normal dietary pattern ie. Green Fodder, Dry Fodder and | | | | | |
| option 1 | | 1 | practices | concentrate | | | | | |
| Technology option 2 | ANRS, AAU, Anand | T 2 | Reco. practices | Add 100g bypass fat as supplement with normal rations | | | | | |

- 4) Source of Technology: Animal Nutrition Research Station, AAU, Anand.
- 5) Production system:
 - Animals are treated with extra supplements having bypass fat
- **6) Thematic area:** Increase in % fat as well as total production of milk and total income.
- 7) Performance of the Technology assessed with performance indicators:

| Sr. | Name of the farmer | Name of | Name of Data on the performance indicators of the technology assessed / | | | | | | |
|-----|--------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|------------|--|
| No | | the Village | illage refined [% Fat, Total Yield, and Net Income] of initial 5 Months | | | | | | |
| | | | | (153 days) of milking period. | | | | | |
| | | | T ₁ T ₂ | | | | | | |
| | | | % Fat | Total Yield | Net | % Fat | Total | Net Income | |
| | | | (Liter) Income | | | | | (Rs.) | |
| | | | | | (Rs.) | | | | |

| 1 | Mohanbhai Aanandbhai | Gadhaka | 4.1 | 1023 | 18350 | 5.1 | 1178 | 23805 |
|---|----------------------|---------|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|
| | Nakum | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Mansukh Bhikhabhai | Gadhaka | 4.0 | 847 | 10150 | 4.8 | 938 | 12405 |
| | Khandhar | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Nathubhai Lirabhai | Gadhaka | 4.0 | 1055 | 20250 | 4.9 | 1193 | 24855 |
| | Parmar | | | | | | | |
| | | Average | 4.03 | 975 | 16250 | 4.93 | 1103 | 20355 |

- 8) Final recommendation for micro level situation: Trial is going on
- 9) Constraints identified and feedback for research: Trial is going on
- 10) Process of farmer's participation and their reaction:
- 11) Results of On Farm Trials

| 11) Nesults (| 71 OII 1 al III | 111015 | | | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Crop/ enter-price | Farming situation | Problem Diagnosed | Title of OFT | No. of trials | Technology Assessed | Parameters of assessment | Data on the parameter |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Animal Husbandry | Lactating | Low Fat Percentage in milking animals. | Role of Bypass fat in rations of dairy animals. | 3 | Add 100 g bypass fat as supplements in normal ration of the animals. | 1. % Fat increased in milk.2. Increase in total milk Yield in animals | 0.9% 128 Liter |
| | | | | | | 3. Increase in Total Income generated | Rs. 4105 |

| Crop/ | Results of assessment | Feedback from | Any | Justification |
|------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|---------------|
| | | the farmer | refinement | for |
| enterprise | | | done | refinement |
| 1 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Animal | As compare to control data, treated | This product is | NA | NA |
| Husbandry | animals with Bypass fat (100 g/Day with | quite good and | | |
| | daily ration), the percentage of fat is | may help to | | |
| | slight increase (0.9%) as well as the total | increase % fat of | | |
| | production of milk is also increase | milk and | | |
| | around 13% hence 25% increase in total | productivity of | | |
| | net profit for farmers. | animals. | | |

| Cron/ | Technology | Total Yield | Gross Return | Cost of | Net Return | B:C |
|------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|------------------|------------|-------|
| Crop/ | Assessed | (Liter/5 | (Rs. /5 Month) | cultivation (Rs. | (Rs. /5 | Ratio |
| enterprise | /Refined | Month) | | /5 Month) | Month) | |
| 1 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| Animal | T1 (Farmer's | 975 | 48750 | 32500 | 16250 | 1.50 |
| Husbandry | Practices) | | | | | |
| | T2 (Reco. | 1103 | 55150 | 34795 | 20355 | 1.58 |
| | Practices) | | | | | |

OFT -5 Animal Husbandry (2018-19)

- 1. Title:- Role of Bypass fat in rations of dairy animals.
- **2. Problem definition**: Due to inadequate nutrients in the daily ration, the % fat in milk and productivity of the animal decreased hence, financial loss.

3. Details of technologies selected for assessment/ refinement

| Category | Source of technology | Technology detail | | | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Technology option 1 | Farmer | T 1 | Farmer practices | Normal dietary pattern ie. Green Fodder, Dry Fodder and concentrate | | | | |
| Technology option 2 | ANRS, AAU, Anand | T 2 | Reco. practices | Add 100g bypass fat as supplement with normal rations. | | | | |

- 4) Source of Technology: Animal Nutrition Research Station, AAU, Anand.
- 5) Production system:
 - Animals are treated with extra supplements having bypass fat
- 6) Thematic area: Increase in % fat as well as total production of milk and total income.

7) Performance of the Technology assessed with performance indicators:

| | retrottilation of the rectilionopy assessed with performance maleutors. | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|------------|--|
| Sr. | Name of the farmer | Name of | Name of Data on the performance indicators of the technology assessed / | | | | | | |
| No | | the Village | the Village refined [% Fat, Total Yield, and Net Income] of initial 5 Months | | | | | | |
| | | | | (15 | 3 days) of n | nilking pei | riod. | | |
| | | | | T ₁ | | | T ₂ | | |
| | | | % Fat | Total Yield | Net Income | % Fat | Total | Net Income | |
| | | | | (Liter) | (Rs.) | | Yield | (Rs.) | |
| 1 | Jaysukhbhai Harjibhai | Singach | 5.00 | 845.60 | 9480 | 5.70 | 985.6 | 14185 | |
| | Rathod | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Hirenbhai Damjibhai | Singach | 5.11 | 827.40 | 9170 | 5.94 | 931.40 | 12075 | |
| | Rathod | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Jaysukhbhai Savjibhai | Singach | 5.14 | 877.80 | 11390 | 5.98 | 1002.40 | 15325 | |
| | Rathod | | | | | | | | |
| | | Average | 5.08 | 850.27 | 10013 | 5.87 | 973.13 | 13862 | |

- 8) Final recommendation for micro level situation: OFT is interrupted and concluded.
- 9) Constraints identified and feedback for research: OFT is interrupted and concluded.
- **10) Process of farmer's participation and their reaction:** This product is quite good and may help to increase % fat of milk and productivity of animals.

11) Results of On Farm Trials

| Crop/ enter-price | Farming situation | Problem Diagnosed | Title of OFT | No. of trials | Technology Assessed | Parameters of assessment | Data on the parameter |
|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Animal Husbandry | Lactating | Low Fat Percentage in milking animals. | Role of Bypass fat in rations of dairy animals. | 3 | Add 100 g bypass fat as supplements in normal ration of the animals. | % Fat increased in milk. Increase in total milk Yield in animals | 0.79% 122.87 Liter |
| | | | | | | Increase in Total Income generated | Rs. 3848 |

| Cron/ | Results of assessment | Feedback from | Any | Justification |
|------------|-----------------------|---------------|------------|---------------|
| Crop/ | | the farmer | refinement | for |
| enterprise | | | done | refinement |

| 1 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|-----------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------|----|----|
| Animal | As compare to control data, treated | This product is | NA | NA |
| Husbandry | animals with Bypass fat (100 g/Day with | quite good and | | |
| | daily ration), the percentage of fat is | may help to | | |
| | slight increase (0.79%) as well as the | increase % fat of | | |
| | total production of milk is also increase | milk and | | |
| | around 14% hence 38% increase in total | productivity of | | |
| | net profit for farmers. | animals. | | |

| | Technology Assessed | Total | Gross | Cost of | Net Return | B:C |
|------------|----------------------|----------|-------------|------------------|------------|-------|
| Crop/ | /Refined | Yield | Return (Rs. | cultivation (Rs. | (Rs. /5 | Ratio |
| enterprise | | (Liter/5 | /5 Month) | /5 Month) | Month) | |
| | | Month) | | | | |
| 1 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| Animal | T1 (Farmer's | 850.27 | 42513 | 32500 | 10013 | 1.31 |
| Husbandry | Practices) | | | | | |
| | T2 (Reco. Practices) | 973.13 | 48657 | 34795 | 13862 | 1.40 |

D. Details of each On Farm Trial for refinement to be furnished in the following format separately as per the following details:

OFT - 7:- Chilli (Plant Protection)

1) Title:- Management of Thrips in Chilli.

Objective: To minimize the thrips incidence in chilli. To reduce injudicious use of chemical pesticide. To minimize residual effect of chemical

2) Problemdefinition: Incidence of Thrips is increase

- ➤ Heavy infestation of Thrips was found
- ➤ Lack of seed treatment and improper cultivation practices
- > Lack of knowledge about pest outbreaks and its management
- > In judicious use of chemical fertilizer

Problem diagram:-

| Resurgence of thrips | | Multi season cropping system |
|-------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Mono-cropping system | | Lack of knowledge about pest outbreaks |
| Wiono cropping system | Management | and its management |
| Lack of seed treatment | of thrips in | Lack of improper cultivation practices |
| In judicious use of pesticide | chilli | In judicious use of chemical fertilizer |
| Irregular irrigation | | Improper use of FYM (without decomposition) |

3) Details of technologies for assessment/refinement:

| Category | Source of technology | Tech | Technology details | | |
|---------------------|----------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Technology option 1 | Farmer | T ₁ | Farmer practices | Injudicious use of insecticides. [use of chlorpyriphos, quinalphos, flubendiamide, imidacloprid, Fipronil, Thiamethoxam, cypermethrin, lamdacyhalothrin after infestation of thrips at weekly interval without follow ETL] | |
| Technology | Main | Т | Reco. | Seed treatment with imidacloprid 70 WS (7.5 g/kg | |
| option 2 | vegetable | 2 | practices | seed) and dipping of seedling before transplanting for | |

| | research station, AAU, Anand | | | two hours in solution of imidacloprid 17.8 SL (10 ml/10 litre water) or Thiamethoxam 25 WG (10 g/10 litre water). Spraying of spinosad 45 SC (3 ml/10 litre water) |
|------------|---------------------------------------|---|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Technology | | т | Refined | Spray of Beauveria bassiana @ 5 g/lit of water at 15 |
| option 3 | | 3 | practices 1 | days interval |

- 4) Source of Technology: Junagadh Agricultural University
- **5) Production system:** Irrigated, *Kharif* crop and all agronomical practices adopted commonly.
- 6) Thematic area: Management of thrips in chilli
- 7) Performance of the Technology assessed/refined with performance indicators:

| Sr. No. | Name of the farmer | Name of the | 5, | | | | | | |
|------------|-------------------------------|----------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--|
| | | Village | Т | 1 | Т | 2 | Т | 3 | |
| | | | No. of | Yield | No. of | Yield | No. of | Yield | |
| | | | Thrips | | Thrips | | Thrips | | |
| 1 | MungaraJentibhaiValjibhai | Dodhiya | 31 | 75 | 21 | 88 | 15 | 96 | |
| 2 | Mungara Babubhai Jerambhai | Balamb hadi | 35 | 68 | 20 | 90 | 20 | 94 | |
| 3 | KhandaraMadhavjiJivabhai | Gadhka | 33 | 76 | 16 | 92 | 13 | 98 | |
| | Average | 33.00 | 73.00 | 19.00 | 90.00 | 16.00 | 96.00 | | |

- **8) Final recommendation for micro level situation:** Application of *Beauveria bassiana* @ 5 g/lit of water at 15 days interval as initiation of pest incidence having minimum pest population and highest yield with farmers practices.
- 9) Constraints identified and feedback for research:
 - Time of application cannot identified for spraying
 - > High population of sucking pests, incidence of leaf curl
 - Yield increase as compare to farmers' practices.
 - > Reduce the thrips as well as leaf curl incidence.
- **10) Process of farmer's participation and their reaction:** Farmers have good response and they have support for OFT. Recommended practices having found incidence of thrips where it is repeated use. However, refinement 1 is very effective treatment for the management of thrips and highest yield.
- 11) Results of On Farm Trial

| Crop/ enter- prise | Farm- ing situ- ation | ProblemDiag- nosed | Title of OFT | No. of trials* | Technology Assessed | Parameters of assessment | | ta on the rameter Q/ha |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|----------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | | 8 |
| | l mai | | Management | | Use of | No of the sino /2 to sign | T ₁ | 73.00 |
| Chilli | Irri- | IPM | of Thrips in | 3 | balance | No of thrips/3 twig and yield (q/ha) | T ₂ | 90.00 |
| | gated | | Chilli | | fertilizers | and yield (q/na) | T ₃ | 96.00 |

| Crop/ enterprise | Results of assessment | Feedback from the farmer | Any refinement done | Justification for refinement |
|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |

| | | Farmers have good | Application of | It is necessary |
|--------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| | Application of | response and they have | Bearuveria | against |
| | Bearuveria bassiana @ | support for OFT. | bassiana @ 5 g/lit | outbreak of |
| | 5 g/lit of water at 15 | Recommended practices | of water at 15 days | pest and heavy |
| | days intervals initiation | having found incidence of | intervals initiation | infestation. |
| Chilli | of pest incidence having | thrips where it is repeated | of pest incidence. | Also resistance |
| | minimum pest | use. However, refinement | | developed |
| | population and highest | 1 is very effective | | against |
| | yield with farmers | treatment for the | | conventional |
| | practices. | management of thrips and | | insecticide. |
| | | highest yield. | | |

| Crop/ enterprise | Ted | chnology Assessed / Refined | Production kg/ha | Input costRs./ha | Gross returnRs./ha (Rate 60.00/kg | Net Return (Profit) in Rs. / ha | BC Ratio |
|---------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1 | 13 | | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| Chilli | T ₁ | Injudicious use of insecticides. [use of chlorpyriphos, quinalphos, flubendiamide, imidacloprid, Fipronil, Thiamethoxam, cypermethrin, lamdacyhalothrin after infestation of thrips at weekly interval without follow ETL] | 7300 | 84000 | 438000 | 354000 | 5.21 |
| | T ₂ | Seed treatment with imidacloprid 70 WS (7.5 g/kg seed) and dipping of seedling before transplanting for two hours in solution of imidacloprid 17.8 SL (10 ml/10 litre water) or thiamethoxam 25 WG (10 g/10 litre water). Spraying of spinosad 45 SC (3 ml/10 litre water) | 9000 | 75000 | 540000 | 465000 | 7.20 |
| | T ₃ | Spray of <i>Bearuveria</i> bassiana @ 5 g/lit of water at 15 days interval | 9600 | 65700 | 576000 | 510300 | 8.77 |

OFT - 8 :- Garlic (Refinement) (Plant Protection)

1) Title:- Management of purple blotch of garlic

Objective: To minimize the infestation of purple blotch of garlic. To increase production. To reduce yield loss of garlic

2) Problem definition: Incidence of Thrips is increase

- 1. Heavy infestation of Thrips and purple blotch was found
- 2. Lack of seed treatment and improper cultivation practices

- 3. Lack of knowledge about pest, diseases outbreaks and its management
- 4. Injudicious use of nitrogenous fertilizer
- 5. Lack of fungicides use as preventive measure

Problem diagram :-

| Improper cultivation practices | | Multi season cropping system |
|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|
| Mono-cropping system | | Heavy infestation of purple blotch |
| Wono-cropping system | | was found |
| Lack of seed treatment | Management of | Lack of knowledge about diseases |
| Lack of seed freatment | purple blotch of | outbreaks and its management |
| In judicious use of | garlic | In judicious use of chemical |
| pesticide/fungicide | 8 | fertilizer |
| Irrogular irrigation | | Improper use of FYM (without |
| Irregular irrigation | | decomposition) |

3) Details of technologies for assessment/refinement:

| Category | Source of technology | Tec | echnology details | | |
|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Technology option 1 | Farmer | T ₁ | Farmer practices | Injudicious use of fungicide (Spray insecticides at weekly interval), spray fungicide after initiation/heavy infestation of diseases. | |
| Technology option 2 | Director of Onion & Garlic Research Station, ICAR | T ₂ | Reco. practices | Foliar sprays of Mancozeb @0.25%, Tricyclazole @ 0.1% and Hexaconazole @0.01% at 30, 45 and 60 days respectively after transplanting helps in checking disease incidence. | |
| Technology option 3 | | T ₃ | Refined practices | Application of <i>Trichoderma</i> @ 5 kg/ha along with FYM @ 1 tonne/ha by broadcasting method + Foliar sprays of Hexaconazole @ 0.01% and Tebuconazole @0.05% at 40 and 60 days respectively after transplanting helps in checking disease incidence. | |

- 4) Source of Technology: JAU, Junagadh and Director of Onion & Garlic Research Station, ICAR
- **5) Productionsystem:** Irrigated, *Rabi* crop and all agronomical practices adopted commonly.
- 6) Thematic area: Integrated disease management
- 7) Performance of the Technology assessed/refined with performance indicators:

| 7) renormance of the reclinology assessed/renned with performance indicators. | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|--|
| Sr. | Name of the farmer | Name of | Data on the performance indicators of the technology assessed / | | | | | | |
| No | | the | refined [Yield (q/ha), No. of infected plant/ 1 meter row length] | | | | | | |
| | | Village | T ₁ | | T ₂ | | T ₃ | | |
| | | | No. of | Yield | No. of infected | Yield | No. of infected | Yield | |
| | | | infected plant | | plant | | plant | | |
| 1 | Sudani Hasmukhbhai | Dodhiya | 22 | 41 12 | 12 | 54 | 10 | 57 | |
| | Samjibhai | | 23 | | 12 | | | | |
| 2 | Sabhaya Jentibhai | KhojaB | 19 | 43 14 | 1.4 | 52 | 10 | 64 | |
| | Popatbhai | eraja | 19 | | 14 | | | | |
| 3 | Sabhaya Dharmesh | KhojaB | 24 | 20 | 16 | ٠. | 12 | 59 | |
| | Bhanjibhai | eraja | 24 | 39 | 16 | 53 | 13 | | |
| Average | | | 22.00 | 41.00 | 14.00 | 53.00 | 11.00 | 60.00 | |

8) Finalrecommendationfor micro level situation: Application of *Trichoderma* @ 5 kg/ha along with FYM @ 1 tonne/ha by broadcasting method + Foliar sprays of Hexaconazole @ 0.01% and Tebuconazole @0.05% at 40 and 60 days respectively after transplanting helps in checking disease incidence and having minimum infestation of disease and highest yield with farmers practices.

9) Constraints identified and feedback for research:

- > Time of application cannot identify for spraying
- > Yield increase as compare to farmers' practices.
- Reduce the infestation of purple blotch disease.
- **10) Process of farmer's participation and their reaction:** Farmers have good response and they have support for conducting OFT. Recommended practices having found less infestation of purple blotch disease where it is repeated use. However, refinement 1 is very effective treatment for the management of purple blotch and highest yield.

11) Results of On Farm Trials

| Crop/ enter- prise | Farm- ing situ- ation | ProblemDiag- nosed | Title of OFT | No. of trials* | Technology Assessed | Parameters of assessment | Data on the parameter Q/ha | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 4 | | 6 | 7 | 8 | |
| | Irri- gated | I IDM I | Management of purple | 3 | Use of fungicides | No. of infected plant/ | T_1 | 41.00 |
| Garlic | | | | | | 1 meter row length | T_2 | 53.00 |
| | | | blotch of garlic | 3 | | and yield (q/ha) | T ₃ | 60.00 |

| Crop/ enterprise | Results of assessment | Feedback from the farmer | Any refinement done | Justificationforrefinement | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| 1 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | | |
| | Application of | Farmers have good | Application of | It is necessary against | | |
| | Trichoderma @ 5 | response and they have | Trichoderma @ | heavy incidence of | | |
| | kg/ha along with | support for OFT. | 5 kg/ha along | diseases. Also resistance | | |
| | FYM @ 1 tonne/ha | Recommended practices | with FYM @ 1 | developed against | | |
| | by broadcasting | having found less | tonne/ha by | conventional fungicide. | | |
| | method + Foliar | infestation of purple | broadcasting | | | |
| | sprays of | blotch where it is | method + Foliar | | | |
| Carlia | Hexaconazole @ | repeated use. However, | sprays of | | | |
| Garlic | 0.01% and | refinement 1 is very | Hexaconazole @ | | | |
| | Tebuconazole | effective treatment for | 0.01% and | | | |
| | @0.05% at 40 and | the management of | Tebuconazole | | | |
| | 60 days | purple blotch and | @0.05% at 40 | | | |
| | respectively after | highest yield. | and 60 days | | | |
| | transplanting helps | | respectively | | | |
| | in checking disease | | after | | | |
| | incidence. | | transplanting. | | | |

| Crop/ enterprise | Technology Assessed / Refined | | Production kg/ha | Input Cost Rs./ha | Gross return Rs./ha (Rate 25.00/kg | Net Return (Profit) in Rs. / ha | BC Ratio |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1 | 13 | | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| Garlic | T ₁ | Injudicious use of fungicide (Spray insecticides at weekly interval), spray fungicide after initiation/heavy infestation of diseases. | 4100 | 86000 | 102500 | 16500 | 1.19 |

| T ₂ | Foliar sprays of Mancozeb @0.25%, Tricyclazole @ 0.1% and Hexaconazole @0.01% at 30, 45 and 60 days respectively after transplanting helps in checking disease incidence. | 5300 | 78000 | 132500 | 54500 | 1.70 |
|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-------|--------|-------|------|
| Ts | Application of <i>Trichoderma</i> @ 5 kg/ha along with FYM @ 1 tonne/ha by broadcasting method + Foliar sprays of Hexaconazole @ 0.01% and Tebuconazole @0.05% at 40 and 60 days respectively after transplanting helps in checking disease incidence. | 6000 | 68000 | 150000 | 82000 | 2.21 |

OFT:-9 GROUNDNUT (INM)

1) Title:-Effect of Bio-fertilizers in groundnut production

2) Problem definition:

The productivity of groundnut, in India is low due to low consumption of fertilizers. The residual toxicities of chemical fertilizers posing problem of environmental pollution, depletion of essential nutrients due to indiscriminate use of inorganic fertilizers which has threat to the sustainability of crop production. For sustained groundnut production the modern farming demand integrated use of organic and inorganic fertilizers along with bio-fertilizers. Hence, an OFT was carried out to find out the suitable low cost input bio-fertilizer to enhance the groundnut productivity.

3) Details of technologies selected for assessment/ refinement

| Category | Source of technology | | | Technology detail | | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Technology | Farmer | | Farmer | Injudicious use of fertilizers 120-125 kg DAP(22.5 N- 57.5 | | | | | |
| option 1 | | T ₁ | | P_2O_5 kg/ha). | | | | | |
| Technology | 1011 | _ | Reco. | Recommended dose of fertilizer (12.5N-25 P ₂ O ₅ - | | | | | |
| option 2 | JAU | T ₂ | practices | 50K₂Okg/ha) | | | | | |
| Tashnalagu | | | Refined | 75% RDF + Seed treatment of Rhizobium, PSB, KMB | | | | | |
| Technology option 3 | | T ₃ | practices | (Potash Mobilizing Bacteria) culture each at 25-30 ml/kg | | | | | |
| Option 3 | | | 1 | seed | | | | | |

⁴⁾ Source of Technology: - Junagadh Agricultural University

5) Production system:

- Crop grown as Integrated Crop Management system and all other agronomical practices adopted commonly.
- **6) Thematic area:** To enhance the groundnut productivity.

7) Performance of the Technology assessed / refined with performance indicators:

| Sr. No | | Name of the Village | Data on the performance indicators of the technolo assessed / refined [Yield (q/ha), from each plot] | | | | | |
|-----------|---------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|----------------|--------|----------------|--------|
| | | | T ₁ | | T ₂ | | T ₃ | |
| | | | Haulm | Pod | Haulm | Pod | Haulm | Pod |
| | | | yield | Yield | yield | Yield | yield | Yield |
| | | | (q/ha) | (q/ha) | (q/ha) | (q/ha) | (q/ha) | (q/ha) |
| 1 | Mungara Mohanbhai Jinabhai | Surypara | 37 | 19.5 | 40 | 20.8 | 41.5 | 21.4 |
| 2 | Mungara Mohanbhai Laxmanbhai | Surypara | 39 | 22 | 41.6 | 23.4 | 43 | 24.1 |

| p biitiya i ai batbiiai i iajabiiai | Γ | Briting a r ar battoriai r rajabilai | . accina | 00 | 10.2 | 0, | | | 13.3 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|----------|----|------|----|------|----|------|
| Bhtiya Parbatbhai Hajabhai Patelka 35 18.2 37 19.4 38 19.9 | ٢ | | Tatenta | 33 | 10.2 | 3, | 15.4 | 30 | 13.3 |

8) Final recommendation for micro level situation:

The results of the study revealed that the application of 75% RDF + seed treatment of Rhizobium, PSB, KMB (Potash Mobilizing Bacteria) culture each at 25-30 ml/kg seed (T_3)produced higher pod yield (21.80 q/ha), haulm yield (40.83 q/ha), net return (Rs. 76446/ha) and BCR (2.56) than other treatments. T_3 reduced use of chemical fertilizers and increases the use of low cost input bio-fertilizer to enhance the long term groundnut productivity.

9) Constraints identified and feedback for research:

- Lack of knowledge about bio-fertilizers & use of bio-fertilizers
- > Lack of knowledge about fertilizers
- Use of higher dose of fertilizers

10) Process of farmer's participation and their reaction: Satisfactory

11) Results of On Farm Trials:

| II) Nesalts t | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Crop/ enterprise | Farming situation | Problem Diagnosed | Title of OFT | No. of trials* | Technology Assessed | Parameters of assessment | | Data on t | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | | 8 | |
| Groundnut | Irri-gated | INM | Effect of Bio- fertilizers in groundnut production | 3 | Use of balance fertilizers | Haulm yield (q/ha) and Pod yield (q/ha) | T ₁ T ₂ T ₃ | Haulm yield (q/ha) 37.00 39.53 40.83 | Pod yield (q/ha) 19.90 21.20 21.80 |

| Crop/ enterprise | Results of assessment | Feedback from the farmer | Any refinement done | Justification for refinement |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Groundnut | + seed treatment of Rhizobium, PSB, KMB (Potash Mobilizing Bacteria) culture each at 25-30 ml/kg seed].It also reduced use of chemical | Farmers have good response and they have support for OFT. T ₃ produced | Use of bio fertilizer | It is necessary for reduced use of chemical fertilizers and increases the use of low cost input bio-fertilizer to enhance the groundnut productivity. |
| | fertilizers and increases the use of low cost input bio-fertilizer to enhance the groundnut productivity. | higher yield and it is very effective for longer period. | | |

| Crop/ | Technology | | Production kg/ha | | Gross return | Cost of | Net | BC Ratio |
|------------|-----------------------|-----------|------------------|---------|--------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| enterprise | Assessed / Refined | | Haulm | Pod | Rs./ha | cultivation Rs./ha | Return | |
| | | Keimea | yield | Yield | | KS./na | (Profit) in | |
| | | | (Kg/ha) | (Kg/ha) | | | Rs. / ha | |
| 1 | | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| Groundnut | T_1 | Farmer | 3700 | 1990 | 114300 | 48880 | 65420 | 2.34 |
| | | practices | | | | | | |

| | T ₂ | Reco. | 3953 | 2120 | 121812 | 49248 | 72564 | 2.47 |
|--|----------------|-------------|------|------|--------|-------|-------|------|
| | | practices | | | | | | |
| | T_3 | Refined | 4083 | 2180 | 125332 | 48886 | 76446 | 2.56 |
| | | practices 1 | | | | | | |

Selling Rate: Groundnut pod: 50 Rs per kg, Groundnut haulm: 4 Rs per kg

OFT:10 NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT(Wheat)

1) Title:-Response of Bio fertilizers to wheat yield

2) Problem definition:

Lower productivity and profitability in wheat cultivation due to imbalance application of nutrients. For sustained wheat production the modern farming demand integrated use of organic and inorganic fertilizers along with bio-fertilizers. Hence, an OFT was carried out to find out the suitable low cost input bio-fertilizer to enhance the wheat productivity.

3) Details of technologies selected for assessment/refinement

| Category | Source of technology | | Technology detail | | | | | |
|------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Technology | Farmer | - | Farmer | Application of only DAP & Urea in Different Doses (109 N – | | | | |
| option 1 | | T ₁ | practices | 57.5 P₂O₅) kg/ha | | | | |
| Technology | JAU | т | Reco. | Recommended dose of fertilizer (120N-60 P ₂ O ₅ - | | | | |
| option 2 | JAU | T ₂ | practices | 60K₂O)kg/ha | | | | |
| Tachnalagy | | | Refined | 75% RDF + seed treatment of Azotobacter, PSB, PMB | | | | |
| Technology | | T_3 | | (Potash Mobilizing Bacteria) culture each at 25-30 ml/kg | | | | |
| option 3 | | | practices 1 | seed | | | | |

⁴⁾ Source of Technology: - Junagadh Agricultural University

5) Production system and thematic area:

Crop grown as Integrated Crop Management system and all other agronomical practices adopted commonly.

6) Thematic area: To enhance the wheat productivity.

7) Performance of the Technology assessed / refined with performance indicators:

| | Average | | 46.04 | 66.67 | 48.75 | 69.79 | 49.58 | 70.42 |
|-----|-------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------|--------|----------------|--------|----------------|
| | Raghavajibhai | Garediya | | | | | | |
| 3 | Limbasiya Dhanshyambhai | Mota | 47.5 | 68.75 | 49.38 | 71.25 | 50 | 70 |
| | Dharamshibhai | Garediya | | | | | | |
| 2 | Limbasiya Bharatkumar | Mota | 44.38 | 65 | 46.88 | 67.5 | 47.5 | 68.75 |
| | Babubhai | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Dudhagara Riteshkumar | Bajarangpur | 46.25 | 66.25 | 50 | 70.63 | 51.25 | 72.5 |
| | | | (q/ha) | (q/ha) | (q/ha) | (q/ha) | (q/ha) | (q/ha) |
| | | | yield | Yield | yield | Yield | yield | Yield |
| | | | Grain | Straw | Grain | Straw | Grain | Straw |
| | | | Т | T ₁ | | T ₂ | | T ₃ |
| No | | Village | assessed / refined [Yield (q/ha), from each plot] | | | | | h plot] |
| Sr. | Name of the farmer | Name of the | | • | | | | chnology |
| | | ,,, | chilea with performance maleators. | | | | | |

8) Final recommendation for micro level situation:

The results of the study revealed that the application of 75% RDF + seed treatment of Azotobacter, PSB, PMB (Potash Mobilizing Bacteria) culture each at 25-30 ml/kg seed (T_3) produced higher grain yield (49.58 q/ha), straw yield (70.42 q/ha), net return (Rs. 60920/ha) and BCR (2.49) than other treatments. T_3 reduced use of chemical fertilizers and increases the use of low cost input bio-fertilizer to enhance the long term wheat productivity.

9) Constraints identified and feedback for research:

- ➤ Lack of knowledge about bio-fertilizers & use of bio-fertilizers
- > Lack of knowledge about fertilizers
- Use of higher dose of fertilizers

10) Process of farmer's participation and their reaction: Satisfactory, Farmers have good response and they have support for OFT. T3 produced higher yield and it is very effective for longer period

11) Results of On Farm Trials:

| Crop/ enterprise | Farming situation | Problem Diagnosed | Title of OFT | No. of trials* | Technology Assessed | Parameters of assessment | Data on the paran Q/ha | | - | |
|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | | 8 | | |
| Wheat | Irri-gated | INM | Response of Bio fertilizers to wheat yield | 3 | Use of balance fertilizers | Grain yield (q/ha) and Straw yield (q/ha) | T ₁ T ₂ T ₃ | Grain yield (q/ha) 46.04 48.75 49.58 | Straw yield (q/ha) 66.67 69.79 70.42 | |

| Crop/ enterpris e | Results of assessment | Feedback from the farmer | Any refinemen t done | Justification for refinement |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Wheat | Higher yield was produced by treatment T ₃ [application of 75% RDF + seed treatment of Azotobacter, PSB, PMB (Potash Mobilizing Bacteria) culture each at 25-30 ml/kg seed]. It also reduced use of chemical fertilizers and increases the use of low cost input biofertilizer to enhance the wheat productivity. | good response and they have support for OFT. T ₃ produced higher yield and it is very effective | fertilizer | It is necessary for reduced use of chemical fertilizers and increases the use of low cost input bio-fertilizer to enhance the wheat productivity. |

| Crop/ | | Technology | Production | n kg/ha | Gross | Cost of | Net | ВС |
|------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|
| enterprise | Assessed / Refined | | Grain yield (Kg/ha) | Straw Yield (Kg/ha) | return Rs./ha | cultivation Rs./ha | return (Profit) in Rs. / ha | Ratio |
| 1 | | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| Wheat | T ₁ | Farmer practices | 4604 | 6667 | 94720 | 40108 | 54612 | 2.36 |
| | T ₂ | Reco. practices | 4875 | 6979 | 100175 | 41980 | 58195 | 2.39 |
| | T ₃ | Refined practices | 4958 | 7042 | 101796 | 40876 | 60920 | 2.49 |

Sale price: Wheat Grain: 18.4 Rs per kg, Wheat straw: 1.5 Rs per kg

3.3 FRONTLINE DEMONSTRATION

A. Follow-up for results of FLDs implemented during previous years

List of technologies demonstrated during previous year and popularized during 2017-18 and recommended for large scale adoption in the district

| S. | Crop/ | Thematic | | Details of popularization | Horizontal spread of technology | | |
|----|------------|----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|------|
| No | Enterprise | Area* | Technology demonstrated | methods | No. of | No. of farmers | Area |

| | | | | Ale a Francisco | | | |
|---------|--------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|------|------|
| | | | | the Extension | | | |
| | _ | | | system | | | |
| 1 | Groundnut | ICM | Beauveria, | Field days, | 128 | 1280 | 7680 |
| | Kharif-17-18 | | Metarhiziumanisopliae, | Field visit, | | | |
| | | | Trichoderma, PSB, Rhizobium, | Radio talk, | | | |
| | | | Micro Nutrient | On/Off Campus | | | |
| 2 | Sesame | ICM | Beauveria, Trichoderma, PSB, | Training and TV | 32 | 69 | 384 |
| | Kharif-17-18 | | Azotobacter, Micro Nutrient | Program, | | | |
| 3 | Groundnut | ICM | PSB, Rhizobium, Trichoderma, | Exhibition and | 58 | 123 | 727 |
| | Sum-17-18 | | Beauveria, Imidacloprid, | demonstration | | | |
| | | | Thiodicarb, | demonstration | | | |
| | | | Carbendazim+Mancozeb, | | | | |
| | | | Acetamiprid, Cypermethrin | | | | |
| 4 | Sesame | ICM | PSB, Azotobacter, | | 13 | 58 | 248 |
| | Sum-17-18 | | Trichoderma, Beauveria, | | | | |
| | | | Carbendazim+Mancozeb, | | | | |
| | | | Acetamiprid, Cypermethrin | | | | |
| 5 | Brinjal | IPM | Beauveria, PSB, Profenophos, | | 5 | 28 | 65 |
| | Kharif-17-18 | | Azotobacter | | | | |
| 6 | Chilli | IPM | Beauveria, PSB, Profenophos, | | 6 | 32 | 87 |
| | Kharif-17-18 | | Azotobacter | | | | |
| 7 | Okra | Varietal(seed) | Variety GJO-3, GHOH-3 |] | 8 | 54 | 162 |
| | Kharif-17-18 | | Beauveria, PSB, Profenophos, | | | | |
| | | | Azotobacter | | | | |
| 8 | Cumin | IPM/INM | PSB, Azotobacter, Beauveria, | 1 | 36 | 356 | 2180 |
| | Rabi 17-18 | | Trichoderma | | | | |
| 9 | Coriander | IPM/INM | PSB, Azotobacter, Beauveria, | | 5 | 25 | 82 |
| | Rabi 17-18 | • | Trichoderma | | J | | 02 |
| 10 | Ajwain | IPM/INM | PSB, Azotobacter, Beauveria, | | 3 | 17 | 30 |
| | Rabi 17-18 | • | Trichoderma | | J | | |
| 11 | Cumin(ATIC) | ICM | PSB, <i>Azotobacter</i> , Beauveria, | | 36 | 356 | 2180 |
| | Rabi 17-18 | - | Trichoderma | | 30 | 330 | 2100 |
| 12 | Coriander | ICM | PSB, <i>Azotobacter</i> , Beauveria, | - | 5 | 25 | 82 |
| 1 | (ATIC) Rabi | | Trichoderma | | 3 | 23 | 02 |
| | 17-18 | | | | | | |
| 13 | Wheat | INM/IPM | PSB, Micro nutrients G-4, | - | 35 | 680 | 4080 |
| | Rabi 17-18 | , | Azotobacter, Zinc sulphate | | 33 | 000 | 4000 |
| 14 | Pearl Millet | Variety | Seed (GHB-732), PSB, | 1 | 6 | 13 | 42 |
| | | , | Azotobacter | | J | 13 | 74 |
| 15 | Cotton | IPM | Azotobacter, Beauveria, PSB, | 1 | 142 | 1430 | 8530 |
| | Kharif-17-18 | | Imidacloprid | | 17 4 | 1730 | 0550 |
| 16 | Kitchen | Kitchen | Vegetable Seed | | 15 | 35 | 130 |
| 10 | gardening | gardening | regetable beed | | 10 | 33 | 130 |
| 17 | Solar cooker | Use | Solar cooker | | 12 | 30 | 100 |
| | Join Cooker | renewable | 55.a. 555Kci | | 14 | 30 | 100 |
| | | energy | | | | | |
| <u></u> | | chergy | | 1 | | | |

B. Details of FLDs implemented during 2018-19 (Information is to be furnished in the following three tables for each category i.e. cereals, horticultural crops, oilseeds, pulses, cotton and commercial crops.)

| Sr. | Cron | Themati | Technology Demonstrated | Season | Area (ha) | | No. of farmers/ demonstration | | |
|-----|----------|---------|--------------------------|----------|-----------|--------|----------------------------------|--------|------|
| No. | No. Crop | c area | reciniology Demonstrated | and year | Prop- | Actual | SC/ST | Others | Tota |
| | | | | | osed | | | | ı |
| | | | Oilseeds | | | | | | |

| 1 | Crounday | ICNA | Soud (CIC 33) | Vhorif | 20 | 20 | 1 | 40 | Γ0 |
|----|--------------|----------|-------------------------------------|------------------|-----|-----|---|----|-----|
| 1 | Groundnu | ICM | Seed (GJG-22), | Kharif- 18-19 | 20 | 20 | 2 | 48 | 50 |
| | t | | Metarhiziumanisopliae, Trichoderma, | 18-19 | | | | | |
| _ | Casama | ICNA | Rhizobium, PSB | I/la a wif | 20 | 20 | _ | F0 | Ε0 |
| 2 | Sesame | ICM | Seed (Guj.Till-4), Beauveria, | Kharif- | 20 | 20 | 0 | 50 | 50 |
| _ | Constant | ICNA | Trichoderma, Azotobacter, PSB | 18-19 | 40 | 40 | 4 | 00 | 100 |
| 3 | Groundnu | ICM | Trichoderma, PSB, Azotobacter, | Kharif- | 40 | 40 | 1 | 99 | 100 |
| | t (ATIC) | | Beauveria | 18-19 | | | | | |
| _ | 5. | 1014 | Pulses | 141 16 | 4.0 | 4.0 | | | |
| 4 | Pigeon | ICM | Beauveria, Trichoderma, Rhizobium, | Kharif- | 10 | 10 | 0 | 25 | 25 |
| | pea | | PSB | 18-19 | | | | | |
| 5 | Chickpea | IPM, | Seed GG-5, Beauveria, Trichoderma, | Rabi-18- | 20 | 20 | 0 | 50 | 50 |
| | | Varietal | Rhizobium, PSB | 19 | | | | | |
| | | | Horticultural | | | | | | |
| 6 | Brinjal | IPM, | GJLB-4, Azotobactor, PSM, | Kharif- | 2 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| | | Varietal | Trichoderma, Azadirachtin, | 18-19 | | | | | |
| | | | Profenophos | | | | | | |
| 7 | Chilli | IPM | Azotobactor, PSM, Trichoderma, | Kharif- | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| | | | Azadirachtin, Profenophos | 18-19 | | | | | |
| 8 | Okra | IPM, | Seed-GJO-3 , Azotobactor, PSM, | Kharif- | 2 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| | | Varietal | Trichoderma, Azadirachtin, | 18-19 | | | | | |
| | | | Profenophos | | | | | | |
| | | | Spices Crops | | | | | | |
| 9 | Cumin | IDM | Trichoderma | Rabi-18- 19 | 04 | 04 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| 10 | Coriander | ICM | Trichoderma, PSB, Azotobacter, | Rabi-18- | 10 | 10 | 0 | 25 | 25 |
| | (ATIC) | | Beauveria | 19 | | | | | |
| 11 | Cumin | ICM | Trichoderma, PSB, Azotobacter, | Rabi-18- | 20 | 20 | 0 | 50 | 50 |
| | (ATIC) | | Beauveria | 19 | | | | | |
| | | | Cereals | | | | | | |
| 12 | Pearl Millet | Variety | Seed (GHB-732) | Sum-18- | 04 | 04 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| | | | , | 19 | | | | | |
| | | | Others crops | | | | | | |
| 13 | Cotton | IPM/IN | Azotobactor, PSM, Trichoderma, | Kharif- | 8 | 8 | 0 | 20 | 20 |
| | | M | Azadirachtin, Profenophos | 18-19 | | | | | |
| 14 | Cotton | ICM | SNPV, PSB, Azotobacter, MDP, | Kharif- | 40 | 10 | 0 | 25 | 25 |
| | (ATIC) | | Beauveria | 18-19 | | | | | |
| 15 | Kitchen | | Vegetable Seed | Kharif- | 2 | 2 | 3 | 47 | 50 |
| | gardening | | | 18-19 | | | | | |
| 16 | Solar cooker | Solar | Solar cooker | 2018-19- | - | - | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| | (ATIC) | energy | | 19 | | | | | |
| 17 | Seaweed | Seawee | Raft + sea weed material | 2018-19 | - | - | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| | | d | | | | | | | |
| 18 | Plastic | | Plastic mulching | Sum-18- | 2.5 | 2.5 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| | mulching | | , | 19 | | | | | |
| Ь | | l | 1 | | | L | 1 | l | 1 |

Details of farming situation

| | | Farming | Soil | Status of soil | | | Previous | Sowing | Harvost | Seasonal | No. of |
|-----------|---------|----------------|--------|----------------|---|------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|--------|
| Crop | Season | situation | | N | О | К | | date | date | rainfall | rainy |
| | | (RF/Irrigated) | type N | Р | N | crop | uate | uate | (mm) | days | |
| Oilseeds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Groundnut | Kharif- | Rainfed | MB | L | М | Н | Cotton, | 1 Jul-15 | 15 to 30 | 370 | 15 |
| | 18-19 | | | | | | Chickpea, | Jul | Oct | | |
| | | | | | | | Wheat | | | | |

| Sesame | Kharif- | Rainfed | МВ | L | М | Н | Cotton, | 1 Jul-15 | 1 to 15 | 370 | 15 |
|---------------|---------|-----------|------|---|-----|-------|-----------|----------|----------|-----|----|
| Sesame | 18-19 | Rainica | IVID | _ | 101 | • • • | Chickpea, | Jul | Oct | 370 | 13 |
| | 10 15 | | | | | | Cumin, | Jui | Oct | | |
| | | | | | | | Wheat | | | | |
| Groundnut | Kharif- | Irrigated | MB | L | М | Н | Cotton, | 15 Feb- | 15 to 30 | 370 | 15 |
| (ATIC) | 18-19 | J | | | | | Groundnut | 10 Mar | May | | |
| Pulses | | | | | | | | | , | | |
| Pigeon pea | Kharif- | Irrigated | МВ | L | М | Н | Wheat, | 15-30 | 1-15 | 370 | 15 |
| | 18-19 | - | | | | | Cumin | Aug | Jan | | |
| Chickpea | Rabi- | Irrigated | МВ | L | М | Н | Groundnut | 25-30 | 15-30 | 370 | 15 |
| | 18-19 | | | | | | | Octo | Feb | | |
| Horticultural | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Brinjal | Kharif- | Irrigated | МВ | L | М | Н | Wheat, | 15July- | 1Nov- | 370 | 15 |
| | 18-19 | | | | | | Chickpea | 15Aug. | 15Feb | | |
| Chilli | Kharif- | Irrigated | MB | L | М | Н | Cumin, | 15July- | 150ct- | 370 | 15 |
| | 18-19 | | | | | | Wheat | 15Aug. | 30Jan | | |
| Okra | Kharif- | Irrigated | MB | L | М | Н | G'nut, | 20 Oct- | 10-25 | 370 | 15 |
| | 18-19 | | | | | | Coriander | 15Nov | Feb | | |
| Spices | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cumin | Rabi- | Irrigated | MB | L | М | Н | G'nut, | 1 -15 | 1-15 | 370 | 15 |
| | 18-19 | | | | | | Sesame | Nov | Feb | | |
| Coriander | Rabi- | Irrigated | MB | L | М | Н | G'nut, | 1 - 15 | 1-15 | 370 | 15 |
| (ATIC) | 18-19 | | | | | | Sesame | Nov | Feb | | |
| Cumin (ATIC) | Rabi- | Irrigated | MB | L | M | Н | G'nut, | 15-30 | 1-15 | 370 | 15 |
| | 18-19 | | | | | | Sesame | Oct | Mar | | |
| Cereals | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pearl Millet | Sum- | Irrigated | MB | L | M | Н | Wheat, | 15-30 | 10-20 | 370 | 15 |
| | 18-19 | | | | | | Coriander | Feb | May | | |
| Other crops | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cotton | Kharif- | Irrigated | MB | L | М | Н | Cotton, | 15-30 | 15 dec- | 370 | 15 |
| 1 | 18-19 | | | | | | Wheat | Jun | 30 Jan | | |

Technical Feedback on the demonstrated technologies

| SI. No. | Crop | Technology Demo. | feedback |
|------------|------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Oilseeds | | |
| 1 | NMOOP- | ICM | ➤ Effective control White grub with <i>Metariazhum</i> |
| | Groundnut | | Effective control of <i>Sclerotium</i> with <i>Trichoderma</i> |
| | Kharif | | Low cost and seed quality improve |
| | | | Use of bio-fertilizers reduced the quantity of chemical fertilizers |
| 2 | NMOOP- | ICM | Effective control diseases and pests |
| | Sesame | | Less fertilizer requirements |
| | Kharif | | Also reduce the damage of leaf binder |
| | | | Reduce the cost of cultivation |
| 3 | Groundnut | ICM | ➤ Effective control White grub with <i>Metariazhum</i> |
| | (ATIC) | | Effective control of <i>Sclerotium</i> with <i>Trichoderma</i> |
| | | | Also reduce the damage of pod borer |
| | | | Easy to apply |
| | Pluses | | |
| 4 | Pigeon pea | ICM | ➤ Bio pesticide and bio fertilizer are very effective |
| | | | Easy to use |

| | | | Factor with the and on fitting |
|----------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | Easley available and eco friendly |
| | | | It also reduce use of chemical pesticide/fertilizer in the era of |
| <u> </u> | | | organic farming |
| 5 | Chickpea | IPM, Varietal | GJG-5 high yielding variety |
| | | | GG-5 is resistance to virus and wilt |
| | | | More no. of branches per plant |
| | | | It also reduce use of chemical pesticide/fertilizer in the era of |
| | | | organic farming |
| | Horticulture | | |
| 6 | Brinjal | IPM/Varietal | Use of bio-pesticide is eco friendly and do not harmful to useful |
| | | | insects |
| | | | Use of Azotobacter and PSB had reduced the quantity of |
| | | | chemical fertilizers |
| | | | Lower incidence of whitefly as well as fruit and shoot borer |
| 7 | Chilli | IPM | Curling of leaves were observed in very few plants |
| | | | Useful for management of thrips and higher yield |
| | | | Bio-fertilizer can reduce use of chemical fertilizers |
| 8 | Okra | Variety | The Quality of Okra fruit was very good |
| | | | The colour and shining was attractive |
| | | | Less attack of pest |
| | | | Germination was poor |
| | Spices crop | | |
| | C | | No. 11-2 of A-at-based and DCD had and and the acception of |
| 9 | Cumin | | Use of Azotobacter and PSB had reduced the quantity of chemical fertilizers |
| | | | |
| | | | Beauveria helped in control of thrips and also other pests Due to Trichoderma the incidence of wilt were minimized |
| 10 | Coriander | | |
| 10 | (ATIC) | | Use of Azotobacter and PSB had reduced the quantity of chemical fertilizers |
| | (ATIC) | | Beauveria helped in control of thrips and also other pests |
| | | | Due to Trichoderma the incidence of wilt were minimized |
| 11 | Cumin | | Use of Azotobacter and PSB had reduced the quantity of |
| 1 | (ATIC) | | chemical fertilizers |
| | (ATIC) | | Beauveria helped in control of thrips and also other pests |
| | | | Cost of cultivation was reduced |
| | Cereals | | 2 cost of cultivation was reduced |
| | 23.00.0 | | |
| 12 | Pearl Millet | Variety | ➤ Higher yield of grain and fodder |
| | | - | Quality of fodder is good |
| | | | Good against drought spell |
| | | | Sweet taste of rotla |
| | Others | | |
| | | | |
| 13 | Cotton | Bt.Cotton | Biopesticide saves useful insects |
| | | IPM/INM | Beauveria is very effective against sucking and chewing pest |
| | | | Reduce cost of cultivation |
| | | | Use of Azotobactor and PSB reduced the quantity of chemical |
| | | | fertilizer |
| 14 | Cotton | Bt.Cotton | Advance management for pest control is benefitted for less |
| | (ATIC) | IPM/INM | damage in plants for higher yield |
| | | | ➤ MDP Technology is very effectively but sum what laboring also. |
| | | | Beauveria is very effective against sucking and chewing pest |
| | | | Low cost chemical control for longer time |
| | | | |

| 15 | Solar cooker | | > | Use less fuel |
|----|--------------|------------|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | \triangleright | Reduce cooking time |
| | | | > | Completely smoke less |
| | | | > | Conserve trees |
| | | | > | Allow more dung to be used as fertilizer instead of fuel |
| | | | > | Provide work for local chulha makers |
| 16 | Kitchen | Vegetables | > | Fresh vegetable available at doorstep and at a time with |
| | gardening | | | minimum cost |
| | | | > | Regulatory daily nutritious diet. |
| | | | > | They produce organic vegetables because farm women are not |
| | | | | applying any pesticides or agrochemicals in their backyard. |

Farmers' reactions on specific technologies

| SI. | | on specific ted Technology | feedback |
|-----|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| No. | Crop | Demo. | |
| | Oilseeds | | |
| 1 | NMOOP- | ICM | GJG-22 is high yielding variety |
| | Groundnut | | ➤ Effective control White grub with <i>Metariazhum</i> |
| | Kharif | | ➤ Effective control of <i>Sclerotium</i> with <i>Trichoderma</i> |
| | | | Also reduce the damage of pod borer |
| | | | Easy to apply |
| | | | Low cost and seed quality improve |
| | | | ➤ Use of bio-fertilizers reduced the quantity of chemical fertilizers |
| 2 | NMOOP- | ICM | GT-4 in high yielding and short duration variety |
| | Sesame | | Effective control diseases and pests |
| | Kharif | | Less fertilizer requirements |
| | | | Also reduce the damage of leaf binder |
| | | | Easy to apply and eco friendly |
| | | | Reduce the cost of cultivation |
| 3 | Groundnut | ICM | ➤ Effective control White grub with <i>Metariazhum</i> |
| | (ATIC) | | Effective control of Sclerotium with Trichoderma |
| | | | Also reduce the damage of pod borer |
| | | | Easy to apply |
| | Pluses | | |
| 4 | Pigeon pea | ICM | ➤ Bio pesticide and bio fertilizer are very effective |
| | | | Easy to use |
| | | | Easley available and eco friendly |
| | | | It also reduce use of chemical pesticide/fertilizer in the era of |
| | | | organic farming |
| 5 | Chickpea | IPM, Varietal | ➤ GJG-5 high yielding variety |
| | | | GG-5 is resistance to virus and wilt |
| | | | More no. of branches per plant |
| | | | ➤ Bio pesticide and bio fertilizer are very effective and Easy to use |
| | | | Easley available and eco friendly |
| | | | It also reduce use of chemical pesticide/fertilizer in the era of |
| | | | organic farming |
| | Horticulture | | |
| 6 | Brinjal | IPM/Varietal | Fruit size is long, quality and signing is good |

| _ | | 1 | 1 | |
|----|--------------|-----------|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | Use of bio-pesticide is eco friendly and do not harmful to useful |
| | | | > | insects Use of <i>Azotobacter</i> and PSB had reduced the quantity of |
| | | | | chemical fertilizers |
| | | | > | Lower incidence of whitefly as well as fruit and shoot borer |
| 7 | Chilli | IPM | > | Curling of leaves were observed in very few plants |
| | U | | > | Useful for management of thrips and higher yield |
| | | | > | Bio-fertilizer can reduce use of chemical fertilizers |
| 8 | Okra | Variety | > | The Quality of Okra fruit was very good |
| | | , | > | The colour and shining was attractive |
| | | | > | Less attack of pest |
| | | | > | Germination was poor |
| | Spices crop | | | |
| 9 | Cumin | | > | Use of Azotobacter and PSB had reduced the quantity of |
| | | | | chemical fertilizers |
| | | | > | Beauveria helped in control of thrips and also other pests |
| | | | | Due to Trichoderma the incidence of wilt were minimized |
| | | | | Cost of cultivation was reduced |
| | | | > | The products were easy to use |
| 10 | Coriander | | | Use of <i>Azotobacter</i> and PSB had reduced the quantity of |
| | (ATIC) | | | chemical fertilizers |
| | | | | Beauveria helped in control of thrips and also other pests |
| | | | | Due to Trichoderma the incidence of wilt were minimized |
| | | | | Cost of cultivation was reduced |
| | | | > | The products were easy to use |
| 11 | Cumin | | | Use of <i>Azotobacter</i> and PSB had reduced the quantity of |
| | (ATIC) | | _ | chemical fertilizers |
| | | | ~ | Beauveria helped in control of thrips and also other pests |
| | | | | Due to Trichoderma the incidence of wilt were minimized Cost of cultivation was reduced |
| | | | > | The products were easy to use |
| | Cereals | | | The products were easy to use |
| 12 | Pearl Millet | Variety | > | Higher yield of grain and fodder |
| | | | > | Quality of fodder is good |
| | | | > | Good against drought spell |
| | | | > | Sweet taste of rotla |
| | Others | | | |
| 13 | Cotton | Bt.Cotton | > | Low cost chemical control for longer time |
| | 2000011 | IPM/INM | > | It prove that prevention is better than cure for pest |
| | | , | | management |
| | | | > | Beauveria is very effective against sucking and chewing pest |
| | | | > | Biopesticide saves useful insects |
| 14 | Cotton | Bt.Cotton | > | Advance management for pest control is benefitted for less |
| | (ATIC) | IPM/INM | | damage in plants for higher yield |
| | , , | | > | MDP Technology is very effectively but sum what laboring also. |
| | | | > | Beauveria is very effective against sucking and chewing pest |
| | | | > | Low cost chemical control for longer time |
| Ь | | ı | 1 | - |

| 15 | Solar cooker | | > | Light weight &Easy to mobile |
|----|--------------|------------|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | > | Use less fuel |
| | | | > | Reduce fuel collection time |
| | | | > | Reduce cooking time |
| | | | > | Completely smoke less |
| | | | > | Conserve trees |
| | | | > | Allow more dung to be used as fertilizer instead of fuel |
| | | | > | Provide work for local chulha makers |
| 16 | Kitchen | Vegetables | > | Fresh vegetable available at doorstep and at a time with |
| | gardening | | | minimum cost |
| | | | > | Regulatory daily nutritious diet. |
| | | | > | They produce organic vegetables because farm women are not |
| | | | | applying any pesticides or agrochemicals in their backyard. |
| | | | > | Utilized maximum backyard space and waste water. |
| | | | \triangleright | Income generated by selling extra vegetables grown in kitchen |
| | | | | garden. |

Extension and Training activities under FLD

| SI.No. | Activity | No. of activities organized | Date | Number of participants | Remarks |
|--------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|------------------------|---------|
| 1 | Field days | 1 | 5.5.18 | 31 | |
| | | 1 | 20.8.18 | 18 | |
| | | 1 | 17.09.18 | 12 | |
| | | 1 | 19.10.18 | 30 | |
| | | 1 | 25.10.18 | 28 | |
| | | 1 | 1.11.18 | 10 | |
| | | 1 | 3.11.18 | 10 | |
| | | 1 | 11.02.19 | 11 | |
| | | 1 | 5.03.19 | 28 | |
| 2 | Farmers training | 2 | 25.07.18 | 50 | |
| | | 1 | 13.08.18 | 25 | |
| | | 1 | 18.08.18 | 26 | |
| | | 1 | 29.08.18 | 50 | |
| | | 1 | 17.09.18 | 13 | |
| | | 1 | 20.10.18 | 38 | |
| | | 1 | 25.10.18 | 32 | |
| | | 1 | 30.10.18 | 25 | |
| | | 1 | 01.12.18 | 31 | |
| | | 1 | 17.12.18 | 30 | |
| | | 1 | 16.2.18 | 21 | |
| 3 | Media coverage | 2 | | | |
| 4 | Training for extension functionaries | 1 | 16.07.18 | 76 | |

C. PERFORMANCE OF FRONTLINE DEMONSTRATIONS

Front line demonstrations on oilseed crops

| FI OIIL III | ie deli | ionstrations on | Olise | eu cro | h2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|---------|-----------------|-------|--------|----------------|------|------|-------|-----|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| | Thema | to charles | Maria | No. of | Ar | Yiel | d (q | ı/ha) | | % | | omics onstrat ha) | | | Econ (Rs./ | omics (| of che | ck |
| | tic | | ltv | Farm | ea (ha) | Hi | Lo | Avera | Che | ase in yield | Gros s Cost | s Retu | Net Retu | BC R (R/ C) | | Gross Retur n | Net Retu | BC R (R/ C) |

| Groundnu t (ATIC) | ICM | Trichoderma, PSB, Azotobacter, Beauveria | 6G-20 | 100 | 40 | 60.0 | 21.2 5 | 30.14 | 26.29 | 14.84 | 24360 | 150688 | 12632 8 | 6.18 | 25805 | 105150 | 79345 | 4.07 |
|----------------------|-----|------------------------------------------------|-------|-----|----|------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|------------|------|-------|--------|-------|------|
|----------------------|-----|------------------------------------------------|-------|-----|----|------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|------------|------|-------|--------|-------|------|

| FLD on | Other | crops | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|----------|-------------------------------|-----|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------------|---------|-------------|--------|--------------|---------|----------|
| | | | No. | | | Yield | (q/ha) | | % | Oth | ner | E | Econon | nics of | | Ecoi | nomics | of che | eck |
| Categor | Thomas | Name of the | | Are | | | | | Zhang | Paran | | d | emons (Rs./ | | 1 | | (Rs./ | ha) | |
| у & | ic Area | technology | Far | а | | Demo | ` | Chec | e in | S |) | | Cross | Not | BCR | | Gross | Net | BCB |
| Crop | ic Ai ca | teermology | me | (ha) | High | | Averag | | Yield | Demo | Chec | Gross | Retur | Retur | (R/C | Gross | Retur | Retur | |
| | | | rs | | 6 | | e | | | | k | Cost | n | n |) | Cost | n | n | (, C) |
| | Cere | als | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | -, |
| Pearl | Varietal | Variety | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Millet | | GHB-732 | 10 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (Sum- | | | 10 | 4 | 46.25 | 38.50 | 41.50 | 33.63 | 23.40 | | | 16350 | 61484 | 45134 | 3.76 | 15300 | 49841 | 34541 | 3.25 |
| 18) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Vegeta | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Brinjal | | GJLB-4, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (Kh-18) | Varieta | Azotobactor, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | PSM, | 5 | 2 | 575 | 487.50 | 536.25 | 196.25 | 8.06 | | | 12850 | 670313 | 541813 | 5.22 | L35000 | 620313 | 485313 | 4.59 |
| | | Trichoderma, Azadirachtin, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Profenophos | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chilli | IPM/ID | Azotobactor, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (Kh-18) | | PSM, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Trichoderma, | 5 | 2 | 156.25 | 143.75 | 148.75 | 129.25 | 15.09 | | | 72400 | 390469 | B18069 | 5.39 | 70400 | B16663 | 246263 | 4.50 |
| | | Azadirachtin, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Profenophos | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Okra | | Seed-GJO-3, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (Kh-18) | Varieta | Azotobactor, PSM, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Trichoderma | 5 | 2 | 221.25 | 212.50 | 216.75 | 190.75 | 13.63 | | | 94000 | 541875 | 447875 | 5.76 | 98400 | 429188 | 330788 | 4.36 |
| | | Azadirachtin, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Profenophos | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Spi | ces & co | ndiments | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cumin | IDM | Trichoderma | 10 | 04 | 12 50 | 10.63 | 11.14 | 10 51 | 5.99 | | | 50700 | 171563 | 120863 | 3 38 | 51500 | 152/21 | 1008/11 | 2 95 |
| Coriande | er ICM | Trichoderma, | | | 12.50 | 10.03 | 11.14 | 10.51 | 3.33 | | | 50700 | 171303 | 120003 | 3.30 | 31330 | 132431 | 100041 | 2.55 |
| (ATIC) | | PSB, | | ١ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Azotobacter, | 25 | 10 | 14.25 | 9.5 | 16.60 | 14.84 | 11.86 | | | 35400 | 112050 | 76650 | 3.16 | 36800 | 96444 | 59644 | 2.62 |
| | | Beauveria | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cumin | ICM | Trichoderma, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (ATIC) | | PSB, | 50 | 20 | 12.20 | 8.4 | 11.67 | 10 28 | 13.52 | | | 42000 | 163345 | 121345 | 3 80 | 43900 | 141281 | 97381 | 3 22 |
| | | Azotobacter, Beauveria | | | 12.20 | 0.4 | 11.07 | 10.20 | 15.52 | | | 72000 | 103343 | 1215-5 | 3.03 | 13300 | 1-1201 | 37301 | 5.22 |
| Co | nmerci | al Crops | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Azotobactor, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | M | PSM, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Trichoderma, | 20 | 8 | 25.00 | 5.00 | 15.25 | 13 00 | 17.31 | | | 24050 | 83875 | 59825 | 3.48 | 25400 | 68250 | 42850 | 2.68 |
| | | Azadirachtin, | | | 25.00 | 3.00 | 13.23 | 13.00 | 17.51 | | | 550 | 333,3 | 33323 | 5.70 | | 30230 | 12000 | 00 |
| | | Profenophos | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cotton | | SNPV, PSB, | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (ATIC) | | Azotobacter, | 25 | 10 | 29.5 | 12.5 | 19.30 | 16 20 | 19 11 | | | 24160 | 106150 | 8100A | 4 30 | 25500 | <u>85050</u> | 59550 | 2 22 |
| | | MDP, | | | 23.3 | 12.5 | 15.50 | 10.20 | 15.14 | | | 27100 | 1.00130 | 31330 | 7.33 | 23300 | 33030 | 3330 | رد.ي |
| | 1 | Beauveria | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

FLD on Women Empowerment

| Category | Name of technology | No. of demonstrations | Name of observations | Demonstration | Check |
|------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Assessment | Solar cooker | 4 | Fuel consumption (per vear) | Solar energy + 52 kg LPG | 85 kg LPG |
| | | | Time saving, | 50 to 55 % | 0 |

^{*} No of Family Members 5 calculated in one family

FLD on Other Enterprise: Kitchen Gardening

| Category and Crop | area | Name of the technology demonstrate | Farmer | | Yield | (Kg) | % change in | Otl paran | _ | | Econon lemons (Rs./ı | tration | | Eco | nomics (Rs./ | | eck |
|----------------------|------------|------------------------------------------|--------|----|--------|-------|-------------------|--------------|-------|-------|----------------------------|---------|-------|-------|-----------------|--------|-------|
| | | d | | | Demon | Check | yield | Demo | Check | Gross | Gross | Net | BCR | Gross | Gross | Net | BCR |
| | | | | | S | | | | | Cost | Return | Return | (R/C) | Cost | Return | Return | (R/C) |
| | | | | | ration | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kitchen | Nutritiona | Vegetable | 50 | 50 | 581.3 | 499 | 29.4 | - | - | 468 | 1162 | 6939 | 2.4 | 452 | 8980 | 4460 | 1.9 |
| gardenin | I garden | seed | | | 6 | | 8 | | | 8 | 7 | | 8 | 0 | | | 9 |
| g | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sea weed | Sea weed | Raft + sea | 5 | 5 | | | | | | Re | sult | | | | | | |
| | | weed | | | | | | | | Aw | aited | | | | | | |
| | | material | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Plastic | Plastic | Plastic | 5 | 5 | | | | | | Re | sult | | | | | | |
| mulching | mulching | mulching | | | | | | | | Aw | aited | | | | | | |

Note: Remove the Enterprises/crops which have not been shown

D. PERFORMANCE OF CLUSTER FRONTLINE DEMONSTRATIONS (CFLD)

Front line demonstrations on oilseed crops

| | Thema | technology | Varie | No. of | Ar | | d (q | ı/ha) | | % | | omics on onstrat na) | | | Econ (Rs./ | omics (ha) | of che | ck |
|---------------|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|--------|-----|-----------|---------|-------|------|-----------------|-------|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Crop | ltic | | ty | Farm | (ha | Den Hi | Lo w | Avera | Che | ase in yield | Gros | s Retu | Net Retu rn | BC R (R/ C) | | Gross Retur n | Net Retu rn | BC R (R/ C) |
| Groundnu t | ICM | Seed (GJG-22), Metarhiziumaniso pliae, Trichoderma, Rhizobium, PSB | GJG- 22 | 50 | 20 | 25 | 10.6 | 17.8 | 15.5 | 14.84 | 45280 | 89000 | 43720 | 1.97 | 17630 | 77500 | 29870 | 1.63 |
| Sesame | ICM | | Guj.Ti II-4 | 50 | 20 | 9.4 | 6.2 | 7.8 | 6.7 | 16.42 | 23900 | 93600 | 69700 | 3.92 | 24850 | 80400 | 55550 | 3.24 |

Front line demonstrations on Pulses crops

| | Them | technology | Varie | No. | Ar | Yield | l (q/h | a) | | % | | omics onstrat | | | Econ (Rs./ | omics ha) | of che | ck |
|---------------|------|------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----|-----------|-------|--------|----------|------|-------|-------|---------------|-------|-----------|---------------|--------------|--------|-----------|
| Crop | atic | demonstrated | ty | Far | ea (ha | Dem | 0 | | | ase | Gro | Gros | Net | ВС | Gro | Gros | Net | ВС |
| | Area | demonstrated | -, | mer |) | Hig | Lo | Ave | | in | SS | S | Retu | R | SS | S | Retu | R |
| | | | | S | | h | w | rag e | ck | yield | Cost | Retu rn | rn | (R/ C) | | Retu | rn | (R/ C) |
| Pigeon pea | ICM | Beauveria, Trichoderma, Rhizobium, PSB | | 25 | 10 | 17.75 | 10.63 | 12.69 | 10.9 | 16.37 | 29800 | 72016 | 42216 | 2.42 | 3092 5 | 61886 | 30961 | 2.0 |
| Chickpe a | | Seed GG-5, Beauveria, Trichoderma, Rhizobium, PSB | GG-5 | 50 | 20 | 31.25 | 22.5 | 26 | 21 | 23.81 | 41100 | 12012 0 | 79020 | 2.92 | 4260 0 | 97020 | 54420 | 12.28 |

3.4 TRAINING PROGRAMME

Farmers' Training including sponsored training programmes (on campus)

| Thematic area | No. of | | | | | Particip | ants | | | |
|-------------------|--------|-----|--------|------|-----|----------|-------|------|---------|-------|
| | course | | Others | ; | | SC/ST | | Gı | and Tot | tal |
| | s | Mal | Fema | Tota | Mal | Femal | Total | Male | Femal | Total |
| | | е | le | 1 | е | е | | | е | |
| I Crop Production | | | | | | | | | | |

| Weed Management | İ | I | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | l o l |
|---------------------------------------------|---|----|---|----|---|---|---|----|---|----------|
| | | | | | | | | 0 | | 0 |
| Resource Conservation | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | " |
| Technologies | | | | _ | | | _ | 0 | 0 | |
| Cropping Systems | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Crop Diversification | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Integrated Farming | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Micro Irrigation/irrigation | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seed production | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nursery managemeint | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Integrated Crop Management | 2 | 60 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 60 |
| Soil & water conservation | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Integrated nutrient management | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Production of organic inputs | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Others (pl specify) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 2 | 60 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 60 |
| II Horticulture | | | | | | | | | | |
| a) Vegetable Crops | | | | | | | | | | |
| Production of low value and high | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| volume crops | | | | | | | | | | |
| Off-season vegetables | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nursery raising | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Exotic vegetables | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Export potential vegetables | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grading and standardization | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Protective cultivation | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Others (pl specify) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (a) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b) Fruits | | | | | | | | | | |
| Training and Pruning | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Layout and Management of | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Orchards | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cultivation of Fruit | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Management of young | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| plants/orchards | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rejuvenation of old orchards | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Export potential fruits | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Micro irrigation systems of orchards | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Plant propagation techniques | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Others (pl specify) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (b) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| c) Ornamental Plants | | | - | - | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| Nursery Management | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Management of potted plants | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Export potential of ornamental | | | | 0 | | | U | U | U | U |
| Propagation techniques of | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Propagation techniques of Ornamental Plants | | | | 0 | | | U | U | U | U |
| | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Others (pl specify) | 0 | | | | | 0 | | | | _ |
| Total (c) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| d) Plantation crops | | | | _ | | | _ | _ | | _ |
| Production and Management | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| technology | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> |

| Processing and value addition | | | | 0 | | | 0 | l o | 0 | o |
|---------------------------------------|---|----|----|-----------|---|---|-----|-----|----|----|
| Others (pl specify) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (d) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| e) Tuber crops | | | | | | | | | | |
| Production and Management | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| technology | | | | | | | | | | |
| Processing and value addition | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Others (pl specify) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (e) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| f) Spices | | | | | | | | | | |
| Production and Management | 1 | 66 | 2 | 68 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 72 | 2 | 74 |
| technology | | | | | | | | | | |
| Processing and value addition | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Others (pl specify) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (f) | 1 | 66 | 2 | 68 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 72 | 2 | 74 |
| g) Medicinal and Aromatic Plants | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nursery management | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Production and management | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| technology | | | | L | | | | | | |
| Post harvest technology and value | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| addition | | | | | | | | | | |
| Others (pl specify) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (g) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GT (a-g) | 1 | 66 | 2 | 68 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 72 | 2 | 74 |
| III Soil Health and Fertility | | | | | | | | | | |
| Management | | | | | | | | | | |
| Soil fertility management | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Integrated water management | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Integrated Nutrient Management | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Production and use of organic | 1 | 29 | | 29 | 1 | | 1 | 30 | 0 | 30 |
| inputs | | | | | | | _ | _ | | |
| Management of Problematic soils | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Micro nutrient deficiency in crops | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nutrient Use Efficiency | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Balance use of fertilizers | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Soil and Water Testing | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Others (pl specify) | _ | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 1 | 29 | 0 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 0 | 30 |
| IV Livestock Production and | | | | | | | | | | |
| Management | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dairy Management | | | | 0 | U | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Poultry Management | | | | | | | | | _ | |
| Piggery Management | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rabbit Management | 1 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | _ | 30 |
| Animal Nutrition Management | 1 | U | 30 | 0 | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | |
| Disease Management | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Feed & fodder technology | 1 | 24 | 9 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 9 | 34 |
| Production of quality animal products | 1 | 24 | 9 | 33 | 1 | U | Т Т | 25 | 9 | 54 |
| Others (pl specify) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 2 | 24 | 39 | 63 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 39 | 64 |
| I Otal | | 4 | 33 | 03 | _ | U | _ | 23 | 33 | 04 |

| V Home Science/Women | | 1 | | I | | | | 1 | 1 | |
|---------------------------------------|---|----|-----|----|---|---|----|----|-----|-----|
| empowerment | | | | | | | | | | |
| Household food security by kitchen | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| gardening and nutrition gardening | | | | | | J | | | | |
| Design and development of | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| low/minimum cost diet | | | | | | | | | | |
| Designing and development for high | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| nutrient efficiency diet | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimization of nutrient loss in | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| processing | | | | | | | | | | |
| Processing and cooking | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gender mainstreaming through | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SHGs | | | | | | | | | | |
| Storage loss minimization | 1 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 |
| techniques | | | | | | | | | | |
| Value addition | 2 | 0 | 62 | 62 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 69 | 69 |
| Women empowerment | 1 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 |
| Location specific drudgery reduction | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| technologies | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rural Crafts | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Women and child care | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Others (pl specify) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 4 | 0 | 122 | 12 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 129 | 129 |
| | | | | 2 | | | | | | |
| VI Agril. Engineering | | | | | | | | | | |
| Farm Machinary and its | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| maintenance | | | | | | | | | | |
| Installation and maintenance of | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| micro irrigation systems | | | | | | | | | | |
| Use of Plastics in farming practices | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Production of small tools and | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| implements | | | | | | | | | | |
| Repair and maintenance of farm | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| machinery and implements | | | | | | | | | | |
| Small scale processing and value | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| addition | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post Harvest Technology | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Others (pl specify) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VII Plant Protection | | | | | | | | | | |
| Integrated Pest Management | 1 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 25 |
| Integrated Disease Management | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bio-control of pests and diseases | 1 | 24 | 0 | 24 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 30 | 0 | 30 |
| Production of bio control agents | 1 | 23 | 38 | 61 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 25 | 43 | 68 |
| and bio pesticides | | | | | | | | | | |
| Others (pl specify) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 3 | 72 | 38 | 11 | 8 | 5 | 13 | 80 | 43 | 123 |
| VIII Fisheries | | - | | 0 | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | | | 0 | _ | | 0 |
| Integrated fish farming | | | | 0 | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Carp breeding and hatchery management | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Carp fry and fingerling rearing | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Composite fish culture | | ĺ | | 0 | | | Ιo | 0 | 0 | o |
|-------------------------------------|----|----|-----|---------|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|
| Hatchery management and culture | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| of freshwater prawn | | | | | | | | | | |
| Breeding and culture of ornamental | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| fishes | | | | | | | | | | |
| Portable plastic carp hatchery | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pen culture of fish and prawn | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shrimp farming | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| · | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Edible oyster farming Pearl culture | | | | | | | | 0 | _ | |
| | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 15 | _ | 0 | 0 | _ | 0 | 0 |
| Fish processing and value addition | 1 | 15 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| Others (pl specify) | | 4- | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 1 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| IX Production of Inputs at site | | | | | | | | | | |
| Seed Production | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Planting material production | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bio-agents production | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bio-pesticides production | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bio-fertilizer production | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vermi-compost production | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic manures production | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Production of fry and fingerlings | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Production of Bee-colonies and wax | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| sheets | | | | | | | | | | |
| Small tools and implements | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Production of livestock feed and | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| fodder | | | | | | | | | | |
| Production of Fish feed | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mushroom Production | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Apiculture | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Others (pl specify) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| X Capacity Building and Group | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dynamics | | | | | | | | | | |
| Leadership development | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Group dynamics | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Formation and Management of | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SHGs | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mobilization of social capital | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Entrepreneurial development of | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| farmers/youths | | | | | | | | | | |
| WTO and IPR issues | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Others (pl specify) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| XI Agro-forestry | | | | | | | | | | |
| Production technologies | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nursery management | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Integrated Farming Systems | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Others (pl specify) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 14 | 26 | 201 | 46 | 16 | 12 | 28 | 282 | 213 | 495 |
| | | 6 | | 7 | | | | | | |

Farmers' Training including sponsored training programmes (off campus)

| Farmers' Training includir Thematic area | No. of | | 01 | <u> </u> | | articipan | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------|---------|------|--------|----------|------|-----------|-------|-------------|---|-------|--|--|
| | courses | | Others | | | SC/ST | | Grand Total | | | | |
| | | Male | Female | Total | Male | | Total | | | Total | | |
| I Crop Production | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Weed Management | 1 | 37 | 0 | 37 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 42 | 0 | 42 | | |
| Resource Conservation | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Technologies | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cropping Systems | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Crop Diversification | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Integrated Farming | 1 | 33 | 0 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 34 | 0 | 34 | | |
| Micro | 1 | 54 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 54 | | |
| Irrigation/irrigation | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Seed production | 1 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 25 | 0 | 25 | | |
| Nursery management | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Integrated Crop | 3 | 200 | 0 | 200 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 202 | 0 | 202 | | |
| Management | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Soil & water | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| conservatioin | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Integrated nutrient | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| management | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Production of organic | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| inputs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Others (pl specify) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Total | 7 | 347 | 0 | 347 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 357 | 0 | 357 | | |
| II Horticulture | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| a) Vegetable Crops | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Production of low value | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| and high valume crops | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Off-season vegetables | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Nursery raising | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Exotic vegetables | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Export potential | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| vegetables | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grading and | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| standardization | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Protective cultivation | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Others (pl specify) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Total (a) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| b) Fruits | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Training and Pruning | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Layout and Management | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| of Orchards | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cultivation of Fruit | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Management of young | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| plants/orchards | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rejuvenation of old | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| orchards | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Export potential fruits | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |

| l | | 1 1 | Ì | ۱ ۵ | | | ء ا | ۱ ۵ | ۱ ۵ | |
|---------------------------|---|-----|----|--------------------------------------------------|-----|---|-----|-----|----------|----|
| Micro irrigation systems | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| of orchards | | | | | | | | | | |
| Plant propagation | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| techniques | | | | | | | | | | |
| Others (pl specify) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (b) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| c) Ornamental Plants | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nursery Management | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Management of potted | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| plants | | | | | | | | | | |
| Export potential of | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ornamental plants | | | | | | | | | | |
| Propagation techniques | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| of Ornamental Plants | | | | | | | | | | |
| Others (pl specify) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (c) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| d) Plantation crops | | | | | | | | | | |
| Production and | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Management technology | | | | | | | | | | |
| Processing and value | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| addition | | | | | | | | | | |
| Others (pl specify) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (d) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| e) Tuber crops | | | | | Ŭ | | | | | |
| Production and | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | " | | | | | 0 | |
| Management technology | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Processing and value | | | | " | | | | 0 | 0 | |
| addition | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Others (pl specify) | | | - | 0 | | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (e) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| f) Spices | | | | | | | | | | |
| Production and | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Management technology | | | | | | | | | | |
| Processing and value | 1 | 0 | 36 | 36 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 43 | 43 |
| addition | | | | | | | | | | |
| Others (pl specify) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (f) | 1 | 0 | 36 | 36 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 43 | 43 |
| g) Medicinal and | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aromatic Plants | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nursery management | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Production and | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| management technology | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post harvest technology | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| and value addition | | | | | | | | | | |
| Others (pl specify) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (g) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GT (a-g) | 1 | 0 | 36 | 36 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 43 | 43 |
| III Soil Health and | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fertility Management | | | | | | | | | | |
| Soil fertility management | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Integrated water | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| management | | | | | | | - | _ | _ | |
| | | 1 | | 1 | l l | | l | 1 | <u>I</u> | |

| 161 0 0 0 0 0 0 219 |
|------------------------------------------|
| 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 0 0 0 0 |
| 0 0 0 0 |
| 0 0 0 0 |
| 0 0 0 0 |
| 0 0 |
| 0 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 25 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| |
| 0 |
| |
| 0 |
| 25 |
| |
| |
| |
| 35 |
| |
| |
| 0 |
| |
| |
| 0 |
| |
| |
| 0 |
| |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| |
| 0 |
| |
| 0 |
| 30 |
| 0 |
| |
| |
| |

| Rural Crafts | | 1 1 | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|----------------------------|---|-----|----------|-----------|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Women and child care | 1 | 0 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 23 |
| Others (pl specify) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 3 | 0 | 85 | 85 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 88 | 88 |
| VI Agril. Engineering | | | | | | | | | | |
| Farm Machinary and its | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| maintenance | | | | | | | | | | |
| Installation and | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| maintenance of micro | | | | | | | | | - | |
| irrigation systems | | | | | | | | | | |
| Use of Plastics in farming | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| practices | | | | | | | | | · · | |
| Production of small tools | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| and implements | | | | | | | | | Ü | |
| Repair and maintenance | 1 | 70 | 0 | 70 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 73 | 0 | 73 |
| of farm machinery and | 1 | /0 | U | / / / | | | 3 | /3 | O | /3 |
| implements | | | | | | | | | | |
| Small scale processing | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| and value addition | | | | | | | U | 0 | U | |
| | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Post Harvest Technology | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Others (pl specify) | 1 | 70 | 0 | 70 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 73 | 0 | 73 |
| Total | 1 | 70 | <u> </u> | 70 | 3 | U | 3 | /3 | U | /3 |
| VII Plant Protection | | 102 | - 44 | 111 | - | | - | 100 | 42 | 120 |
| Integrated Pest | 3 | 103 | 11 | 114 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 108 | 12 | 120 |
| Management | | 200 | | 200 | 2.5 | | | 225 | | 222 |
| Integrated Disease | 2 | 299 | 0 | 299 | 26 | 7 | 33 | 325 | 7 | 332 |
| Management | | 470 | | 240 | | | 4.5 | 240 | 7.0 | 205 |
| Bio-control of pests and | 2 | 178 | 62 | 240 | 32 | 14 | 46 | 210 | 76 | 286 |
| diseases | | | | | _ | | | | | |
| Production of bio | 1 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 21 |
| control agents and bio | | | | | | | | | | |
| pesticides | | | | | | | | | | |
| Others (pl specify) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 8 | 601 | 73 | 674 | 63 | 22 | 85 | 664 | 95 | 759 |
| VIII Fisheries | | | | | | | | | | |
| Integrated fish farming | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Carp breeding and | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| hatchery management | | | | | | | | | | |
| Carp fry and fingerling | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| rearing | | | | | | | | | | |
| Composite fish culture | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 33 | 27 | 60 | 34 | 28 | 62 |
| Hatchery management | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| and culture of | | | | | | | | | | |
| freshwater prawn | | | | | | | | | | |
| Breeding and culture of | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ornamental fishes | | | | | | | | | | |
| Portable plastic carp | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| hatchery | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pen culture of fish and | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 26 | 0 | 26 | 26 |
| prawn | | | | | | | | | | |
| Shrimp farming | 1 | 52 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 52 |
| Edible oyster farming | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Pearl culture | Ī | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|---------------------------|---|-----|---|-----|------|----|----|------|----|-----|
| Fish processing and | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| value addition | | | | | | | | | | |
| Others (pl specify) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 4 | 53 | 1 | 54 | 33 | 53 | 86 | 86 | 54 | 140 |
| IX Production of Inputs | - | 33 | - | 54 | - 33 | 33 | | - 00 | 34 | 140 |
| at site | | | | | | | | | | |
| Seed Production | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Planting material | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| production | | | | | | | | | Ü | |
| Bio-agents production | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bio-pesticides | 1 | 118 | 0 | 118 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 121 | 0 | 121 |
| production | - | 110 | Ü | 110 | • | | | | ŭ | 1 |
| Bio-fertilizer production | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vermi-compost | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| production | | | | | | | | | ŭ | |
| Organic manures | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| production | | | | | | | | | O | |
| Production of fry and | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| fingerlings | | | | | | | | | U | " |
| Production of Bee- | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| colonies and wax sheets | | | | | | | | | U | " |
| Small tools and | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| implements | | | | | | | | | O | |
| Production of livestock | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| feed and fodder | | | | | | | | | U | |
| Production of Fish feed | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mushroom Production | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Apiculture | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | | 0 |
| Others (pl specify) | 1 | 110 | | | • | 0 | | 121 | 0 | 121 |
| Total | | 118 | 0 | 118 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 121 | 0 | 121 |
| X Capacity Building and | | | | | | | | | | |
| Group Dynamics | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Leadership development | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Group dynamics | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Formation and | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Management of SHGs | | | | | | | | | • | |
| Mobilization of social | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| capital | | | | | | | | | - | |
| Entrepreneurial | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| development of | | | | | | | | | | |
| farmers/youths | | | | _ | | | | | • | |
| WTO and IPR issues | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Others (pl specify) | | - | | 0 | | _ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| XI Agro-forestry | | 1 | | | | | | | | _ |
| Production technologies | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nursery management | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Integrated Farming | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Systems | | | | | | | | | | |
| Others (pl specify) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

GRAND TOTAL 29 1424 198 1622 118 85 203 1542 283 1825

Farmers' Training including sponsored training programmes – CONSOLIDATED (On + Off campus)

| No. of cours No. | campus) | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|------|
| Cours es Male le Fema le Total le Male e Femal le Total le Male e Home e Male e Male le Male e Male e I Crop Production Male le Male e I Crop Production Male e I Crop Image and the person of th | | |
| I Crop Production I 37 0 37 5 0 5 42 Resource Conservation Technologies 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | and Total | |
| Crop Production | | otal |
| Weed Management 1 37 0 37 5 0 5 42 Resource Conservation 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | е | |
| Resource Conservation 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | 42 |
| Technologies 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <t< td=""><td></td><td>42</td></t<> | | 42 |
| Cropping Systems 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 (| 0 |
| Crop Diversification 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 34 1 34 1 34 1 34 1 34 1 34 1 34 1 34 1 34 1 34 1 34 1 34 1 34 1 34 1 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 <td></td> <td></td> | | |
| Integrated Farming 1 33 0 33 1 0 1 34 Micro Irrigation/irrigation 1 54 0 54 0 0 0 54 Seed production 1 23 0 23 2 0 2 25 Nursery management 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Integrated Crop Management 5 260 0 260 2 0 2 262 Soil & water conservatioin 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | 0 |
| Micro Irrigation/irrigation 1 54 0 54 0 0 54 Seed production 1 23 0 23 2 0 2 25 Nursery management 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Integrated Crop Management 5 260 0 260 2 0 2 262 Soil & water conservatioin 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | - | 0 |
| Seed production 1 23 0 23 2 0 2 25 Nursery management 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Integrated Crop Management 5 260 0 260 2 0 2 262 Soil & water conservation 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | 34 |
| Nursery management 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | 54 |
| Integrated Crop Management 5 260 0 260 2 0 2 262 Soil & water conservation 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | 25 |
| Soil & water conservation 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | 0 |
| | | 262 |
| Integrated nutrient management 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | 0 |
| | | 0 |
| Production of organic inputs 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | 0 |
| Others (pl specify) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | 0 |
| Total 9 407 0 407 10 0 10 417 | 0 41 | 417 |
| II Horticulture | | 0 |
| a) Vegetable Crops 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 (| 0 |
| Production of low value and high 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 (| 0 |
| valume crops | | |
| Off-season vegetables 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 (| 0 |
| Nursery raising 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 (| 0 |
| Exotic vegetables 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 (| 0 |
| Export potential vegetables 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 (| 0 |
| Grading and standardization 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 (| 0 |
| Protective cultivation 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 (| 0 |
| Others (pl specify) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 (| 0 |
| Total (a) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 (| 0 |
| b) Fruits 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 (| 0 |
| Training and Pruning 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 (| 0 |
| Layout and Management of 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 (| 0 |
| Orchards | | |
| Cultivation of Fruit 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 (| 0 |
| Management of young 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 (| 0 |
| plants/orchards | | |
| Rejuvenation of old orchards 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 (| 0 |
| Export potential fruits 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 (| 0 |
| Micro irrigation systems of orchards 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 (| 0 |
| Plant propagation techniques 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 (| 0 |
| Others (pl specify) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 (| 0 |
| Total (b) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 (| 0 |
| c) Ornamental Plants | 0 (| 0 |
| Nursery Management 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | 0 |
| Management of potted plants 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | 0 |

| Export potential of ornamental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|---------------------------------------------|---|-----|----|-----|---|---|----|-----|----|-----|
| plants | | | | | | | | | | |
| Propagation techniques of Ornamental Plants | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Others (pl specify) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (c) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| d) Plantation crops | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Production and Management | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| technology | | | | | | | | | | |
| Processing and value addition | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Others (pl specify) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (d) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| e) Tuber crops | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Production and Management | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| technology | | | | | | | | | | |
| Processing and value addition | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Others (pl specify) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (e) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| f) Spices | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Production and Management | 1 | 66 | 2 | 68 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 72 | 2 | 74 |
| technology | | | | | | | | | | |
| Processing and value addition | 1 | 0 | 36 | 36 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 43 | 43 |
| Others (pl specify) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (f) | 2 | 66 | 38 | 104 | 6 | 7 | 13 | 72 | 45 | 117 |
| g) Medicinal and Aromatic Plants | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nursery management | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Production and management | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| technology | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post harvest technology and value | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| addition | | | | | | | | | | |
| Others (pl specify) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (g) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GT (a-g) | 2 | 66 | 38 | 104 | 6 | 7 | 13 | 72 | 45 | 117 |
| III Soil Health and Fertility | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Management | | | | | | | | | | |
| Soil fertility management | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Integrated water management | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Integrated Nutrient Management | 2 | 87 | 0 | 87 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 88 | 0 | 88 |
| Production and use of organic | 2 | 155 | 0 | 155 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 161 | 0 | 161 |
| inputs | | | | | | | | | | |
| Management of Problematic soils | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Micro nutrient deficiency in crops | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nutrient Use Efficiency | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Balance use of fertilizers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Soil and Water Testing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Others (pl specify) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 4 | 242 | 0 | 242 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 249 | 0 | 249 |
| IV Livestock Production and | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Management | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dairy Management | 1 | 22 | 3 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 3 | 25 |
| Poultry Management | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Piggery Management | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| · • | 1 | i | | | i | | i | | | |

| Rabbit Management | 0 | 0 | 0 | Ιo | lo | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----------|-----|-----------|----|----|----|-----------|-----|-----|
| Animal Nutrition Management | 1 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 |
| Disease Management | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Feed & fodder technology | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Production of quality animal | 1 | 24 | 9 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 9 | 34 |
| products | _ | | | | _ | | _ | | | |
| Others (pl specify) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 3 | 46 | 42 | 88 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 47 | 42 | 89 |
| V Home Science/Women | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| empowerment | Ü | | Ü | | | | | | Ū | |
| Household food security by kitchen | 1 | 0 | 35 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 35 |
| gardening and nutrition gardening | - | | 33 | | | | | | 33 | |
| Design and development of | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| low/minimum cost diet | · | | | | | | | | | |
| Designing and development for high | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| nutrient efficiency diet | · | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimization of nutrient loss in | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| processing | · | | | | | | | | | |
| Processing and cooking | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gender mainstreaming through | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SHGs | • | | • | | | | | , | | |
| Storage loss minimization | 1 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 |
| techniques | _ | | | | | | | , | | |
| Value addition | 2 | 0 | 62 | 62 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 69 | 69 |
| Women empowerment | 2 | 0 | 58 | 58 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 60 | 60 |
| Location specific drudgery reduction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| technologies | Ü | | Ü | | | | | | Ū | |
| Rural Crafts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Women and child care | 1 | 0 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 23 |
| Others (pl specify) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 7 | 0 | 207 | 207 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 217 | 217 |
| VI Agril. Engineering | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Farm Machinary and its | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| maintenance | Ū | | O | | | | | | | |
| Installation and maintenance of | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| micro irrigation systems | O | | O | | | | | | | |
| Use of Plastics in farming practices | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Production of small tools and | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| implements | U | | O | | | | | | U | |
| Repair and maintenance of farm | 1 | 70 | 0 | 70 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 73 | 0 | 73 |
| machinery and implements | 1 | /0 | O | / / / | | | | /3 | U | /3 |
| Small scale processing and value | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| addition | U | | U | | | | | U | U | |
| Post Harvest Technology | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Others (pl specify) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 1 | 70 | 0 | 70 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 73 | 0 | 73 |
| VII Plant Protection | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 4 | 128 | 11 | 139 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 133 | 12 | 145 |
| Integrated Pest Management | 2 | 299 | 0 | 299 | 26 | 7 | 33 | 325 | 7 | 332 |
| Integrated Disease Management | 3 | | 62 | 264 | | 14 | 52 | | 76 | 332 |
| Bio-control of pests and diseases | | 202 | | | 38 | | | 240 | | |
| Production of bio control agents | 2 | 44 | 38 | 82 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 46 | 43 | 89 |
| and bio pesticides | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | |

| Others (pl specify) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|------------------------------------|----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|----|------------|-----|------------|
| Total | 11 | 673 | 111 | 784 | 71 | 27 | 98 | 744 | 138 | 882 |
| VIII Fisheries | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Integrated fish farming | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Carp breeding and hatchery | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| management | | | | | | | | | | |
| Carp fry and fingerling rearing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Composite fish culture | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 33 | 27 | 60 | 34 | 28 | 62 |
| Hatchery management and culture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| of freshwater prawn | | | | | | | | | | |
| Breeding and culture of ornamental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| fishes | | | | | | | | | | |
| Portable plastic carp hatchery | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pen culture of fish and prawn | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 26 | 0 | 26 | 26 |
| Shrimp farming | 1 | 52 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 52 |
| Edible oyster farming | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pearl culture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fish processing and value addition | 1 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| Others (pl specify) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 5 | 68 | 1 | 69 | 33 | 53 | 86 | 101 | 54 | 155 |
| IX Production of Inputs at site | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seed Production | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Planting material production | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bio-agents production | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bio-pesticides production | 1 | 118 | 0 | 118 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 121 | 0 | 121 |
| Bio-fertilizer production | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vermi-compost production | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Organic manures production | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Production of fry and fingerlings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Production of Bee-colonies and wax | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| sheets | | | 0 | 0 | U | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Small tools and implements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Production of livestock feed and | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| fodder | | | | | 0 | O | | 0 | 0 | |
| Production of Fish feed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mushroom Production | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Apiculture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| • | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Others (pl specify) | 1 | 118 | 0 | 118 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 121 | 0 | 121 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| X Capacity Building and Group | U | U | U | 0 | U | U | 0 | 0 | 0 | U |
| Dynamics Leadership development | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Leadership development | | | | | | | | - | | - |
| Group dynamics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Formation and Management of | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SHGs | | | _ | _ | | 0 | _ | _ | _ | _ |
| Mobilization of social capital | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Entrepreneurial development of | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| farmers/youths | | | _ | | | | _ | | | |
| WTO and IPR issues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Others (pl specify) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| XI Agro-forestry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | | 0 | | 9 | 4 | | 1 | 4 | | 0 |
|----------------------------|----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|
| GRAND TOTAL | 43 | 169 | 399 | 208 | 13 | 97 | 23 | 182 | 496 | 232 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Others (pl specify) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Integrated Farming Systems | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nursery management | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Production technologies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Training for Rural Youths including sponsored training programmes (On campus)

| Training for Rural Youths including sponsored training programmes (On campus) No. of Participants | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|------|------------|-------|--------|------------|-------|------|------------|-------|--|--|
| | No. of | | | | No. of | | pants | | | | | |
| Area of training | Course | | General | | | SC/ST | ı | Gı | rand To | tal | | |
| , and the second | s | Male | Femal e | Total | Male | Femal e | Total | Male | Femal e | Total | | |
| Nursery Management of Horticulture | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| crops | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Training and pruning of orchards | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Protected cultivation of vegetable crops | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Commercial fruit production | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Integrated farming | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Seed production | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Production of organic inputs | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Planting material production | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Vermi-culture | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Mushroom Production | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Bee-keeping | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Sericulture | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Repair and maintenance of farm | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| machinery and implements | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Value addition | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Small scale processing | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Post Harvest Technology | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Tailoring and Stitching | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Rural Crafts | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Production of quality animal products | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Dairying | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Sheep and goat rearing | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Quail farming | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Piggery | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Rabbit farming | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Poultry production | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Ornamental fisheries | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Composite fish culture | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Freshwater prawn culture | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Shrimp farming | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Pearl culture | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Cold water fisheries | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Fish harvest and processing technology | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Fry and fingerling rearing | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Any other (pl.specify) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| TOTAL | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |

Training for Rural Youths including sponsored training programmes (Off campus)

| Area of training | No of | | No. of Participants | |
|------------------|--------|---------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Area of training | No. of | General | SC/ST | Grand Total |

| | Course s | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-------------|------|--------|-------|------|--------|-------|------|--------|-------|
| Nursery Management of Horticulture crops | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Training and pruning of orchards | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Protected cultivation of vegetable crops | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Commercial fruit production | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Integrated farming | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seed production | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Production of organic inputs | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Planting material production | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vermi-culture | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mushroom Production | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bee-keeping | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sericulture | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Repair and maintenance of farm machinery and implements | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Value addition | 1 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 32 |
| Small scale processing | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Post Harvest Technology | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tailoring and Stitching | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rural Crafts | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Production of quality animal products | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dairying | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sheep and goat rearing | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Quail farming | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Piggery | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rabbit farming | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Poultry production | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ornamental fisheries | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Composite fish culture | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Freshwater prawn culture | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shrimp farming | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pearl culture | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cold water fisheries | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fish harvest and processing technology | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fry and fingerling rearing | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Any other (pl.specify) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 1 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 32 |

Training for Rural Youths including sponsored training programmes—CONSOLIDATED (On+Off campus)

| training for Kurai Touth's including sponsored | traiiiii | gpio | granni | ies-c | CNO | OLIDA | ן עם ו | UIITU | Jii Cali | ipus) |
|------------------------------------------------|----------|------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|----------|-------|
| | No. of | | | N | o. of | Partic | ipant | ts | | |
| Area of training | Cours | (| Genera | I | | SC/ST | | Gr | and To | tal |
| | es | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Nursery Management of Horticulture crops | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Training and pruning of orchards | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Protected cultivation of vegetable crops | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Commercial fruit production | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Integrated farming | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seed production | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Production of organic inputs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Planting material production | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vermi-culture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mushroom Production | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Bee-keeping | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|------------------------------------------|---|---|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|
| Sericulture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Repair and maintenance of farm machinery | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| and implements | | | | | | | | | | |
| Value addition | 1 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 32 |
| Small scale processing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Post Harvest Technology | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tailoring and Stitching | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rural Crafts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Production of quality animal products | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dairying | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sheep and goat rearing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Quail farming | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Piggery | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rabbit farming | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Poultry production | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ornamental fisheries | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Composite fish culture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Freshwater prawn culture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shrimp farming | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pearl culture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cold water fisheries | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fish harvest and processing technology | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fry and fingerling rearing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Any other (pl.specify) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 1 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 32 |

Training programmes for Extension Personnel including sponsored training programmes (on campus)

| | No. of | | | No | of I | Particip | ants | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------|------|---------|-------|------|----------|------|------|--------|-------|
| Area of training | Courses | | General | | | SC/ST | | Gr | and To | tal |
| | courses | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Tota | Male | Female | Total |
| Productivity enhancement in field crops | 1 | 34 | 3 | 37 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 41 | 3 | 44 |
| ntegrated Pest Management | 3 | 131 | 4 | 135 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 4 | 14 |
| | | | | | | | | 7 | | 1 |
| ntegrated Nutrient management | 1 | 19 | 1 | 20 | | | 0 | 19 | 1 | 20 |
| Rejuvenation of old orchards | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Protected cultivation technology | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Production and use of organic inputs | 2 | 36 | | 36 | 6 | | 6 | 42 | 0 | 42 |
| Care and maintenance of farm machinery and | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| mplements | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gender mainstreaming through SHGs | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Formation and Management of SHGs | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Women and Child care | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ow cost and nutrient efficient diet designing | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Group Dynamics and farmers organization | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| nformation networking among farmers | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Capacity building for ICT application | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Management in farm animals | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ivestock feed and fodder production | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Household food security | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Any other (pl.specify) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| TOTAL | 7 | 220 | 8 | 228 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 23 | 8 | 24 |
|-------|---|-----|---|-----|----|---|----|----|---|----|
| | | | | | | | | 9 | | 7 |

Training programmes for Extension Personnel including sponsored training programmes (off campus)

| Training programmes for extension reison | | No. of Participants | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------|--------|---------------------|----|-------|------|--------|-------|------|---------|-------|--|--|--|
| Area of training | Course | Genera | ıl | | SC/S | Г | | Gran | d Total | | | | |
| | S | Male | | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | | | |
| Productivity enhancement in field crops | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Integrated Pest Management | 4 | 415 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 415 | | | |
| | | | | 5 | | | | 5 | | | | | |
| Integrated Nutrient management | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Rejuvenation of old orchards | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Protected cultivation technology | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Production and use of organic inputs | 1 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 27 | 0 | 27 | | | |
| Care and maintenance of farm machinery | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| and implements | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gender mainstreaming through SHGs | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Formation and Management of SHGs | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Women and Child care | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Low cost and nutrient efficient diet | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| designing | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Group Dynamics and farmers organization | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Information networking among farmers | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Capacity building for ICT application | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Management in farm animals | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Livestock feed and fodder production | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Household food security | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Any other (pl.specify) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| TOTAL | 5 | 440 | 0 | 44 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 44 | 0 | 442 | | | |
| | | | | 0 | | | | 2 | | | | | |

Training programmes for Extension Personnel including sponsored training programmes – CONSOLIDATED (On + Off campus)

| | | No. of Participants | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------------------|------------|-------|------|------------|-------|---------|------------|-------|--|--|--|
| Area of training | No. of | | Gen | eral | | SC | C/ST | Grand ' | | otal | | | |
| | Courses | Male | Femal e | Total | Male | Femal e | Total | Male | Femal e | Total | | | |
| Productivity enhancement in field crops | 1 | 34 | 3 | 37 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 41 | 3 | 44 | | | |
| Integrated Pest Management | 7 | 546 | 4 | 550 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 552 | 4 | 556 | | | |
| Integrated Nutrient management | 1 | 19 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 20 | | | |
| Rejuvenation of old orchards | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Protected cultivation technology | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Production and use of organic inputs | 3 | 61 | 0 | 61 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 69 | 0 | 69 | | | |
| Care and maintenance of farm machinery and implements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Gender mainstreaming through SHGs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Formation and Management of SHGs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Women and Child care | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Low cost and nutrient efficient diet designing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Group Dynamics and farmers organization | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| nformation networking among farmers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Capacity building for ICT application | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Management in farm animals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Livestock feed and fodder production | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |

| Household food security | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|-------------------------|----|-----|---|-----|----|---|----|-----|---|-----|
| Any other (pl.specify) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 12 | 660 | 8 | 668 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 681 | 8 | 689 |

Summary of Training Programme

On Campus

| | No. of | No. of participant | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------------------|--------|-------|------|--------|-------|-------|--|--|--|
| (A) Farmers & Farm Women | couses | | others | | | SC/ST | | Grand | | | |
| | | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Total | | | |
| I Crop Production | 2 | 60 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | | | |
| II Horticulture | 1 | 66 | 2 | 68 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 74 | | | |
| III Soil Health and Fertility | 1 | 29 | 0 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 30 | | | |
| Management | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IV Livestock Production and | 2 | 24 | 39 | 63 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 64 | | | |
| Management | | | | | | | | | | | |
| V Home Science/Women | 4 | 0 | 122 | 122 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 129 | | | |
| empowerment | | | | | | | | | | | |
| VI Agril. Engineering | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| VII Plant Protection | 3 | 72 | 38 | 110 | 8 | 5 | 13 | 123 | | | |
| VIII Fisheries | 1 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | | | |
| IX Production of Inputs at site | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| X Capacity Building and Group | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Dynamics | | | | | | | | | | | |
| XI Agro-forestry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Total (A) | 14 | 266 | 201 | 467 | 16 | 12 | 28 | 495 | | | |
| (B) RURAL YOUTH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| (C) Extension Personnel | 7 | 220 | 8 | 228 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 247 | | | |
| Grand Total (A+B+C) | 21 | 486 | 209 | 695 | 35 | 12 | 47 | 742 | | | |

Off Campus

| | No. of | | | No. | of partio | cipant | | |
|---------------------------------|--------|------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|-------|-------|
| (A) Farmers & Farm Women | couses | | others | | | SC/ST | | Grand |
| | | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Total |
| I Crop Production | 7 | 347 | 0 | 347 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 357 |
| II Horticulture | 1 | 0 | 36 | 36 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 43 |
| III Soil Health and Fertility | 3 | 213 | 0 | 213 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 219 |
| Management | | | | | | | | |
| IV Livestock Production and | 1 | 22 | 3 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Management | | | | | | | | |
| V Home Science/Women | 3 | 0 | 85 | 85 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 88 |
| empowerment | | | | | | | | |
| VI Agril. Engineering | 1 | 70 | 0 | 70 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 73 |
| VII Plant Protection | 8 | 601 | 73 | 674 | 63 | 22 | 85 | 759 |
| VIII Fisheries | 4 | 53 | 1 | 54 | 33 | 53 | 86 | 140 |
| IX Production of Inputs at site | 1 | 118 | 0 | 118 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 121 |
| X Capacity Building and Group | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dynamics | | | | | | | | |
| XI Agro-forestry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (A) | 29 | 1424 | 198 | 1622 | 118 | 85 | 203 | 1825 |
| (B) RURAL YOUTH | 1 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| (C) Extension Personnel | 5 | 440 | 0 | 440 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 442 |

On + Off Campus

| | No. of | | | No. | of partio | cipant | | |
|---------------------------------|--------|------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|-------|-------|
| (A) Farmers & Farm Women | couses | | others | | | SC/ST | | Grand |
| | | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Total |
| I Crop Production | 9 | 407 | 0 | 407 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 417 |
| II Horticulture | 2 | 66 | 38 | 104 | 6 | 7 | 13 | 117 |
| III Soil Health and Fertility | 4 | 242 | 0 | 242 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 249 |
| Management | | | | | | | | |
| IV Livestock Production and | 3 | 46 | 42 | 88 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 89 |
| Management | | | | | | | | |
| V Home Science/Women | 7 | 0 | 207 | 207 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 217 |
| empowerment | | | | | | | | |
| VI Agril. Engineering | 1 | 70 | 0 | 70 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 73 |
| VII Plant Protection | 11 | 673 | 111 | 784 | 71 | 27 | 98 | 882 |
| VIII Fisheries | 5 | 68 | 1 | 69 | 33 | 53 | 86 | 155 |
| IX Production of Inputs at site | 1 | 118 | 0 | 118 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 121 |
| X Capacity Building and Group | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dynamics | | | | | | | | |
| XI Agro-forestry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (A) | 43 | 1690 | 399 | 2089 | 134 | 97 | 231 | 2320 |
| (B) RURAL YOUTH | 1 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| (C) Extension Personnel | 12 | 660 | 8 | 668 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 689 |
| Grand Total (A+B+C) | 56 | 2350 | 439 | 2789 | 155 | 97 | 252 | 3041 |

Sponsored training programmes

| | No. of | | | N | o. of | Partici | pants | | | |
|--------------------------------------------|---------|------|---------|------|-------|---------|-------|-------------|--------|----------|
| Area of training | Courses | | General | | SC/ST | | | Grand Total | | |
| | | Male | Female | | Male | | Total | | Female | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Crop production and management | | | | | | | | | | |
| ncreasing production and productivity of | 10 | 585 | 73 | | 44 | 15 | | | | |
| crops | | | | 658 | | | 59 | 629 | 88 | 717 |
| Commercial production of vegetables | | | | | | | | | | |
| Production and value addition | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fruit Plants | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ornamental plants | | | | | | | | | | |
| Spices crops | 1 | 66 | 2 | 68 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 72 | 2 | 74 |
| Soil health and fertility management | | 195 | 0 | 195 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 196 | 0 | 196 |
| Production of Inputs at site | 1 | 118 | 0 | 118 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 121 | 0 | 121 |
| Methods of protective cultivation | | | | | | | | | | |
| Others (pl. specify) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tota | 15 | 964 | 75 | 1039 | 54 | 15 | 69 | 101 8 | 90 | 110 8 |
| Post-harvest technology and value addition | | | | | | | | | | |
| Processing and value addition | 2 | | 79 | 79 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 88 | 88 |
| Others (pl. specify) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tota | | | | | | | | | | |
| Farm machinery | | | | | | | | | | |
| Farm machinery, tools and implements | | | | | | | | | | |
| Others (pl. specify) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tota | 2 | 0 | 79 | 79 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 88 | 88 |

| Livestock and fisheries | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|----|------|-----|------|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Livestock production and management | 1 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 |
| Animal Nutrition Management | 1 | 24 | 9 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 9 | 34 |
| Animal Disease Management | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fisheries Nutrition | 1 | 52 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 52 |
| Fisheries Management | 4 | 16 | 1 | 17 | 33 | 53 | 86 | 49 | 54 | 103 |
| Others (pl. specify) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 7 | 92 | 40 | 132 | 34 | 53 | 87 | 126 | 93 | 219 |
| Home Science | | | | | | | | | | |
| Household nutritional security | 1 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 |
| Economic empowerment of women | 2 | 0 | 58 | 58 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 60 | 60 |
| Drudgery reduction of women | | | | | | | | | | |
| Others (pl. specify) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 3 | 0 | 88 | 88 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 90 | 90 |
| Agricultural Extension | | | | | | | | | | |
| Capacity Building and Group Dynamics | | | | | | | | | | |
| Others (pl. specify) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 27 | 1056 | 282 | | 88 | 79 | | 114 | | 150 |
| | | | | 1338 | | | 167 | 4 | 361 | 5 |

Name of sponsoring agencies involved: ATMA, DAO, FTC, Agakhan trust, NGO, GGRC, ICDS, TCSRD, ANARDE foundation

Details of vocational training programmes carried out by KVKs for rural youth

| Details of vocational training program | | | | | | Particip | ants | | | |
|----------------------------------------|---------|------|---------|-------|------|------------|-------|------|------------|-------|
| Area of training | No. of | | General | | | SC/ST | | Gr | and To | tal |
| Area of training | Courses | Male | Female | Total | Male | Femal e | Total | Male | Femal e | Total |
| Crop production and management | | | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial floriculture | | | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial fruit production | | | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial vegetable production | | | | | | | | | | |
| Integrated crop management | | | | | | | | | | |
| Organic farming | | | | | | | | | | |
| Others (pl. specify) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post harvest technology and value | | | | | | | | | | |
| addition | | | | | | | | | | |
| Value addition | 1 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 32 |
| Others (pl. specify) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 1 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 32 |
| Livestock and fisheries | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dairy farming | | | | | | | | | | |
| Composite fish culture | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sheep and goat rearing | | | | | | | | | | |
| Piggery | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poultry farming | | | | | | | | | | |
| Others (pl. specify) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | |
| Income generation activities | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vermi composting | | | | | | | | | | |

| Grand Total | 1 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 32 |
|-----------------------------------------------|---|---|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|
| Total | | | | | | | | | | |
| Others (pl. specify) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Capacity building and group dynamics | | | | | | | | | | |
| Agricultural Extension | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | |
| Others (pl. specify) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Agril. para-workers, para-vet training | | | | | | | | | | |
| etc. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tailoring, stitching, embroidery, dying | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nursery, grafting etc. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mushroom cultivation | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sericulture | | | | | | | | | | |
| Seed production | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rural Crafts | | | | | | | | | | |
| and implements | | | | | | | | | | |
| Repair and maintenance of farm machinery | | | | | | | | | | |
| bio-fertilizers etc. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Production of bio-agents, bio- pesticides, | | | | | | | | | | |

Details of trainings organized under ASCI

| | No of | No. of Participants | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--------|---------------------|-------|------|-------|-------|------|--------------------|-------|------|--|--|
| Avec of two ining | No. of | General | | | SC/ST | | | Grand Total | | | | |
| Area of training | Course | Mal | Femal | Tota | Mal | Femal | Tota | Mal | Femal | Tota | | |
| | 3 | е | е | - 1 | е | е | - 1 | е | е | ı | | |
| Organic Grower | 1 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | | |
| Quality Seed | 1 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | | |
| grower | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | 2 | 40 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 40 | | |

| 3.5 EXTENSION PROGRAMMES | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------|
| Activities | No. of programmes | No. of farmers | No. of Extension Personnel | TOTAL |
| Advisory Services | 787 | 3777 | 315 | 4092 |
| Diagnostic visits | 58 | 115 | 19 | 134 |
| Field Day | 13 | 292 | 6 | 298 |
| Group discussions | 37 | 716 | 21 | 737 |
| Kisan Ghosthi | 12 | 1124 | 35 | 1159 |
| Film Show | 112 | 7461 | 650 | 8111 |
| Self -help groups | 2 | 73 | 8 | 81 |
| Kisan Mela | 5 | 4904 | 117 | 5021 |
| Exhibition | 2 | 1930 | 44 | 1974 |
| Scientists' visit to farmers field | 90 | 628 | 66 | 694 |
| Plant/animal health camps | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Farm Science Club | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ex-trainees Sammelan | 2 | 159 | 10 | 169 |
| Farmers' seminar/workshop | 6 | 1786 | 51 | 1837 |
| Method Demonstrations | 24 | 426 | 52 | 478 |
| Celebration of important days | 2 | 757 | 48 | 805 |

| Special day celebration | 4 | 319 | 35 | 354 |
|------------------------------|------|-------|------|-------|
| Exposure visits | 3 | 87 | 3 | 90 |
| Lecture delivered | 183 | 16600 | 913 | 17513 |
| Implement/Crop Demonstration | 24 | 1199 | 70 | 1269 |
| Night meeting | 2 | 126 | 6 | 132 |
| Farmer shibir/Crop shibir | 2 | 208 | 4 | 212 |
| Collobrative training | 6 | 253 | 13 | 266 |
| Others (pl. specify) | 40 | 1322 | 505 | 1827 |
| Total | 1416 | 44262 | 2991 | 47253 |

Details of other extension programmes

| Particulars | Number |
|------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Electronic Media (CD./DVD) | |
| Extension Literature distributed | 10863 |
| News paper coverage | 11 |
| Popular articles | 3 |
| Radio Talks | 0 |
| TV Talks | 1 |
| Animal health amps (Number of animals treated) | 0 |
| Advisory through Mobile | 5826 |
| Publication | 4 |
| Total | 16708 |

3.6 PRODUCTION OF SEED/PLANTING MATERIAL AND BIO-PRODUCTS

Production of seeds by the KVKs

| Crop | Name of the crop | Name of the variety | Name of the hybrid | Quantity of seed(q) | Expected Value(Rs) | Expected Number of farmers |
|----------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Oilseeds | Groundnut | GJG-9 (Breeder) | | 48 | 744000 | |
| | Sesame | GT-4 (Breeder) | | 6.5 | 151450 | |
| | Sesame | GT-4 | | 13.5 | 202500 | 272 |
| Pulses | Green gram | GM-4 | | 15.45 | 123600 | 165 |
| Others | Sun hemp | Lokal | | 5 | 30000 | 18 |
| Total | | | | 88.45 | 1251550 | 455 |

Production of planting materials by the KVKs

| Crop | Name of the crop | Name of the variety | Name of the hybrid | Number | Value (Rs.) | Number of farmers |
|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------|-------------|-------------------|
| Commercial | | | | | | |
| Vegetable seedlings | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | |

Production of Bio-Products

| Bio Products | Name of the bio-product | Quantity | | Value (Rs.) | No. of Farmers |
|-----------------|-------------------------|----------|-------|-------------|----------------|
| | | No. | kg | | |
| Bio Fertilizers | Azotobactor | 232 | | 2320 | 40 |
| | Rhizobium | 492 | | 4920 | 78 |
| | PSB | 483 | | 4830 | 115 |
| Bio-pesticide | Beauveria Bassiana | | 11000 | 165000 | 858 |

| | Metarizium | | 200 | 3000 | 36 |
|---------------|----------------|------|-------|--------|------|
| Bio-fungicide | Trichoderma | | 21140 | 211400 | 513 |
| Bio Agents | | | | | |
| Others | Pheromone trap | | | | |
| | Lure | | | | |
| Total | | 1207 | 32340 | 391470 | 1640 |

N.B. *Product was produced by JAU University and selling by KVK the amount is only given for revenue generation

Table: Production of livestock materials

| Particulars of Live stock | Name of the breed | Number | Value (Rs.) | No. of Farmers |
|---------------------------|-------------------|--------|-------------|----------------|
| Dairy animals | | | | |
| Cows | | | | |
| Buffaloes | | | | |
| Calves | | | | |
| Others (Pl. specify) | | | | |
| Poultry | | | | |
| Broilers s | | | | |
| Layers | | | | |
| Duals (broiler and layer) | | | | |
| Japanese Quail | | | | |
| Turkey | | | | |
| Emu | | | | |
| Ducks | | | | |
| Others (Pl. specify) | | | | |
| Piggery | | | | |
| Piglet | | | | |
| Others (Pl.specify) | | | | |
| Fisheries | | | | |
| Indian carp | | | | |
| Exotic carp | | | | |
| Others (Pl. specify) | | | | |
| Total | | | | |

4. Literature Developed/Published (with full title, author & reference)

A. KVK News Letter ((Date of start, Periodicity, number of copies distributed etc.)

Date of start: January -2016 **Periodicity**: Quarterly

April to June, 2018
 July to Sept., 2018
 Oct. to Dec. 2018

4. Jan to Mar, 2019

Number of copies distributed: e-news letter

B. Literature developed/published

| Item | Title | Authors name | Number of copies |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| Research papers | Study on different insecticide | Patel TM, Baraiya KP, and | |
| | application methods against white | Chudasama KA | |

| | grub, H. consanguinea. | | |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|----|
| | International Journal of Chemical | | |
| Possarch papers | Studies 2018;6(3):2880-2883 | Paraiya AK Paraiya KD and Lakhani | |
| Research papers | Impact of iron supplementation towards hemoglobin levels on | Baraiya AK, Baraiya KP, and Lakhani SH | |
| | teenage girls in Jamnagar district. | 311 | |
| | International Journal of | | |
| | Agriculture Science 10(12), 6489- | | |
| | 6491 | | |
| Research papers | Residual Toxicity of Insecticides | BP Godhani, KP Baraiya and T | |
| | against Thrips, Scirtothrips | Anandmurthy | |
| | dorsalisInfesting Chilli under | , | |
| | Laboratory Condition. Research | | |
| | Journal of Agricultural Sciences | | |
| | 2019;10(1):151-154 | | |
| Research papers | Population Dynamics of Thrips, | BP Godhani, KP Baraiya and T | |
| | S. Dorsalis On Chilli Grown With | Anandmurthy | |
| | Different Mulching Methods | ,, | |
| | Research Journal of Agricultural | | |
| | Sciences 2019;10(3):486-489 | | |
| Abstract | Constraints faced by farmers to | Dr. P. S. Gorfad, Dr. J. N. Thaker, | |
| | adopt new agricultural technology | Dr. K. P. Baraiya and Dr. A. M. | |
| | in KVK adopted village | Parakhia | |
| | Souvenir "National Seminar on | | |
| | Extension Strategies for Doubling | | |
| | the farmer's income for livelihood | | |
| | security" | | |
| Abstract | Impact of Krishi Vigyan Kendra in | Dr. P. S. Gorfad, Dr. J. N. Thaker, | |
| | operational villages Souvenir "National Seminar on | Dr. K. P. Baraiya and Dr. A. M. | |
| | | Parakhia | |
| | Extension Strategies for Doubling the farmer's income for livelihood | | |
| | security" | | |
| Technical reports | Annual Progress Report | Smt. A. K. Baraiya, Dr. K. P. Baraiya | 7 |
| , | 15 th AGRESCO Report | Smt. A. K. Baraiya, Dr. K. P. Baraiya | 49 |
| | 29 th ZREAC Report | Smt. A. K. Baraiya, Dr. K. P. Baraiya | 54 |
| | 30 th ZREAC Report | Smt. A. K. Baraiya, Dr. K. P. Baraiya | 54 |
| | 15 th SAC Report | Smt. A. K. Baraiya, Dr. K. P. Baraiya | 35 |
| | Annual Report of ATIC(2018-19) | Dr. J. N. Thakar, Dr. K. P. Baraiya | 1 |
| | NMOOP & NFSM FLD result report | Dr. S. H. Lakhani, Dr. K. P. Baraiya | 1 |
| | Monthly Report | Smt. A. K. Baraiya, Dr. K. P. Baraiya | 1 |
| | Quarterly Reports | Smt. A. K. Baraiya, Dr. K. P. Baraiya | 1 |
| | | | |
| Popular articles | Jiruma molo ane thrips nu | Gadhiya VC, Lakhani SH, Baraiya K | |
| | sankalit niyanrtan. Krushi | P, Baraiya AK | |
| | Vigyan, 44(09):33(2018) | | |
| | Success story-Beet ni sajiv | Gadhiya VC, Lakhani SH, Baraiya K | |
| | kheti. Krushi Vigyan, 44(10):29 | P, Baraiya AK | |
| | (Nov-2018) | | |
| Popular articles | sankalit niyanrtan. Krushi Vigyan, 44(09):33(2018) Success story-Beet ni sajiv kheti. Krushi Vigyan, 44(10):29 | P, Baraiya AK Gadhiya VC, Lakhani SH, Baraiya K | |

| Pak Sanrakshan na sadhnoma kothasuj dvara shodh. Krushi | Gadhiya VC, Baraiya KP | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Vigyan,44(12):27-18(2019) | | |

C. Details of Electronic Media Produced

| S. No. | Type of media (CD / VCD / DVD/ Audio-Cassette) | Title of the programme | Number |
|--------|------------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------|
| | | | |

D. Success Story/CASE STUDIES

5.1 Case study/ Success story



PROFILE OF FARM INNOVATORS Thematic Area: Organic Cultivation

"Organic & High-tech Vegetable cultivation"

Dr. K. P. Baraiya, Smt. A. K. Baraiya & Shri S. H. Lakhani

| F EI SU | <u>nal</u> | Profile | Organic & High-tech vegetable Cultivation |
|---------------------|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Name of farmer | : | Parmar Laljibhai Dosabhai | Shri Parmar Laljibhai Parmar is enthusiastic farmers of village Siddhpur of Jam Khambhalia block of Devbhumi Dwarka district. Siddhpur village in interior village. It is also |
| Contact No. Address | : | 9428570337 At Siddhpur, Ta Jam Khambhalia, Dist Devbhumi Dwarka | very less rainfall area having hardly 350 to 400 mm rainfalls. Groundwater is also scar in this area. Laljibhai and his family completely dependent on farming. He has no any side income from any business. He engaged with farming by birth. They grow some common farming practices <i>viz.</i> , Groundnut, sorghum, pearl millet and other fodder crops. From starting he used more pesticide and Chemical fertilizer due to that |
| Age Education | : | 48 Years 4 Std pass | increase cost of cultivation and reduce net profit. |
| Land holding | : | 1.31 ha | Practical Utility of the Innovation/ Mode etc. |
| Crops grows | | Vegetable, | Shri Parmar Laljibhai Dosabhai is innovative farmer. |
| Crops grown | | groundnut, wheat, | During 2005 he comes in contact scientist of Agriculture University by the means of Krishi Mahotshav. He encourages |
| Livestock | : | groundnut, | During 2005 he comes in contact scientist of Agriculture University by the means of Krishi Mahotshav. He encourages for animal keeping was must for farming and purchase one |
| . 5 | : | groundnut, wheat, | During 2005 he comes in contact scientist of Agriculture University by the means of Krishi Mahotshav. He encourages |

He was also purchased one cows for organic farming. He has totally cultivation under organic system, in which he use homemade products for compost, cow urine, *jivamrut*, *agnihotra* mantra and done every day morning and evening *agnihotra* in his harm.

He also use university bio-products *viz.*, *Trichoderma*, *Beauveria*, *Azatobactor*, PSB, *Rhizobium*, NPV, MDP Technology, Pheromone trap, Fruit fly trap. He also usages all these technology including yellow sticky trap, light trap decomposed FYM, vermi-compost and bio pesticide in their regular farming.

He started Kamdhenu Gaushala at Gadhka with 15 Gir cow and now a days he has 60 cows. He started Ghee sales by Rs. 700 per kg and now a days it increase Rs. 1100 per kg Ghee. Thus his demand is increases day by day. He also started to produce organic groundnut and wheat. He value added to both the produce and sales wheat @ Rs. 700 per 20 kg. From groundnut extract oil by small scale oil mill and pack him self which sales @ 2800 per 15 kg groundnut oil.

He adopt micro irrigation system for whole farm since last 5 years. He has also started *Mandap Paddhati* for vegetable (viz., Bottle gourd, ridge gourd, sponge gourd, bitter gourd)cultivation. He also grow mix cropping with this technology and Brinjal, chili, cabbage, beat, carrot, coriander, fenugreek, pulses inter cropping, garlic, onion cultivation by organically. Most of production marketing himself from his farm and sales through on telephonic contact of end users. These vegetables and all the products have been value addition and supply at metro cities Rajkot,

Jamnagar, Ahmedabad, Surat etc. Which earn very high. Environmental benefits like He does not use any type of chemical for protection as well as crop production. Finally he become sound in economic condition

Many farmers of Devbhumi dwarka districts and surround districts were visited "Laljibhai farm and take information about the organic vegetable cultivation and they started on their own farm.

More number of farmers have been visited Laljibhai farm for planning and cultivation of organic at own farm. Laljibhai have proven for organic farming as a best cultivation as well as low cost farming with high value. He got many awards for his struggle for organic farming.

He has newly started to cultivation of Passion fruit, dragon fruit. He also started potato grow above ground which have higher price for Jain people.

| Economics of | organic vege | etable cultivati | ion with Manda | ıp paddhati | |
|---------------------|--------------|------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| Year | Production | Gross Income | Production | Net income | Remarks |
| | (Kg/ha) | (Rs/ha) | cost (Rs/ha) | (Rs/ha) | Kemarks |
| First Year | 31250 | 612500 | 337500 | 275000 | Cost of mandap preparation, |
| (2017-18) | | | | | labour cost, seed etc |
| Second Year | 32500 | 631250 | 31250 | 600000 | Labour cost and maintenance |







Gopan through natural grazing of cow

Jivamrut use by drip irrigation in Mandap system cultivation

5.2 Case study/ Success story



PROFILE OF FARM INNOVATORS Thematic Area: Value Addition

"Value addition in Agriculture Produce"

Smt. A. K. Baraiya & Dr. K. P. Baraiya

| The same and the s | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Person | al I | Profile | |
| Name of | : | Vadodariya | Smt. Pravinaben Jerambhai Vadodariya is very |
| farmer | | Pravinaben | enthusiastic, hard worker, 12th pass and animal owner of |
| | | Jerambhai | Kalyanpur village of Jamjodhpur taluka, Jamnagar District. |
| Contact No. | : | 6353012817 | Kalyanpur is very small village with interior roads having |
| Address | : | At | undulating topography. She has 1.10 ha land with less irrigation |
| | | Kalyanpur, | facilities according to rainfall. It is also very less rainfall area having |
| | | Ta Jam | hardly 300 to 350 mm rainfalls. Groundwater is also scar in this |
| | | Jodhpur, | area. The possibility of crops in negligible in this area due to |
| | | Dist | undulating topography. There is also major problem of wild |
| | | Jamnagar | animal's viz., blue bull, deer and pig. |
| Age | : | 45 Years | Her family is completely dependent on farming; her |
| Education | | 12 Std pass | spouse is also working together, having no any side income. She |
| Ludcation | · | 12 3tu pass | engaged farming since last 24 years. |
| Land holding | : | 1.10 ha | Practical Utility of the Innovation/ Mode etc. |
| Crops grown | : | Groundnut, | Smt. Pravinaben Jerambhai Vadodariya is innovative |
| | | fodder, | farmwomen. She started farming since last 24 years with common |
| | | coriander, | farming practices, but lack of sufficient farming facility, they suffer for |
| | | chickpea | struggling in life. |
| Livestock | : | 2- buffalo | Ultimately, she comes in contact of "Centre for Environment |
| Business | : | Farming | Education (CEE)", Kalavad. They have to promote some group of |
| Special | : | Innovative | ladies for development and earn by self-help group. According to |
| recognition | | ı anu | these, under leadership of Pravinaben, they prepare the self-help |
| | | FIURIESSIVE | group named "Radhe Krishna Paryavaran Mahila Vikash Mandal. |
| | | farmer | Every month, each group members saved Rs. 100 ingroup bank |
| | | | account. This group work in different ways as per the guideline by |
| | | | CEE, Kalavad Aarohoan project. |
| | | | Once, they have visit to KVK, JAU, Jamnagar for training on |
| | | | "Value addition of agricultural produce". She encourage for preparation different value added items from agriculture products. |
| | | | preparation different value added items from agriculture products. Smt. Pravinaben Jerambhai Vadodariyastarted to prepare different |
| | | | products <i>viz.</i> , tomato catchup, aonla syrup, aonla candy, pachak |
| | | | amla, amla powder, Alsi Mukhvas, Ajwain-Dill Seed (suva) mukhvas, |
| | | | Sesame mukhvas, syrup, jam from different fruits. They packed in |
| | | | attractive manner and sold by the logo of Radhe Krishna Mahila |
| | | | Vikash Mandal, Kalyanpur. They frequently visit KVK, JAU, Jamnagar |
| | | | and also participate different programmes organized by KVK. They |
| | | | also participated in Krishi Mela at different location for marketing of |
| | | | their products. They also connected with KVK scientist for different |
| | | | innovations in value addition and homemade items preparation. |
| | | | She earned income of Rs. 3000 to 4000 per month by this activity in |
| | | | first year. She also earn Rs. 5000 to 6000 from milk sales. Organic |
| | | | matter produce herself for own farming and reduce cost of |
| | | | matter produce herself for own farming and reduce cost of |

| cultivation. | She work | aggressively | and will | be | reach | at | top, | which |
|----------------|-------------|----------------|----------|----|-------|----|------|-------|
| can fulfil exp | oenditure d | of her family. | | | | | | |

Action Photographs



Training at KVK, JAU, Jamnagar for Value addition in agricultural Produce



Participation in Krishi Mela for selling of products

E. Give details of innovative methodology or innovative technology of Transfer of Technology developed and used during the year

1. Innovative methodology:

- Farmers to farmer dissemination
- Distributed printed leafletto farmers
- Farm School on farmer's field
- Kishan advisory through mobile SMS
- Film show
- Cluster frontline demonstration

2. Innovative technology transfer:

- ❖ Use of FYM to minimize the chemical fertilizer in cotton
- Use of Trichoderma against stem rot disease of groundnut
- Use of Metarhizium against white grub in groundnut
- Use of Beauveria against all pest of all crops.
- ❖ Use of bio-fertilizers viz. PSB, Rhizobium, Azatobactor etc
- Use of pheromone trap for mass trapping as well as monitoring
- Tractor mounted sprayer
- Introduction of new variety i.e.GG-3, GG-5 of Chickpea, GJG-22 of Groundnut, GW-463 of wheat
- Use of trap crop, pheromone trap etc. as a IPM component
- Cotton stalk shredder for recycling of farm waste

F. Give details of indigenous technology practiced by the farmers in the KVK operational area which can be considered for technology development (in detail with suitable photographs)

| S. No. | Crop / Enterprise | ITK Practiced | Purpose of ITK |
|-----------|-------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1. | Chilly | Use castor as a trap crop | For controlling thrips and jassids |
| 2 | Crop husbandry | Crop rotation and mixed cropping | Control weed, and diseases management |
| 3 | и | Mixing of ash with pulse/millet grains | While storing to protect from pest |

| 4 | и | Vegetable seeds placed inside cowdung | Use for next year |
|---|-----------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 5 | Fertility | Application of ash | To improve soil fertility |
| | Management | | |
| 6 | u | Sheep and goat penning | To improve soil fertility |
| 7 | и | Jivamrut | To improve soil fertility and reduce chemical fertilizers |
| | Crop husbandry | Panchgavya | For management of pests and diseases of crops |
| | Crop husbandry | Sheep and goat grazing | For pinkboll worm management |
| 7 | Harvesting | Harvest pulse crop in the morning hours | To reduce shattering |
| 8 | Organic farming | Jivamrut, Panchgavya, Cow based farming | Reduce the cost of cultivation as well as without chemical organic farming. |

5.1 Indicate the specific training need analysis tools/methodology followed for Practicing Farmers

- a) Group discussion with the farmers
- b) Field visits
- c) Group meeting
- d) Identifying general trends in the area
- e) PRA survey

Rural Youth

- a) Filling up research based questionnaires
- b) Identification of leader and role of rural youth in agriculture (Socio-metric method)
- c) Field visit for practical experience
- d) General discussion about district agriculture issues

In-service personnel

- a) Knowledgetest (Interview schedule)
- b) Interaction with the personnel
- c) Functional areas of personnel

5.2 Indicate the methodology for identifying OFTs/FLDs

For OFT:

- ➤ PRA
- Problem identified from Matrix
- Field level observations
- > Farmer group discussions
- Assessment of technology
- Others if any

For FLD:

- 1. New variety/technology
- 2. Poor yield at farmers level
- 3. Existing cropping system :- Coriander
- 4. Technology adoption gap
- 5. Others if any

5.3 Field activities

- i. Name of villages identified/adopted with block name (from which year) -
- ii. No. of farm families selected per village:
- iii. No. of survey/PRA conducted:

- iv. No. of technologies taken to the adopted villages
- v. Name of the technologies found suitable by the farmers of the adopted villages:
- vi. Impact (production, income, employment, area/technological– horizontal/vertical)
- vii. Constraints if any in the continued application of these improved technologies

5.4 . No. and Name of villages adopted for Doubling Farmers Income. Indicate whether benchmark survey of the villages are done or not.

| Name of KVK/ District | Name of Villages Adopted |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| JAMNGAR | Lothiya |
| | Khoja Beraja |
| | Chandragadh |
| | Nani Banugar |
| | Gadhka |

6. LINKAGES

A. Functional linkage with different organizations

| Sr. | Name of organization | Na | ture of linkage |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Α | Statecorporation and state deptt. | | |
| 2 | District AgriculturalOfficer, Deptt. of Agriculture, District Panchayat, Jamnagar Deputy Director of Horticulture, Jamnagar | A A | Joint diagnostic teamvisit at farmers field Organizing collaborative |
| 3 | Deputy Director of Agriculture (Training), Farmer Training Centre, Jamnagar | | trainingto farmers For collaborative off |
| <u>4</u> 5 | Deputy Director of Agriculture (Extension), Jamnagar Asstt. Director of Fisheries, Jamnagar | | campus training For collaborative training |
| 6 7 | Project Director, ATMA, Jamnagar Project Director, DWDU, Jamnagar | | and demonstrationProgramme |
| 8 | NABARD Bank | > | Collaborative on |
| 9 | Range Forest Officer, Jamnagar | - | campustrainingprogramme For providing hostelfacilitiesto participants and organizing collaborative MahilaKrishiMela |
| В | Private Corporation | | |
| 1 | Territory Manager, GSFC, Jamnagar | > | Imparttraining on Agril. |
| 2 | Territory Manager, GNFC, Jamnagar | | aspects |
| 3 | Territory Manager, IFFCO, Jamnagar | > | Collaborative on/off |
| 4 | Reliance Industries, Dept. of Green Belt, Jamnagar | \triangleright | campustrainingprogramme Sponsortrainingprogramme |
| | Syngenta Company | | |
| | GGRC | | |
| С | NGOs | | |
| 1 | Umiya Mataji Mandir Trust, At Sidsar, TaJamjodhpur, Dist Jamnagar | \triangleright | Imparttraining on Agril. aspects |
| 2 | Tata Chemical Societyfor Rural Development Foundation, At. Mithapur, TaDwarka, DistJamnagar | > | Collaborative on/off campus training programme |
| | And have Bound Bounds and Table | 7 | |
| 3 | Agakhan Rural Development Trust ANARDE foundation trust | | |

| 5 | Mahindra Tractor, Jamnagar |
|---|----------------------------|
| | |
| 6 | BAIF, Singach |

B. List special programmes undertaken by the KVK and operational now, which have been financed by State Govt./Other Agencies

| Name of the scheme | Date/ Month of initiation | Funding agency | Amount (Rs.) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Establishment of Agricultural Technology Information Centre (ATIC) | 2018-19 | State Govt. | 1890000/- |
| Pre Rabi Campaign (B.H. 2704-37) | 2018-19 | ICAR | 80000/- |
| Cluster Frontline demonstration of Oilseeds under NMOOP (B.H.:- 2704-51) | 2018-19 | ICAR | 340000/- |
| Cluster Frontline demonstration of pulses under NSFM (B.H.:- 2704-50) | 2018-19 | ICAR | 382500/- |
| Skill Training programme (B.H. 2704-56) | 2018-19 | Central Government | 330400/- |
| District Agromet Unit (DAMUs) (B.H. 2704-59 | 2018-19 | Central Government | 480000/- |
| Making of Compost Unit Swachchh Bharat Mission (B.H. 2704-60) | 2018-19 | ICAR | 22500/- |

C. Details of linkage with ATMA

a) Is ATMA implemented in your district (Yes/No):- Yes

| <u>.,</u> | , 10 / 11 / 11 / 11 / 11 / 11 / 11 / 11 | | | | |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| S. No. | Programme | Nature of linkage | Remarks | | |
| 1 | District Level Training | Impart Training on Agricultural Aspects | Celeberate Technology week Arrangement of KrishiMela | | |
| 2. | Block level training | Lecture delivered | | | |
| 3. | Village level training | Lecture delivered | | | |

If yes, role of KVK in preparation of SREP of the district? :- Yes

Coordination activities between KVK and ATMA

| S. No. | Programme | Particulars | No. of programmes attended by KVK staff | No. of programmes Organized by KVK | Other remarks (if any) | |
|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| 01 | Meetings | AGB, AMC and other meeting | 21 | 5 | | |
| 02 | Research projects | - | - | - | - | |
| 03 | Training programmes | On/ Off Campus training programme | 9 | 11 | | |
| 04 | Demonstrations | Method Demonstration | 14 | 8 | | |
| 05 | Extension Programmes | | | | | |
| • | Kisan Mela | | 5 | 0 | | |
| | Technology Week | | 0 | 1 | | |

| | Exposure visit | | 0 | 0 | |
|----|----------------------------------|-------------|----|----|--|
| | Exhibition | | 1 | 1 | |
| | Soil health camps | | 0 | 0 | |
| | Animal Health | | 0 | 0 | |
| | Campaigns | | | | |
| | Others (Pl. specify) | Day | 2 | 1 | |
| | | Celebration | | | |
| | | Lecture | 48 | 10 | |
| | | Dilivered | | | |
| 06 | Publications | | | | |
| | Video Films | | | | |
| | Books | | | | |
| | Extension Literature | | | | |
| | Pamphlets | | | | |
| | Others (Pl. specify) | | | | |
| 07 | Other Activities (Pl.specify) | | | | |
| | Watershed approach | | | | |
| | Integrated Farm | | | | |
| | Development | | | | |
| | Agri-preneurs | | | | |
| | development | | | | |

D. Give details of programmes implemented under National Horticultural Mission

| S. No. | Programme | Nature of linkage | Funds received if any Rs. | Expenditure during the reporting period in Rs. | Constraints if any |
|-----------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | Meeting | Meeting | - | - | - |

E. Nature of linkage with National Fisheries Development Board

| S. No. | Programme | Nature of linkage | Funds received if any Rs. | Expenditure during the reporting period in Rs. | Remarks |
|-----------|-----------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------|---------|
| | Training | Collaborative training | - | - | - |

F. Details of linkage with RKVY

| S. No. | Programme | Nature of linkage | Funds received if any Rs. | Expenditure during the reporting period in Rs. | Remarks |
|-----------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------|---------|
| | Training | | | | |

7. Convergence with other agencies and departments:

| Period | Activity details | Place of activity | Officers present |
|----------|----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| 12.04.18 | SAC meeting with line Department | KVK, Jamnagar | 35 |
| 23.04.18 | Kishan Kalyan Karyashala meeting | DDO chamber, | 23 |
| | | Jamnagar | |
| 23.04.18 | Kishan Kalyan Karyashala meeting | DDO chamber, | 23 |
| | | Jamnagar | |

| 3 |
|--------------------|
| |
| |
| 5 |
| |
| L |
| |
| 1 |
| 5 |
| 1 |
| |
| |
| 2 |
| |
| 3 |
| 2 |
| |
| 7 |
| 7 |
| |
| 7 |
| |
|) |
| |
| |
| 2 |
| 1 |
| |
| 1 |
| |
| 2 |
| |
| 6 |
|) |
| 2 32 7 7 D 2 1 L 2 |

8. Innovator Farmer's Meet

| Sl.No. | Particulars | Details |
|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| | Have you conducted Farm Innovators meet in your district? | Yes/ No |
| | Brief report in this regard | |

9. Farmers Field School (FFS)

| S. No | Thematic area | Title of the FFS | Budget proposed in Rs. | Brief report |
|----------|---------------|------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

10.1. Technical Feedback of the farmers about the technologies demonstrated and assessed:

- Demonstrated new variety
- > Introduction of newer crop by KVK through different FLD as well as OFT
- ➤ Information of any crop diversification get from KVK
- > Frequently visit to farmers
- > Telephonic information is available 24 hours through scientist mobile
- Farmers reduce cost of production by using *Beauveria bassiana* and other bio-products

- Farmers understood the use of sulphur in oilseed crops specially in mustard through front line demonstrations in different villages
- Farmers understand the need of soil and water conservation and its future consequences in the area.
- Positive response coming from farmers about use of *Trichoderma* as seed treatment and soil application in cumin and groundnut
- Farmers are realizing the need of micronutrients and their deficiency in the different soils of the area
- Farmers are realizing the importance of seed treatment for pest and disease management
- Positive feedback coming from farmers side about the use of Pseudomonas in coriander for disease management
- Farmers getting satisfactory results from seed treatment for pest and disease control in different crops

10.2. Technical Feedback from the KVK Scientists (Subject wise) to the research institutions/universities:

Director (ATARI), DEE, Comptroller of University:

- > Grant for the contingency for handling diferent programmes is in sufficient
- ➤ Limit of food provision during training and other cost should be increase along with stipend and transportation fascility (Approximately Rs. 500 to 1000 per head per training required)
- > Timely release of grant for successful and perfect conducting of FLD and OFT
- Required new vehicle for field visit and other extension programme. It is also required minimum two vehicle in KVK due to work load and it is among farmers field
- Contingency grant is in sufficient (It should be minimum 30 lakhs per KVK)
- Provide grant for farm protection wall and other infrastructure fascilities

Soil & Water Conservation:

- Farmers are facing the problem of malfunctioning of micro irrigation systems with poor quality irrigation water.
- Problem of soil salinity/ alkalinity is increasing day by day due to inherent salinity of soils and application of poor quality water.
- More research is required for magnetic water softener and effects of softened water on soil after continuous use.

Horticulture:

- ➤ Need to be developed nematode & wilt resistant root-stock in pomegranate
- Fertigation schedule should be developed in Datepalm
- ➤ Need to be developed value addition methods for Datepalm

Plant Protection:

- Need to be developed more insect and disease resistant varieties under different crops
- Farmers need freshly prepared bio-agents like *Beauveria, Metarhizium,Trichoderma, Pseudomonas, Paecillomyces* etc.
- Need to be effective control measures for mealybug control in cotton.
- More emphasis should be given on fruit fly management in different orchards
- > Research scientists should focus on discovering best management techniques for mealybug
- ➤ Also focus on para-wilt management practices in cotton

- Need to be discover new molecules of nematicides for nematode management
- > Should be focus on insecticide resistance management
- Ease availability of bio-pesticides to farmers

Agronomy:

- Need to be developed salinity resistant varieties of crops like groundnut and castor
- > Need to be developed high yielding/ salinity tolerant varieties of pulse crops
- > Need to be farming with cow based agriculture development for doubling the farmers income

11. Technology Week celebration during 2018-19 - YES

Period of observing Technology Week: From 20 August to 24 August, 2018

Total number of farmers visited : 645 Total number of agencies involved : 3

Number of demonstrations visited by the farmers within KVK campus: 5

Other Details

| Types of Activities | | Numaber of Participants | Related crop/livestock technology |
|---------------------|----|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Gosthies | 5 | 645 | 1st day: Concept of Organic Farming. 2nd day: IPM for kharif crop with special emphasis on pink bollworm and mealy bug. 3rd day:Importance of Micro irrigation system in agri. 4th day: Integrated nutrient management. 5th day: Ideal animal husbandry 6th day: IPM for kharif crop with special emphasis on white grub. |
| Lectures organized | 30 | 645 | IPM & IDM in Groundnut ICT importance in Agriculture More milk produce in scientific way Value addition in farm products IPM in Cotton Importance of Organic farming Reduce rate of crop cultivation in through Integrated Pest and disease control. Importance of micro irrigation system Diesis management in Animal Importance of Kitchen gardening Pink bollworm management in Cotton Importance of micronutrients in agriculture Integrated Pest and disease of major crops Emphasizes on adverse effect of climate change in agriculture Importance of soil and water analysis Mechanization in modern Agriculture Irrigation management in agricultural crop |
| Exhibition | 1 | 386 | Farm implements were put for exhibition cum demonstration purpose |
| Film show | 15 | 645 | Film Show of different technologies were presented |
| Fair | 1 | 645 | NADAP Composting unit Net House/Poly house Solar submersible pump (Renewable energy) |

| | | | 4. Vermi compost unit |
|---------------------|------|------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | 5. Fisheries unit |
| | | | 6. Agro forestry unit |
| | | | 7. Orchard of chiku, custard apple, guava, pomegranate and |
| | | | aonla |
| | | | 8. Drip and sprinkler system in farm |
| | | | 9. Crop cafeteria of major crop of the district |
| | | | 10. Seed production unit |
| | | | 11. Nursery Unit |
| | | | 12. Improved Implements viz. Laser land leveler, Tractor |
| | | | operated sprayer, tractor operated spray gun, rotavator, |
| | | | groundnut digger, tractor operated reaper for sorghum, |
| | | | groundnut exposure, mini-tractor, Mould plough, automatic |
| | | | seed cum fertilizer drill, etc. |
| Farm Visit | 5 | 645 | During farm visit farmers were demonstrate reaper |
| | | | demonstration for sorghum cutting. and also other different |
| | | | implements were demonstrated |
| Diagnostic | 25 | 54 | |
| Practicals | | | |
| Supplyof | 13 | 2050 | Different subject literature distributed |
| Literature (No.) | | | |
| Supply of Seed | | | |
| (q) | | | |
| Supply of | - | - | |
| Planting | | | |
| materials (No.) | | | |
| Bio Product | 6122 | 229 | |
| supply (Kg) | | | |
| Bio Fertilizers | | | |
| (q) | | | |
| Supply of | | | |
| fingerlings | | | |
| Supply of | - | - | |
| Livestock | | | |
| specimen (No.) | | | |
| Total number of | | 645 | |
| farmers visited the | | | |
| technology week | | | |

12. Interventions on drought mitigation (if the KVK included in this special programme)

A. Introduction of alternate crops/varieties

| 7 to third outded on or | a majoration of alternate crops, farieties | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| State | Crops/cultivars | Area (ha) | Number of | | | | | | |
| | | | beneficiaries | | | | | | |
| Guiarat | - | _ | _ | | | | | | |

^{*} Note :- It was normal distribution of rainfall therefore, there was no any issues

B. Major area coverage under alternate crops/varieties

| Crops | Area (ha) | Number of beneficiaries |
|-----------------|-----------|-------------------------|
| Oilseeds | | |
| Pulses | | |
| Cereals | | |
| Vegetable crops | | |
| Total | | |

C. Farmers-scientists interaction on livestock management

| State | Livestock components | Number of interactions | No.of participants |
|-------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| | | | |
| Total | | | |

D. Animal health camps organized

| State | Number of camps | No.of animals | No.of farmers |
|-------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|
| | | | |
| Total | | | |

E. Seed distribution in drought hit states

| State | Crops | Quantity (qtl) | Coverage of area (ha) | Number of farmers |
|-------|-------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Total | | | | |

F. Large scale adoption of resource conservation technologies

| State | Crops/cultivars and gist of resource conservation | Area (ha) | Number of |
|-------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | technologies introduced | | farmers |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total | | | |

G. Awareness campaign

| | 5.7.ttta: 611655 6411.pai.b.i | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------------|--------|------|--------|------------|--------|--------------|--------|------------|--------|-----------|--------|
| Stat | Meetings | | Gost | hies | Field days | | Farmers fair | | Exhibition | | Film show | |
| е | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | No | No.of | No | No.of | No | No.of | No | No.of | No | No.of | No | No.of |
| | | farmer | | farmer | | farmer | | farmer | | farmer | | farmer |
| | | S | | S | | S | | S | | S | | S |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | |

13. IMPACT

A. Impact of KVK activities (Not to be restricted for reporting period).

IMPACT OF KRISHI VIGYAN KENDRA, JAU, JAMNAGAR IN OPERATIONAL VILLAGES

Krishi Vigyan Kendra has been proved to be one of the best option for improvement of knowledge, attitude and skill level in farming community of rural India through Trainings, On Farm Trials (OFT), Front Line Demonstrations(FLD) and other extension activities. Krishi Vigyan Kendra is the innovative scientific training institutes which have been established throughout the country with the mandates to impart need based and skill oriented trainings to practicing farmers, in-service field level extension workers and to those who wish to go for self-employment. The basic objective of Krishi Vigyan Kendra is focused on demonstrating the recent technology at the farmer's field and imparting skill oriented vocational trainings to the farmers. The Krishi Vigyan Kendra at Jamnagar was established in 2003-04, the main aim of establishing the Krishi Vigyan Kendra was to bring about improvement in production and economy of the farmers. In order to achieve this objective, the Krishi Vigyan Kendra

Jamnagar carries out a number of training programmes and various other activities on crop production and allied fields. The specific objective of the present paper was to assess the impact of KVK activities in Jamnagar districts.

METHODOLOGY

The present investigation was undertaken in operational villages of Jamnagar district of Gujarat state. The district consists of total 10 blocks, out of which Jamjodhpur, Dhrol and Jodiya were selected for different extension activities carried out by Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Junagadh Agricultural University, Jamnagar. Three irrigated and three rain fed villages selected from each block. Thus, total eighteen villages were adopted as operational area of Krishi Vigyan Kendra for the period of 2015 -16 to 2017-18. These eighteen villages were considered as the study sample for this investigation. For selection of respondents, 10 respondents were selected randomly from each adopted village. Thus, total number of respondents was 180. For the collection of data a simple structured schedule developed by Chandra (1991) was used with some modifications. The data collected from each respondent by personal interview method.

Table: 1. Village-wise numbers of respondents selected for the study and farming situation

| Sr. No. | Village | Taluka | Farming situation | Total no. of selected farmers |
|---------|--------------|---------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | Mulila | Kalavad | Irrigated | 10 |
| 2 | Chhatar | Kalavad | Irrigated | 10 |
| 3 | Chelabedi | Kalavad | Irrigated | 10 |
| 4 | Sanosara | Kalavad | Rainfed | 10 |
| 5 | Golaniya | Kalavad | Rainfed | 10 |
| 6 | Laxmipur | Kalavad | Irrigated | |
| | (Dudhala) | | | 10 |
| 7 | Bhangor | Lalpur | Irrigated | 10 |
| 8 | Memana | Lalpur | Irrigated | 10 |
| 9 | Dharampur | Lalpur | Irrigated | 10 |
| 10 | Govana | Lalpur | Rainfed | 10 |
| 11 | Pipartoda | Lalpur | Rainfed | 10 |
| 12 | Babarjar | Lalpur | Rainfed | 10 |
| 13 | Morjar | Bhanvad | Irrigated | 10 |
| 14 | Sahidevaliya | Bhanvad | Irrigated | 10 |
| 15 | Dudhala | Bhanvad | Irrigated | 10 |
| 16 | Rojivada | Bhanvad | Rainfed | 10 |
| 17 | Vanavad | Bhanvad | Rainfed | 10 |
| 18 | Fatepur | Bhanvad | Rainfed | 10 |
| | | | Total | 180 |

Impact of extension indicator

In a view to ascertain impact of different extension activities in adopted villages, questionnaire was prepared to measure the different extension indicators. It was structured to know the experience of farmers before and after five years' experience. The percentage worked out and percent increase should be the growth of the farmers after the KVK activities in adopted villages. The data are presented in table:-3.

Table: 3 Distribution of the respondents according to its extension intervention (N = 180)

| C. | | Impa | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------|---------|---------------|---------|-----------|-----|
| Sr. No | Extension indicator | Befo | ore | Aft | er | Differenc | Ran |
| | extension indicator | Frequenc y | Percent | Frequenc y | Percent | е | k |
| 1 | Knowledge about technology and package of practices | 105 | 58.33 | 155 | 86.11 | 27.78 | IV |
| 2 | Extent of awareness | 75 | 41.67 | 167 | 92.78 | 51.11 | Ш |
| 3 | Change in attitude | 57 | 31.67 | 154 | 85.56 | 53.89 | П |

| 4 | Improvement in work performance / skill | 77 | 42.78 | 113 | 62.78 | 20.00 | v |
|---|------------------------------------------|----|-------|-----|-------|-------|-----|
| 5 | Extent of spread of technology | 62 | 34.44 | 169 | 93.89 | 59.44 | - 1 |
| 6 | Increase in SHGs / FIGs | 69 | 38.33 | 100 | 55.53 | 17.22 | VI |
| 7 | Formation / establishment of cooperative | 68 | 37.78 | 75 | 41.67 | 3.89 | VII |

The perusal of data presented in table 3 revealed that more than 50.00 per cent difference was noticed in case of spread of technology (59.44 %) which was followed by change in attitude (53.89 %) and extent of awareness (51.11 %) respectively.

In case of other extension indicators, the difference observed was less than 50.00 per cent are gain in knowledge about technology and package of practices, improvement in work performance/skill and increase in SHGs /CIGs with 27.78, 20.00 and 17.22 per cent respectively. The least difference was observed in case of formation and establishment of cooperative (3.89 %).

From above discussion, it could be concluded that the spread of technology (ranked first), change in attitude (ranked second), extent of awareness (ranked third), gain in knowledge (ranked fourth) and improvement in work performance/skill (ranked fifth).

Impact of technological indicator

To find out the technological impact, the following 13 technologies were tested, amongst three i.e. introduction of new verities, increase in yield /production and increase in area were tested in four major crops of our district which is cotton, groundnut, castor and wheat.

Table: -4. Distribution of farmers according to his technological indicator

| | -4. Distribution of farmers accord | , <u> </u> | Impact of Krishi Vigyan Kendra | | | | |
|------------|------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------------|------|
| Sr. No. | Technological indicator | Befo | re | Afte | er | Diffe- rence | Rank |
| NO. | | Frequency | Percent | Frequency | Percent | Terice | |
| 1 | Introduction of new verities | 112 | 62.22 | 155 | 86.17 | 23.95 | Ш |
| (1) | Cotton | 133 | 73.89 | 157 | 87.22 | 13.33 | |
| (2) | Groundnut | 139 | 77.22 | 160 | 88.89 | 11.67 | |
| (3) | Castor | 123 | 68.33 | 154 | 85.56 | 17.22 | |
| (4) | Wheat | 161 | 89.44 | 174 | 96.67 | 7.22 | |
| (5) | Cumin | 125 | 69.44 | 162 | 90.00 | 20.56 | |
| (6) | Gram | 107 | 59.44 | 159 | 88.33 | 28.89 | |
| (7) | Til | 115 | 63.89 | 148 | 82.22 | 18.33 | |
| (8) | Coriander | 11 | 6.11 | 133 | 73.89 | 67.78 | |
| (9) | Pearl Millet | 94 | 52.22 | 149 | 82.78 | 30.56 | |
| 2 | Increase in yield / productivity | 120 | 66.61 | 143 | 79.57 | 12.96 | VI |
| (1) | Cotton | 164 | 91.11 | 113 | 62.78 | -28.33 | |
| (2) | Groundnut | 139 | 77.22 | 178 | 98.89 | 21.67 | |
| (3) | Castor | 122 | 67.78 | 147 | 81.67 | 13.89 | |
| (4) | Wheat | 145 | 80.56 | 165 | 91.67 | 11.11 | |
| (5) | Cumin | 129 | 71.67 | 161 | 89.44 | 17.78 | |
| (6) | Gram | 114 | 63.33 | 149 | 82.78 | 19.44 | |
| (7) | Til | 104 | 57.78 | 130 | 72.22 | 14.44 | |
| (8) | Coriander | 47 | 26.11 | 102 | 56.67 | 30.56 | |
| (9) | Pearl Millet | 115 | 63.89 | 144 | 80.00 | 16.11 | |
| 3 | Increase in area | 109 | 60.68 | 128 | 71.05 | 10.37 | VII |
| (1) | Cotton | 167 | 92.78 | 103 | 57.22 | -35.56 | |
| (2) | Groundnut | 102 | 56.67 | 169 | 93.89 | 37.22 | |
| (3) | Castor | 98 | 54.44 | 103 | 57.22 | 2.78 | |

| (4) | Wheat | 135 | 75.00 | 155 | 86.11 | 11.11 | |
|-----|------------------------------------------------|-----|-------|-----|-------|--------|------|
| (5) | Cumin | 121 | 67.22 | 135 | 75.00 | 7.78 | |
| (6) | Gram | 116 | 64.44 | 128 | 71.11 | 6.67 | |
| (7) | Til | 98 | 54.44 | 111 | 61.67 | 7.22 | |
| (8) | Coriander | 31 | 17.22 | 122 | 67.78 | 50.56 | |
| (9) | Pearl Millet | 115 | 63.89 | 125 | 69.44 | 5.56 | |
| 4 | Increase in production | 15 | 12.50 | 85 | 70.83 | 58.33 | ı |
| (1) | Cotton | 165 | 91.67 | 115 | 63.89 | -27.78 | |
| (2) | Groundnut | 133 | 73.89 | 168 | 93.33 | 19.44 | |
| (3) | Castor | 117 | 65.00 | 138 | 76.67 | 11.67 | |
| (4) | Wheat | 137 | 76.11 | 165 | 91.67 | 15.56 | |
| (5) | Cumin | 123 | 68.33 | 158 | 87.78 | 19.44 | |
| (6) | Gram | 97 | 53.89 | 119 | 66.11 | 12.22 | |
| (7) | Til | 101 | 56.11 | 127 | 70.56 | 14.44 | |
| (8) | Coriander | 97 | 53.89 | 135 | 75.00 | 21.11 | |
| (9) | Pearl Millet | 112 | 62.22 | 133 | 73.89 | 11.67 | |
| 5 | Extent of adoption | 107 | 59.44 | 149 | 82.78 | 23.33 | III |
| 6 | Increase in income | 130 | 72.22 | 159 | 88.33 | 16.11 | V |
| 7 | Generation of employment | 122 | 67.78 | 139 | 77.22 | 9.44 | VIII |
| 8 | Expansion of an enterprise | 89 | 49.44 | 96 | 53.33 | 3.89 | IX |
| 9 | Introduction of new enterprise | 75 | 41.67 | 79 | 43.89 | 2.22 | Х |
| 10 | Improvement in market facility of farm produce | 75 | 41.67 | 78 | 43.33 | 1.67 | ΧI |
| 11 | Creation of infrastructure | 103 | 57.22 | 134 | 74.44 | 17.22 | IV |
| 12 | Opening of farm school | 78 | 43.33 | 81 | 45.00 | 1.67 | ΧI |
| 13 | Decrease in yield gaps | 91 | 50.56 | 120 | 66.67 | 16.11 | ٧ |

It is concluded from above table: 4 that the highest difference (58.33 %) was observed in increase of production followed by introduction of new varieties (23.95 %), adoption rate (23.33 %), creation of infrastructure (17.22 %), increase in income and decrease in gap (16.11 per cent), increase in yield (12.96 %) and increase in area (10.37 %) respectively.

Least difference observed in case of Improvement in market facility of farm produce and Opening of farm school (1.67 per cent of each) and Introduction of new enterprise (2.22 per cent).

From above discussion it can be concluded that increase in production (ranked first), introduction of new varieties (ranked second), adoption rate (ranked third), creation of infrastructure (ranked fourth) and increase in income and decrease in gap (ranked fifth).

The reason for increase in production and introduction of new varieties is due to constant and concrete efforts of KVK scientists to the farmers and vise versa. Farmers could solved their problems of plant protection and crop production by direct contact of the specialist of KVK either by phone or person. Introduction of new varieties ranked second position because of Front Line Demonstrations conducted by KVK at farmer's fields and trainings.

Conclusion:-

Krishi Vigyan Kendra has been playing pivotal role for the allover improvement of farming community. To concentrate its efforts 18 villages were adopted for different activities for the period of 2015-16 to 2017-18. Due to constant and concrete efforts of KVK scientists, like organizing On and Off campus trainings, Front Line demonstrations (FLDs), field days, sharing of technology through cell phones, distribution of literature, celebration of technology weeks, soil health day, agricultural fairs, exposure visits, etc. had provided scientific know-how to farmers which led them to adopt new technology and finally to a better life.

After completion of three years in adopted villages the major outcomes are:

The yield of coriander and groundnut was increased by 30.56 and 21.67 percent. A remarkable change was noticed in use of drip and sprinkler irrigation system. Use of overdose of DAP and urea was minimized and farmers started to use more bio agents especially *Trichoderma* and *Beauveria* to control pest and diseases which resulted in decrease of cost of cultivation with conservation of environment. The efforts of KVK scientists succeeded in arousing awareness, change in attitude, introduction of new varieties, extent of adoption which increased the crop production and finally the income of the farmer.

B. Cases of large scale adoption(Please furnish detailed information for each case)

C. Details of impact analysis of KVK activities carried out during the reporting period

14. Kisan Mobile Advisory Services

| Month | No. of SMS sent | No. of farmers to which SMS was sent | No. of feedback / query on SMS sent | | |
|--------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| April 2018 | | | | | |
| May | | | | | |
| June | | | | | |
| July | 3 | 466 | | | |
| August | 2 | 298180 | | | |
| September | | | | | |
| October | | | | | |
| November | | | | | |
| December | | | | | |
| January 2019 | | | | | |
| February | | | | | |
| March | | | | | |
| | 5 | 298646 | | | |

| | | Type of Messages | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|--------|--|
| Name of KVK | Message Type | Crop | Lives tock | Weat her | Marke- ting | Aware- ness | Other enterprise | Total | |
| | Text only | 2 | | | | | 3 | 5 | |
| Jamnaga | Voice only | | | | | | | | |
| r | Voice & Text both | | | | | | | | |
| | Total Messages | 2 | | | | | 3 | 5 | |
| | Total farmers Benefitted | 298180 | | | | | 466 | 298646 | |

15. PERFORMANCE OF INFRASTRUCTURE IN KVK

A. Performance of demonstration units (other than instructional farm)

| C | CI | Year of | | Details of pro | oduction | | Amoun | t (Rs.) | | |
|--------|----|-----------|-------------------|----------------|----------|---------|-------|----------------------|-----------------|---------|
| 5 N | | Demo Unit | establishmen t | Area (ha) | Variety | Produce | Qty. | Cost of inputs | Gross income | Remarks |

| 1 | Horticulture Unit | 2012-13 | 3.5 Ha | Sapota | Fruit | 3.19 | 7975 | |
|---|----------------------|---------|--------|---------|-------|------|------|--|
| | | | | Custard | Fruit | 2.33 | 6990 | |
| | | | | apple | | | | |

B. Performance of instructional farm (Crops) including seed production

| B. Performano | ce of instruc | tionai tari | m (Crops | s) including seed production | | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|---------|
| Nama | Data of | Data of | | Details o | of production | | Amount | (Rs.) | |
| Name of the crop | Date of sowing | Date of harvest | Area (ha) | Variety | Type of Produce | Qty.(q) | Cost of inputs | Gross income | Remarks |
| Pulses | | | | | | | | | |
| Green Gram | 22.07.18 | | 1.8 | GM-4 | seed | 1585 | 45000 | 140650 | |
| Oilseeds | | | | | | | | | |
| Groundnut | 27.06.18 | | 4 | GJG-9 | Seed(Breeder) Haulm | 5825 8700 | 320000 | 769000 34800 | |
| Sesame | 21.07.18 | | 1.5 | GT-4 | Seed(Breeder) | 700 | 75000 | 153450 | |
| Sesame | 21.07.18 | | 1.5 | GT-4 | Seed | 1420 | 75000 | 207400 | |
| Fibers | | | | | | | | | |
| Fodder | | | | | | | | | |
| Sorghum | 1.09.18 | | 0.15 | Local | Dry fodder Green fodder | 900 1440 | 25000 | | |
| Others (specify) | | | | | | | | | |
| Sun hemp | 28.07.18 | | 2 | Local | seed | 500 | | | |

C. Performance of production Units (bio-agents / bio pesticides/ bio fertilizers etc.)

| SI. | Name of the | | Amou | | | |
|-----|-------------|-----|----------------|--------------|---------|--|
| No. | Product | Qty | Cost of inputs | Gross income | Remarks | |
| 1 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | | | | | |

D. Performance of instructional farm (livestock and fisheries production)

| | Name | Details of production | | | Amount (| Rs.) | |
|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------|----------------|-----------------|---------|
| SI. No | of the animal / bird / aquatics | Breed | Type of Produce | Qty. | Cost of inputs | Gross income | Remarks |
| 1 | Cow | Gir | Milk | 837 lit | - | 26784 | |
| | | | FYM | 9 ton | | 3600 | |

E. Utilization of hostel facilities

Accommodation available (No. of beds): 2

| Months | No. of trainees stayed | Trainee days (days stayed) | Reason for short fall (if any) |
|-------------|------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| April 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| May 2018 | 3 | 4 | 0 |
| June 2018 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| July 2018 | 54 | 12 | 0 |
| August 2018 | 39 | 7 | 0 |

| September 2018 | 33 | 8 | 0 |
|----------------|-----|----|---|
| October 2018 | 28 | 4 | 0 |
| November 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| December 2018 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| January 2019 | 31 | 1 | 0 |
| February 2019 | 152 | 16 | 0 |
| March 2019 | 51 | 2 | 0 |

F. Database management

| S. No | Database target | Database created |
|-------|-----------------|------------------|
| | | |

G. Details on Rain Water Harvesting Structure and micro-irrigation system

| | | rate: marrest | | | ga.t.e | 7,000 | | | |
|---------|-----------|---------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------|----------|-----------|------------|
| Amoun | Expenditu | Details of | | Activities of | conducted | 1 | | Quantity | Area |
| t | re (Rs.) | infrastructu | No. of | No. of | No. of | Visit | Visit | of water | irrigated |
| sanctio | | re created / | Training | Demonstrati | plant | by | by | harveste | / |
| n (Rs.) | | micro | programm | on s | material | farmer | official | d in '000 | utilizatio |
| | | irrigation | es | | S | S | S | litres | n |
| | | system etc. | | | produce | (No.) | (No.) | | pattern |
| | | | | | d | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

16. FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

A. Details of KVK Bank accounts

| Bank account | Nam e of the bank | Location | Branch code | Account Name | Account Number | MICR Number | IFSC Numbe r |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| With Host Institut e | State Bank of India | | | | | | |
| With KVK | State Bank of India | Khodiyar Colony, Jamnaga r | SBIN001221 1 | Training Organize r | 1031900238 9 | 36100209 8 | 12211 |

B. Utilization of KVK funds during the year 2018-19 (Rs. in lakh)

| S. No. | Head | R.E 2018- 19 | Opening Balance as on 01.04.2018 | Fund received during 2018- 19 | Expenditure during 2018-19 | |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | |
| Grants for creation of Capital Assets (CAPITAL) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Works | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

| B. Building | | A. Land | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|------------------------------------------|---------|--------|---------|---------|
| II. Residential building | | B. Building | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| iii. Minor works | | i. Office building | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Equipments | | ii. Residential building | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | | iii. Minor works | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Library Books and Journals | 2 | Equipments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 Vehicles & Vessels 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7504319 5504319 5500000 7548106 8500000 7504319 7504319 6503419 6503419 650000 7504319 6503419 6503419 6503419 6503419 6503419 6503419 6503419 6503419 6503419 6503419 6503419 6503419 6503419 6503419 6503419 6503419 65 | 3 | Information Technology | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 Livestock 0 0 0 0 7 Furniture & Fixtures 0 0 0 0 8 Others 0 0 0 0 Total-CAPITAL (1+2+3+4+5+6+7+8) 0 0 0 0 Grants in Aid - Salaries (REVENUE) 9 Establishment Expenses 8500000 748106 8500000 7504319 Total-SALARIES (9) 8500000 748106 8500000 7504319 Grants in Aid - General (REVENUE) 10 Pension & Other Retirement Benefits 0 0 0 0 11 Travelling Allowance 100000 0 100000 71737 12 Research & Operational Exp. 300000 75000 300000 37698 B. Operational Expenses 300000 56171 300000 387338 Total - Res. & Operational Exp. 600000 131171 600000 763436 13 Administrative Expenses 30000 0 | 4 | Library Books and Journals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 Furniture & Fixtures 0 0 0 0 8 Others 0 0 0 0 Total-CAPITAL (1+2+3+4+5+6+7+8) 0 0 0 0 Grants in Aid - Salaries (REVENUE) 0 748106 8500000 7504319 Total-SALARIES (9) 8500000 748106 8500000 7504319 Grants in Aid - General (REVENUE) 0 0 0 0 10 Pension & Other Retirement Benefits 0 0 0 0 11 Travelling Allowance 100000 0 100000 71737 12 Research & Operational Exp. 300000 75000 300000 376098 B. Operational Expenses 300000 75000 300000 387338 Total - Res. & Operational Exp. 600000 131171 600000 763436 13 Administrative Expenses 30000 0 100000 36503 A. Infrastructure 100000 0 100000 30000 <t< th=""><th>5</th><th>Vehicles & Vessels</th><th>0</th><th>0</th><th>0</th><th>0</th></t<> | 5 | Vehicles & Vessels | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 Others 0 0 0 0 Grants in Aid - Salaries (REVENUE) 0 0 0 0 9 Establishment Expenses 8500000 748106 8500000 7504319 Total-SALARIES (9) 8500000 748106 8500000 7504319 Grants in Aid - General (REVENUE) 0 0 0 0 10 Pension & Other Retirement Benefits 0 0 0 0 11 Travelling Allowance 100000 0 100000 71737 12 Research & Operational Exp. 300000 75000 300000 376098 B. Operational Expenses 300000 56171 300000 38738 Total - Res. & Operational Exp. 600000 131171 600000 763436 13 Administrative Expenses 300000 0 100000 86503 B. Communication 30000 0 100000 0 30000 32753 C. Repairs & Maintenance 1 1 | 6 | Livestock | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total-CAPITAL (1+2+3+4+5+6+7+8) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 7 | Furniture & Fixtures | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grants in Aid - Salaries (REVENUE) Setablishment Expenses A. Salaries 8500000 748106 8500000 7504319 Total-SALARIES (9) 8500000 748106 8500000 7504319 Grants in Aid - General (REVENUE) 0 0 0 0 0 10 Pension & Other Retirement Benefits 0 0 0 0 0 0 100000 71737 12 Research & Operational Exp. 0 0 100000 71737 12 Research Expenses 300000 75000 300000 376098 8. Operational Expenses 300000 75000 300000 376098 8. Operational Expenses 300000 56171 300000 387338 70tal - Res. & Operational Exp. 600000 131171 600000 763436 764366 764366 764366 764366 764366 764366 764366 764366 764366 764366 764366 764366 764366 764366 764366 764366 764366 764366 764366 764366 764366 | 8 | Others | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 Establishment Expenses 8500000 748106 8500000 7504319 Total-SALARIES (9) 8500000 748106 8500000 7504319 Grants in Aid - General (REVENUE) 0 0 0 0 0 10 Pension & Other Retirement Benefits 0 0 0 0 0 11 Travelling Allowance 100000 0 100000 71737 12 Research & Operational Exp. 300000 75000 300000 376098 B. Operational Expenses 300000 75000 300000 376098 B. Operational Expenses 300000 56171 300000 387338 Total - Res. & Operational Exp. 600000 131171 600000 763436 13 Administrative Expenses 4. Infrastructure 100000 0 100000 763436 B. Communication 30000 0 100000 30000 32753 C. Repairs & Maintenance 90000 0 90000 63983 | | Total-CAPITAL (1+2+3+4+5+6+7+8) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A. Salaries | Grants | in Aid - Salaries (REVENUE) | | | | |
| Total-SALARIES (9) 8500000 748106 8500000 7504319 Grants in Aid - General (REVENUE) 0 0 0 0 0 10 Pension & Other Retirement Benefits 0 0 0 0 0 11 Travelling Allowance 100000 0 100000 71737 12 Research & Operational Exp. 300000 75000 300000 376098 B. Operational Expenses 300000 56171 300000 387338 Total - Res. & Operational Exp. 600000 131171 600000 763436 13 Administrative Expenses 30000 0 100000 86503 B. Communication 30000 0 100000 86503 B. Communication 30000 0 30000 32753 C. Repairs & Maintenance 0 0 90000 63983 ii. Office building 0 0 0 0 iii. Residential building 0 0 0 0 <t< th=""><th>9</th><th>Establishment Expenses</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<> | 9 | Establishment Expenses | | | | |
| Total - General (REVENUE) | | A. Salaries | 8500000 | 748106 | 8500000 | 7504319 |
| 10 Pension & Other Retirement Benefits 0 0 0 0 11 Travelling Allowance 100000 0 100000 71737 12 Research & Operational Exp. 300000 75000 300000 376098 B. Operational Expenses 300000 56171 300000 387338 Total - Res. & Operational Exp. 600000 131171 600000 763436 13 Administrative Expenses 4. Infrastructure 100000 0 100000 86503 B. Communication 30000 0 100000 86503 B. Communication 30000 0 30000 32753 C. Repairs & Maintenance 90000 0 90000 63983 ii. Equipments, Vehicles & Others 90000 0 90000 63983 iii. Office building 0 0 0 0 iii. Residential building 0 0 0 0 iv. Minor Works 0 0 0 0 | | Total-SALARIES (9) | 8500000 | 748106 | 8500000 | 7504319 |
| 11 Travelling Allowance 100000 0 100000 71737 12 Research & Operational Exp. 300000 75000 300000 376098 A. Research Expenses 300000 56171 300000 387338 Total - Res. & Operational Exp. 600000 131171 600000 763436 13 Administrative Expenses 4. Infrastructure 100000 0 100000 86503 B. Communication 30000 0 100000 86503 B. Communication 30000 0 30000 32753 C. Repairs & Maintenance 90000 0 90000 63983 ii. Equipments, Vehicles & Others 90000 0 90000 63983 iii. Office building 0 0 0 0 iii. Residential building 0 0 0 0 iv. Minor Works 0 0 0 0 D. Other 80000 0 30000 330000 266656 14 | Grants | in Aid - General (REVENUE) | | | | |
| 12 Research & Operational Exp. A. Research Expenses 300000 75000 300000 376098 B. Operational Expenses 300000 56171 300000 387338 Total - Res. & Operational Exp. 600000 131171 600000 763436 13 Administrative Expenses 4. Infrastructure 100000 0 100000 86503 B. Communication 30000 0 30000 32753 C. Repairs & Maintenance | 10 | Pension & Other Retirement Benefits | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A. Research Expenses 300000 75000 300000 376098 B. Operational Expenses 300000 56171 300000 387338 Total - Res. & Operational Exp. 600000 131171 600000 763436 13 Administrative Expenses 4. Infrastructure 100000 0 100000 86503 B. Communication 30000 0 30000 32753 C. Repairs & Maintenance i. Equipments, Vehicles & Others 90000 0 90000 63983 ii. Office building 0 0 0 0 iii. Residential building 0 0 0 0 iv. Minor Works 0 0 0 80000 83417 Total - Administrative Expenses 300000 0 300000 266656 14 Miscellaneous Expenses | 11 | Travelling Allowance | 100000 | 0 | 100000 | 71737 |
| B. Operational Expenses 300000 56171 300000 387338 Total - Res. & Operational Exp. 600000 131171 600000 763436 13 | 12 | Research & Operational Exp. | | | | |
| Total - Res. & Operational Exp. 600000 131171 600000 763436 13 Administrative Expenses | | A. Research Expenses | 300000 | 75000 | 300000 | 376098 |
| 13 Administrative Expenses 100000 0 100000 86503 A. Infrastructure 100000 0 30000 32753 B. Communication 30000 0 30000 32753 C. Repairs & Maintenance i. Equipments, Vehicles & Others 90000 0 90000 63983 ii. Office building 0 0 0 0 0 0 iii. Residential building 0 0 0 0 0 0 iv. Minor Works 0 0 0 0 0 80000 83417 Total - Administrative Expenses 300000 0 300000 266656 14 Miscellaneous Expenses A. HRD 0 0 131171 1000000 1101829 | | B. Operational Expenses | 300000 | 56171 | 300000 | 387338 |
| A. Infrastructure 100000 0 100000 86503 B. Communication 30000 0 30000 32753 C. Repairs & Maintenance 90000 0 90000 63983 ii. Office building 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 iii. Residential building 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 300000 266656 0 0 300000 266656 0 0 0 1000000 1101829 0 0 1000000 1101829 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0< | | Total - Res. & Operational Exp. | 600000 | 131171 | 600000 | 763436 |
| B. Communication 30000 0 30000 32753 C. Repairs & Maintenance . | 13 | Administrative Expenses | | | | |
| C. Repairs & Maintenance i. Equipments, Vehicles & Others 90000 0 90000 63983 ii. Office building 0 0 0 0 iii. Residential building 0 0 0 iv. Minor Works 0 0 0 0 D. Other 80000 0 80000 83417 Total - Administrative Expenses 300000 0 300000 266656 14 Miscellaneous Expenses 0 0 131171 1000000 1101829 Total Grants in Aid – General (10+11+12+13+14) 1000000 131171 1000000 1101829 | | A. Infrastructure | 100000 | 0 | 100000 | 86503 |
| i. Equipments, Vehicles & Others 90000 0 90000 63983 ii. Office building 0 0 0 0 iii. Residential building 0 0 0 0 iv. Minor Works 0 0 0 0 D. Other 80000 0 80000 83417 Total - Administrative Expenses 300000 0 300000 266656 14 Miscellaneous Expenses 0 A. HRD 0 0 131171 1000000 1101829 | | B. Communication | 30000 | 0 | 30000 | 32753 |
| ii. Office building 0 0 0 iii. Residential building 0 0 0 iv. Minor Works 0 0 0 0 D. Other 80000 0 80000 83417 Total - Administrative Expenses 300000 0 300000 266656 14 Miscellaneous Expenses 0 A. HRD 0 0 131171 1000000 1101829 | | C. Repairs & Maintenance | | | | |
| iii. Residential building 0 0 iv. Minor Works 0 0 0 D. Other 80000 0 80000 83417 Total - Administrative Expenses 300000 0 300000 266656 14 Miscellaneous Expenses 0 A. HRD 0 0 131171 1000000 1101829 | | i. Equipments, Vehicles & Others | 90000 | 0 | 90000 | 63983 |
| iv. Minor Works 0 0 0 0 D. Other 80000 0 80000 83417 Total - Administrative Expenses 300000 0 300000 266656 14 Miscellaneous Expenses 0 A. HRD 0 0 131171 1000000 1101829 | | = | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| D. Other 80000 0 80000 83417 Total - Administrative Expenses 300000 0 300000 266656 14 Miscellaneous Expenses 0 A. HRD 0 0 0 Total Grants in Aid – General (10+11+12+13+14) 1000000 131171 1000000 1101829 | | iii. Residential building | | 0 | | 0 |
| Total - Administrative Expenses 300000 0 300000 266656 14 Miscellaneous Expenses 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>0</th><th></th><th>•</th></td<> | | | | 0 | | • |
| 14 Miscellaneous Expenses 0 A. HRD 0 Total Grants in Aid – General (10+11+12+13+14) 1000000 131171 1000000 1101829 | | D. Other | | 0 | 80000 | 83417 |
| A. HRD 0 Total Grants in Aid – General (10+11+12+13+14) 1000000 131171 1000000 1101829 | | Total - Administrative Expenses | 300000 | 0 | 300000 | 266656 |
| Total Grants in Aid – General (10+11+12+13+14) 1000000 131171 1000000 1101829 | 14 | Miscellaneous Expenses | | | | |
| | | A. HRD | | | | 0 |
| Grand Total (Capital + Salaries+ General) 9500000 879277 9500000 8606148 | Total C | Grants in Aid – General (10+11+12+13+14) | 1000000 | 131171 | 1000000 | 1101829 |
| | Grand | Total (Capital + Salaries+ General) | 9500000 | 879277 | 9500000 | 8606148 |

C. Status of revolving fund (Rs. in lakh) for the three years

| Year | Opening balance as on 1 st April | Income during the year | Expenditure during the year | Net balance in hand as on 1 st April of each year |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| April 2016 to March 2017 | 4423807 | 2635135 | 2197362 | 4861580 |
| April 2017 to March 2018 | 4861580 | 4171833 | 3457716 | 5557697 |
| April 2018 to March 2019 | 5557697 | 4536508 | 4130702 | 5963463 |

17. Details of HRD activities attended by KVK staff during year

| Sr. | Period | Name of | Title | Venue or Place | Sponsoring | Duration |
|-----|-----------|-----------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|----------|
| No. | | Officer | | | Agency | (days) |
| 1 | 26.04.17 | Dr. P. S. | National Seminar on | AAU, Anand | SEEG | 2 |
| | to | Gorfad | "Strategies for Doubling the | | | |
| | 27.04.17 | | Farmers income for Livelihood | | | |
| | | | Security" organized by SEEG | | | |
| 2 | 5-05-18 | Dr. K. P. | Annual Zonal workshop of | MPKV, Rahuri, | ICAR | 3 |
| | to 7-5-18 | Baraiya | KVK (Maharashtra & Gujarat) | Maharashtra | | |
| 3 | 14.05.18 | Ms. A. K. | International workshop On | Bhopal, Madhya | Govt. of MP | 3 |
| | to | Baraiya | Nutritional Sensitive | Pradesh | & ICAR- | |
| | 16.05.18 | | Agriculture and Nutrition | | ATARI, | |
| | | | Literacy | | Jabalpur | |
| 4 | 5.09.18 | Mr. S. H. | Recent Advances and | MPUAT, Udaipur | ICAR | 21 |
| | to | Lakhani | Innovations in Modern | | | |
| | 25.09.18 | | Organic Agriculture | | | |
| 5 | 25.09.18 | Dr. K. P. | Training of Trainers Program | EEI, Anand | ASCI | 3 |
| | to | Baraiya | under Skill Development | | | |
| | 27.09.18 | | Training Program | | | |
| 6 | 25.09.18 | Mr. S. H. | Training of Trainers Program | EEI, Anand | ASCI | 3 |
| | to | Lakhani | under Skill Development | | | |
| | 27.09.18 | | Training Program" | | | |
| 7 | 24.10.18 | Mr. S. H. | Basic Photographic Workshop | AGRISNET Studio, | DEE, JAU, | 1 |
| | | Lakhani | | Junagadh | Junagadh | |
| 8 | 26.10.18 | Mr. S. H. | One Day Agromet and Media | GIDM, Gandhinagar | IMD, | 1 |
| | | Lakhani | Workshop- 2018 | | Ahmedabad | |
| 9 | 7.12.18 | Mr. S. H. | Review Workshop-cum- | KVK, Lokbharti- | ICAR-ATARI, | 3 |
| | to | Lakhani | Training on CFLDs on Oilseeds | Sanosara(Bhavnagar) | Zone-VIII, | |
| | 9.12.18 | | and Pulses' | | Pune | |
| 10 | 18.02.19 | Dr. K. P. | State level seminar on "Gau | Gujarat Vidyapeeth, | GAAS | 1 |
| | | Baraiya | Aadharit Sajeev Kheti" | Ahmedabad | | |
| 11 | 18.02.19 | Mr. S. H. | State level seminar on "Gau | Gujarat Vidyapeeth, | GAAS | 1 |
| | | Lakhani | Aadharit Sajeev Kheti" | Ahmedabad | | |
| 12 | 18.02.19 | Smt. A. | State level seminar on "Gau | Gujarat Vidyapeeth, | GAAS | 1 |
| | | K. | Aadharit Sajeev Kheti" | Ahmedabad | | |
| | | Baraiya | - | | | |
| 13 | 1-2.03.18 | - | Annual Action Plan Workshop | NAU, Navsari | ICAR-ATARI, | 2 |
| | | Baraiya | of KVKs of Gujarat | | Zone-VIII, | |
| | | | _ | | Pune | |

18. Please include any other important and relevant information which has not been reflected above (write in detail).

18.1 ESTABLISHMENT OF AGRICULTURAL TECHNOLOGY INFORMATION CENTRE (ATIC) (YEAR-2018-19).

| 1. | Name of the Scheme | : | Establishment of Agricultural Technology Information Centre (ATIC | | |
|----|--------------------------|---|-------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | B.H. 12572-03 | | |
| 2. | Location of the scheme | : | Krishi Vigyan Kendra, JAU, Jamnagar | | |
| 3. | Officer-in charge of the | : | Senior Scientist & Head, KVK, JAU, Jamnagar | | |
| | scheme | | | | |
| 4. | Objectives | : | ➤ Single window system for technology dissemination. | | |

| | | | > | Formulation of FIGs as a process of innovativeness in technology |
|----|----------------------|---|---|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | | dissemination. |
| | | | A | Feedback from users to the research centre |
| 5. | Justification of the | : | A | The JAU has generated a large number of technologies in |
| | scheme | | | different disciplines of agriculture and all allied subjects. |
| | | | | Location specific technology and assessment technologies and |
| | | | | demonstration of the technological models is planned. |

A. Details of ATIC:

| Sr. | Name of | Name of Name of | | | Telepho | ne No. | | |
|-----|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------------|--|
| No. | ATIC | host institute | | | Fax | Mobile | E-mail address | |
| 1. | KVK, Jamnagar | Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh | Senior Scientist & Head | (0288) 2710165 | (0288) 2710165 | +919427980032 | kvkjamnagar@gmail.com | |

B. Details of farmers visit:

| Sr. No. | Name of ATIC | Purpose of visit | No. of farmers visited |
|---------|---------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| 1. | KVK, Jamnagar | For agricultural information | 570 |
| 2. | KVK, Jamnagar | Technology Products | 2624 |

C. Facilities in ATIC (Operational):

| Sr. No. | Particulars | No. of ATIC |
|---------|--------------------------------|-------------|
| 1. | Reception counter | No |
| 2. | Exhibition/technology measures | Yes |
| 3. | Touch screen kiosk | Nil |
| 4. | Cafeteria | Yes |
| 5. | Sales counter | Yes |
| 6. | Farmers feedback register | Yes |
| 7. | Others | Nil |

A. Technologies Information Provided

A. 1. Details technology information, category of information:

| Name of ATIC | Information Category | No. of farmers benefitted | Variet y | Pest Manageme nt | Disease managem ent | Agro tech. | SWT | PHT | АН |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|-----|-----|-----|
| | 1. Kisan call centre/ | 149090 | 0 | 0 | 149090 | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| | phone calls | 976 | 297 | 164 | 88 | 34 | 94 | 4 | 295 |
| 10.44 | 2. Video shows | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | 30 |
| KVK, | 3. Letters received | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| Jamnag | 4. Letter replied | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| ar | 5. Training to famers/ technocrats/ students | 313 | Nil | 123 | 39 | 62 | 36 | nil | 53 |
| | 6. Others | 188 | 36 | 76 | 49 | 1 | 1 | Nil | 25 |

A. 2. Publication (Print & Electronic media):

| Sr. | Name of | Doutionlan | No. | Revenue | No. of farmers |
|-----|-----------|-----------------|------------------|----------|----------------|
| No. | ATIC | Particular | sold/distributed | generate | benefitted |
| 1. | KV/K TATI | Books/Booklet | 235 | Nil | 235 |
| 2. | KVK, JAU, | Tech. bulletin | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| 3. | Jamnagar | Tech. inventory | Nil | Nil | Nil |

| 4. | CDs | Nil | Nil | Nil |
|-----|-----------------|------|-----|------|
| 5. | DVDs | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| 6. | Leaflet | 1270 | Nil | 1270 |
| 7. | Folders | 2364 | Nil | 2364 |
| 8. | Video films | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| 9. | Audio CDs | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| 10. | Others (Poster) | 215 | Nil | 215 |

B. Technology products provided:

| | inology products p | roviaea: | | T | |
|------------|------------------------|----------|------------------|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| Sr. No. | Particular | Quantity | Unit of quantity | Value in Rs. | No. of farmers benefitted |
| 1. | Seeds | | | | |
| (i) | Groundnut (GG- 22) | 10.7 | Quintal | 741300 | Product sold to various JAU farms/KVK sowing /Demo purpose |
| (ii) | Wheat | 110.80 | Quintal | 258815 | 92 |
| (iii) | Coriander | 4.00 | Quintal | 46080 | 25 |
| (iv) | Chickpea | 22.50 | Quintal | 112000 | 22 |
| (v) | Green Gram (GM-4) | 15.81 | Quintal | 136620 | 174 |
| (vi) | Sesame (G.Til-4) | 13.06 | Quintal | 195900 | 254 |
| 2. | Planting materials | | - | | |
| 3. | Live stock | - | - | - | - |
| 4. | Poultry birds | - | - | - | - |
| 5. | Bio Product | | Quintal | - | - |
| | 1. Beauveria bessianaa | 56.92 | Quintal | 853800 | 618 |
| | 2. Trichoderma | 174.61 | Quintal | 1222330 | 890 |
| | 3. PSB | 584 | No. | 35040 | 292 |
| | 4. Rhizobium | 471 | No. | 28260 | 213 |
| | 5. Azatobactor | 367 | No. | 22020 | 142 |
| 6. | Others | | | | |
| | 1 Metarhizium | 0.57 | Quintal | 8550 | 18 |
| | 2. SNPV | 12.5 | Liter | 5000 | 25 |
| | 3. MDP | 25 | No. | 12500 | 25 |
| | 4. Milk | 837.2 | liter | 26791 | 40 |

C. Technology services provided:

| Name of ATIC | Particulars | No. of farmers benefitted |
|---------------|------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Soil and Water testing | 200 |
| VVV Jamaagar | Plant diagnosis | 22 |
| KVK, Jamnagar | Services to line department | - |
| | Others (Group Meeting, Field Visit, Field Day) | 476 |

D. FLD conducted:

| Sr. | Month | Crop/Inputs | | Variety | No. of Farmers/ Demonstration | | |
|-----|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|---------|----------------------------------|-------|-------|
| No. | | | | | Others | SC/ST | Total |
| 1. | April-18 to | 1. Groundnut :- Trichoderma, Rhizobium, PSM, Beauveria | Kharif | G-20 | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| 2. | March-19 | Cotton:-Beauveria, PSM, Azotobactor, SNPV,MDP | Kharif | ВТ | 25 | 0 | 25 |

| 3. | 3 | 3. | Cumin :- PSB, Azotobacter, Beauveria, Trichoderma | Rabi | GC-4 | 47 | 3 | 50 |
|----|---|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------|------|-------|-----|---|-----|
| 4. | 2 | 4. | Coriander :- PSB, Azotobacter, Beauveria, Trichoderma | Rabi | GC-2 | 41 | 9 | 50 |
| | | | | | Total | 200 | 0 | 200 |

E. Short term training courses:

| Sr. | Month | Title of the Training | | No. of neficiaries | | No. of SC/ST Beneficiaries | | |
|-----|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|--------------------|-------|-------------------------------|---|-------|
| No. | | | М | F | Total | М | F | Total |
| 1. | | Management of pink bollworm in cotton& management of white grub in groundnut and other kharif crops | 25 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2. | April-18 to | 2. Management of white grub in groundnut and other kharif crops | 24 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3. | Februar | 3. Enhancing farmer's income through income generation activity | 0 | 37 | 37 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| 4. | y -19 | 4. Women and child care | 0 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 5. | | 5. Management of pink worm in cotton and other Kharif crops | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6. | | 6. Integrated Nutrient Management in Rabi crops | 30 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7. | | 7. Soil Health Management and IPM in Rabi crops | 58 | 12 | 70 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 8. | | 8. Practical and Theoretical experience on the functioning of KVK | 16 | 0 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 9. | | 9. Income generation activity for rural women | 0 | 28 | 28 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 10. | | 10. Use and Importance of Bio fertilizer, Bio pesticides and Bio fungicides in agriculture | 21 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Total | 199 | 99 | 298 | 6 | 9 | 15 |

F. Extension Activity:

| Sr. | Name of Activity | No. of Activity | No. of Participant | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----|-----|--|
| No. | Name of Activity | No. of Activity | M | F | Т | |
| 1 | Group meeting, Kishan goshthi | 9 | 173 | 63 | 236 | |
| 2 | Field visit/Field Day | 28 | 158 | 62 | 240 | |
| 3 | Night meeting etc. | 801 | - | - | 801 | |
| 4 | Literature | 33 | 33 | 0 | 33 | |

18.2. OTHER PROGRAMME CELEBRATED

Mahila Krushi Divas 6th August, 2018

KVK, DAO, ATMA, and Horticulture Department, Jamnagar Jointly celebrated "Mahila Krushi Divas" on 6th August. 2018 at KVK, JAU, Jamnagar. In this Programme 126 farm women of Jamnagar District were participated. The inaugural session was chaired by Shri Prashasti Parik,(IAS), District Development Officer, Jamnagar; Dr. K. P. Baraiya, Senior Scientist & Head, KVK, JAU, Jamnagar; Shri. H. C. Usadadiya, DAO; Shri. N. A. Kalavadiya, PD(ATMA); Dr. B. D. Patel Dy. Dir .AH.; C. O. Lashkari, Dy.Dir.Hort., and other scientist of KVK remained present and delivered lecture. Empowerment of women by value addition in agriculture produce, Mechanized farming, High tech farming, Drudgery reduction Technology, Animal Husbandry, Varmi compost, Organic farming, Kitchen gardening etc were topic of hot discussion in this programme.

Mahila Kisan Divas 15th October, 2018

Krishi Vigyan Kendra, JAU, Jamnagar and ATMA Jamnagar jointly organized Mahila Kisan Divas on 15th October, 2018 at KVK, JAU, Jamnagar. In this programme arranged lectures on Kitchen Gardening, Value addition, Income generation activity, Organic farming, Drudgery reduction Technology and IPM in vegetable crops. 148 farm women were actively participated in this programme. Dr. A. R. Pathak, Hon'ble Vice Chancellor, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, Prasasthi Parik, District Development Officer, District Panchayat, Jamnagar, Dr. P. V. Patel, Director of Extension Education, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh remain present in this programme. We arranged Quiz competition related to Farm, animal husbandry and women related question. We also arranged debates on Animal keeping and Group discussion on role of women in agriculture. Farm women visited to demonstration unit, Museum and KVK field.

KisanKalyan Divas 2nd May, 2018

Kisan Kalyan Diwas organized in Jamnagar and Devbhumi Dwarka district on 2.05.18. Team of Scientists KVK, JAU, Jamnagar were participated and delivered lectures in KisanKalyanDiwas at Jamkhambhaliya, Dwarka, Kalyanpur and Dhorl block. 1380, 570, 507 and 490 farmers participated respectively. Shri Chiman Bhai Sapriya, Ex. Agri. Minister (Govt. of Gujarat), Shri. Meghjibhai Kanjariya, Ex. MLA, Dr. K. P. Baraiya, Senior Scientist & Head, KVK, JAU, Jamnagr and all other local leaders and officers were remaining present at JamKhambhaliya. Shri. Pabubha Manek, MLA-Dwarka and Dr. P. S. Gorfad, Scientist, KVK, JAU, Jamnagar remain present at Dwarka. Shri. S. H. Lakhani, Scientist, KVK, JAU, Jamnagar remain present at JamKalyanur, Shri. Meghjibhai Chavda, Ex. MLA and Dr. J. N. Thaker, Scientist, KVK, JAU, Jamnagar remain present at Dhrol for Kisan Kalyan Divas.

Special training on "Scientific Farming, Value addition and Export opportunity of seed spices crops"

KVK, Jamnagar and Vegetable Research Station, JAU, Junagadh jointly organized training programme on "Scientific Farming, Value addition and Export opportunity of seed spices crops" on 29th October, 2018 at KVK, JAU, Jamnagar. In this Programme 74 spices crop growers of Jamnagar district were participated. Dr. P. V. Patel, Director of Extension Education, JAU, Junagadh have been guided for the arrangement of the whole programme. The inaugural session was chaired by Dr. A. R. Pathak, Hon'ble Vice chancellor, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh. Dr. V. P. Chovatiya, Director of Research, JAU, Junagadh; Dr. J. H. Vachhani, Research Scientist(Garlic-Onion), JAU, Junagadh; Dr. D. L. Kadvani, Research Scientist(Pearl Millet), JAU, Jamnagar; Dr. K. P. Baraiya, Senior Scientist and Head, KVK, JAU, Jamnagar and other scientist from Vegetable research station as well as KVK remained present and delivered valuable lecture. The discussion focused on IPM-IDM, seed production, economics and market inelegancy, value addition and export opportunities for seed spice crop. The training compendium were prepared and distributed to all farmers.

Farmer's Seminar on "Date Palm"

Scientist from KVK Jamnagar were first time recorded and identified the fruit fly in date palm from the Jamnagar district. They have aware farmers about fruit fly management with low cost technology and reduce dangerous of fruit fly from date palm.

KVK, Jamnagar, NIPHM, Hyderabad and Horticulture department, Jamnagar jointly organized "Farmer Seminar for special Date palm growers on July 13, 2018 at KVK, Jamnagar. This programme were chaired by Dr. A. R. Pathak, Hon'ble, Vice Chancellor, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, Director of Extension Education(Dr. A. M. Parakhiya), Director of Research (Dr. V. P. Chovatiya), Research Scientist Dr. M. D. Khanpara, Assistant Director of NIPHM Dr. Moriodos, Senior Scientist & Head of KVK Dr. K. P. Baraiya, Deputy Director of Horticulture Shri C. O. Lashkari, District Agriculture Officer Shri H. C. Usdadiya, Project Director (AtMA) shri N. A. Kalavadia were remain present. The Programme was well organized by team of scientists of KVK, JAU, Jamnagar as well as officers from Department of Horticulture, Jamnagar.

Competition among quality dates producer were arranged and ranked them. They are also awarded by shield and certificate. Total 130 Date palm producer farmers through whole Saurashtra were participated this seminar.

Farmers-scientist interaction were very interesting for the above seminar. In this programme Solution and discussion of farmer's questions regarding IPM and INM in Date palm, Practical Demonstration on preparation of low cost MU pheromone trap for control the fruit fly. value addition, organic farming etc. topics cover in this programme.

Parthenium Awareness Week (16 to 22 August)

KVK, Jamnagar organized awareness programme under the *Parthenium* awareness week. In this programme 100 Female farmers are participated and to create awareness about skin diseases caused by *parthenium*, its remedy and removal of *parthenium* and kept surrounding area free from *Parthenium*. Particle demonstration were also done at KVK, farm.

Swachh Bharat Pakhwada (16th Dec to 31st Dec, 2018)

Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Jamnagar celebrated Swachh Bharat Pakhwada during 16th December to 31st December, 2018. During this celebration on 17th December, 2018Cleanliness drive including cleaning of offices, corridors and premises near Training hall and surrounding area and 25 officers of KVK and ATMA were joined this cleaning activity. On 18th December, 2018 Cleaning of offices and surrounding area, 7 staff members participated for this activity. Awareness programme about cleanliness and stock taking of waste management & utilization of organic wastes, polythene free status etc. to 38 farmers and farm women were organized at Jodia on 20th December, 2018. 74 farmers were participated at Jam Kalyanpur talukas place level seminar was arranged on 21st December, 2018 Awareness about recycling of waste water, water harvesting for agriculture & horticulture. Field visits for awareness organic farming and composting of kitchen and farm waste were organized at Khoja Beraja and Dodhiya village of Jamnagar talukas on 22nd December, 2018

Exposure Visit

KVK, JAU, Jamnagar were arrange Exposure Visit of farm women on 22nd October, 2018 at College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandary, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh. 7 farm women were visited and Exhibition were arranged and seminar was inaugurated by Shri Parshotam Rupala, State Union Minister of Agriculture, Gov. of India; Dr. A. R. Pathak, Vice Chancellor, JAU, Junagadh; Dr. P. V. Patel, Director of Extension Education, JAU, Junagadh; Dr. V. P. Chovatiya, Director of Research, JAU, Junagadh were remain present on that occasion.

Swachhta Hi Sewa(SHS) campaign (15th Sept. to 2nd Oct, 2018)

Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Jamnagar celebrated Swachhta Hi Sewa campaign during 15th September to 2nd October, 2018. As a part of this campaign 7 staff members joined on dated 24.09.18 and cleaned KVK office premises, staff Quarters and different units by removing plastics, paper wastes and also weeded out parthenium. On Dated 28.09.18, 12 staff members joined this campaign and cleaned farm area and surrounding demonstration unit. The all collected waste garbage material fill in the NADAP composting. During this celebration 9 staff members along with 19 students from college of Agriculture, JAU, Junagadh were participated on 1.10.18 for Cleaned farmers hostel and surround area. On 2nd October, 2018 45 farm women from different villages of Jamnagar District were joined this programme and they were aware about this campaign and Swachhta pakhvada.

World Soil Health Day (5th December, 2018)

On 5th December, 2018 Krishi Vigyan Kendra, JAU, Jamnagar celebrated World Soil Health Day in collaboration with State Agricultural Department and ATMA Project Jamnagar at Training hall, KVK, Jamnagar. Programme was inaugurated by Dr. L. K. Kadvani, Research Scientist (Pearl Millet), Pearl

millet Research Station JAU, Jamnagar, Dr. K. P. Baraiya, Senior Scientist & Head, KVK, JAU, Jamnagar, Mr. C. O. Laskari, DAO, Jamnagar. During this programme a number of activities like Farmer-Scientist Interactions, Exhibitions on soil health management, soil sampling and soil testing demonstrations, plant nutrient deficiency diagnostics and advisories for balanced nutrition of crops, field visits and distribution of soil health cards were organized on the occasion. Total 119 farmers from KVK jurisdiction were present for this programme.

| 18.3 DETAILS OF S | 8.3 DETAILS OF SOIL, WATER AND PLANT ANALYSIS | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Samples | No. of Samples | No. of Farmers | No. of Villages | Amount realized (Rs.) | | | | |
| Soil | 190 | 190 | 16 | 59700 | | | | |
| Water | 10 | 10 | 8 | 500 | | | | |
| Plant | 138 | 122 | 86 | 0 | | | | |
| Manure | | | | | | | | |
| Others (pl.specify) | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 338 | 322 | 110 | 60200 | | | | |

APR SUMMARY

(Note: While preparing summary, please don't add or delete any row or columns)

1. Training Programmes

| Clientele | No. of Courses | Male | Female | Total participants |
|-------------------------|----------------|------|--------|--------------------|
| Farmers & farm women | 43 | 1824 | 496 | 2320 |
| Rural youths | 1 | 0 | 32 | 32 |
| Extension functionaries | 12 | 681 | 8 | 689 |
| Sponsored Training | 27 | 1144 | 361 | 1505 |
| Vocational Training | 1 | 0 | 32 | 32 |
| Grand Total | 56 | 2505 | 536 | 3041 |

2. Frontline demonstrations

| Enterprise | Area(ha) | No. of Farmers | Units/Animals |
|-----------------------|----------|----------------|---------------|
| Oilseeds | 80 | 200 | |
| Pulses | 30 | 75 | |
| Cereals | 4 | 10 | |
| Vegetables | 6 | 15 | |
| Other crops | 34 | 85 | |
| Hybrid crops | 18 | 45 | |
| Total | 172 | 430 | |
| Livestock & Fisheries | 0 | 0 | |
| Other enterprises | 4.5 | 70 | |
| Total | 4.5 | 70 | |
| Grand Total | 176.5 | 500 | |

3. Technology Assessment & Refinement

| Category | No. of Technology Assessed & Refined | No. of Trials | No. of Farmers |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Technology Assessed | | | |
| Crops | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Livestock | 2 | 9 | 9 |
| Various enterprises | 1 | 10 | 10 |
| Total | 4 | 22 | 22 |
| Technology Refined | | | |
| Crops | 5 | 15 | 15 |
| Livestock | | | |
| Various enterprises | | | |
| Total | 5 | 15 | 15 |
| Grand Total | 9 | 34 | 34 |

4. Extension Programmes

| Category | No. of Programmes | Total Participants |
|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Extension activities | 1416 | 47253 |
| Other extension activities | 16708 | - |
| Total | 18124 | 47253 |

5. Mobile Advisory Services

| Name of KVK | Message Type | Type of Messages | | | | | | |
|----------------|--------------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|-------|
| | | Crop | Lives tock | Weat her | Marke- ting | Aware- ness | Other enterprise | Total |
| | Text only | 2 | | | | | 3 | 5 |
| Jamna | Voice only | | | | | | | |
| gar | Voice & Text both | | | | | | | |
| | Total Messages | 2 | | | | | 3 | 5 |
| | Total farmers Benefitted | 298180 | | | | | 466 | 29864 |

6. Seed & Planting Material Production

| | Quintal/Number | Value Rs. |
|----------------------------|----------------|-----------|
| Seed (q) | 88.45 | 744000 |
| Planting material (No.) | 0 | 0 |
| Bio-Products (kg) | 33547 | 391470 |
| Livestock Production (No.) | 1 | |
| Fishery production (No.) | | |

7. Soil, water & plant Analysis

| Samples | No. of Samples | No. of Beneficiaries | Amount realized (Rs.) |
|---------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Soil | 190 | 190 | 59700 |
| Water | 10 | 10 | 500 |
| Plant | 138 | 122 | 0 |
| Total | 338 | 322 | 60200 |

8. HRD and Publications

| Sr. No. | Category | Number |
|---------|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1 | Workshops | 7 |
| 2 | Conferences | 1 |
| 3 | Meetings | 3 |
| 4 | Trainings for KVK officials | 3 |
| 5 | Visits of KVK officials | 3 |
| 6 | Book published | 0 |
| 7 | Training Manual | 6 |
| 8 | Book chapters | 0 |
| 9 | Research papers | 6 |
| 10 | Lead papers | 0 |
| 11 | Seminar papers | 4 |
| 12 | Extension folder | 6 |
| 13 | Proceedings | 1 |
| 14 | Award & recognition | 0 |
| 15 | On going research projects | 3 |
| 16 | Newsletter | 4 |

ANNEXURE -I

PROCEEDING OF THE 15th SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING OF KRISHI VIGYAN KENDRA, JAU, JAMNAGAR HELD ON 25th March, 2019

The Fifteenth Scientific Advisory Committee meeting of Krishi Vigyan Kendra, JAU, Jamnagar was held at Training Hall, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, JAU, Khapat (Porbandar) on 25th March, 2019.

The following members were remaining present in the meeting.

| Sr. No. | Name & Designation | Position |
|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 1 | Vice Chancellor, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh. | Chairman |
| 2 | Director of Extension Education, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh | Member |
| 3 | Director of Research, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh | Member |
| 4 | Associate Director of Research, Main Dry Farming Research Station, Junagadh Agricultural University, Targhadia (Rajkot). | Member |
| 5 | Research Scientist (Millet), Main Millet Research Station, Junagadh Agricultural University, Jamnagar- 361 006. | Member |
| 6 | Dy. Director of Animal Husbandry, Dept. of Veterinary & Animal Husbandry, District Panchayat, Jamnagar | Member |
| 7 | Dr. Kapil Parmar, Veterinary Officer, District Panchayat, Porbandar | Member |
| 8 | Dy. Director of Agriculture (Extension), Porbandar | Member |
| 9 | Dy. Director of Agriculture, Farmers Training Centre, Porbandar | Member |
| 10 | Project Director, Agricultural Technology Management Agency (ATMA), Porbandar | Member |
| 11 | District Manager, State Bank of India, Lead Bank, Porbandar | Member |
| 12 | Research Officer, Fisheries Research Station, Okha | Member |
| 13 | Senior Scientist & Head, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Junagadh Agricultural University, Pipaliya, Ta. Dhoraji, Dist. Rajkot | Member |
| 14 | Senior Scientist & Head, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Junagadh Agricultural University, Khapt (Porbandar) | Member |
| 15 | Progressive farmer (Horticulture): Shri Jentibhai Parsana, At. Haripar Ta.:- Lalpur, Dist. Jamnagar. | Member |
| 16 | Progressive farmer (Organic): Shri Vitthalbhai Lakhabhai Sanghani, At. Nani Bhalsan, Ta.:- Kalavad, Dist. Jamnagar. | Member |
| 17 | Progressive farmer (Organic): Shri Altafbhai Bodubhai Sama, At. Dhichada, Ta.:- Jamnagar, Dist. Jamnagar. | Member |
| 18 | Progressive farmer (Animal Husbandry): Shri. Pravinbhai Devchandbhai Dodhiya, At. Dhichada, Ta.:- Jamnagar, Dist. Jamnagar. | Member |
| 19 | Progressive farmer (G): Shri Subhasbhai , At Lothiya, Ta.:-Jamnagar, Dist. Jamnagar. | Member |

| 20 | Senior Scientist & Head, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Junagadh Agricultural University, Jamnagar | Member Secretary |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| | | Secretary |
| 21 | Smt. Anjanaben K. Baraiya, Scientist (Home Science), KVK, JAU, Jamnagar | Member |
| 22 | Shri S. H. Lakhani, Scientist (Crop Production), KVK, JAU, Jamnagar | Member |
| 23 | Dr. J.N. Thaker, Scientist (Fisheries), KVK, JAU, Jamnagar | Member |
| 24 | Smt. Diptiben S. Thaker, Scientist (Home Science), KVK, JAU, Porbandar | Invitee |
| 25 | Shri H. A. Patel, Scientist (Animal Husbandry), KVK, JAU, Porbandar | Invitee |
| 26 | Shri V. M. Savaliya, Scientist (Horticulture), KVK, JAU, Porbandar | Invitee |
| 27 | Dr. P.S. Gorfad, Asso. Professor (Extension Education), COA, JAU, Porbandar | Invitee |
| 28 | Progressive farmer (G): Shri Kishorbhai Laljibhai Pedhadiya, At:- Sumari, Ta. & Dist | Invitee |
| | Jamnagar., Via:- Dhutarpur | |
| 29 | Progressive farmer (G): Shri Babubhai Alabhai Karmur, At:- Jashapar, Ta. Bhanvad, | Invitee |
| | Dist Devbhumi Dwarka | |
| 30 | Progressive Farm women (G): Shri Keshaval Hetalben C. Dist Porbandar | Invitee |
| 31 | Progressive Farm women : Putiben Keshubhai Modhvadiya Dist. Porbandar | Invitee |
| 32 | Progressive farmer :- Godhaniya Mulubhai B. At. Advana, Ta. & Dist. Porbandar | Invitee |
| 33 | Progressive Farm women : Teraiya Minaximben Dayabhai Dist. Porbandar | Invitee |
| 34 | Progressive Farm women : Teraiya Jalpapben Dayabhai, Dist. Porbandar | Invitee |
| 35 | Progressive Farm women : Karavadara Shital Maldebhai At. Ramgadh Dist. | Invitee |
| | Porbandar | |
| | | |

Dr. P. S. Gorfad, Associate Professor (Extension Education), College of Agriculture, JAU, Porbandar welcomed the dignitaries and all the members of both the Scientific Advisory Committee and highlighted the brief achievements of the Centre.

Dr. A. R. Pathak, Hon'ble Vice-Chancellor and Chairman of Scientific Advisory Committee chaired the meeting and grant permission to proceed the meeting.

Invocation song of Junagadh Agricultural University, were played as a prayer by all members. Dignitaries on dias were welcomed by presenting flower. After garlanding the guests and dignitaries on the dias, and inaugurating the meeting by lightening a lamp.

Dr. K. P. Baraiya, Senior Scientist & Head, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, JAU, Jamnagar presented action taken report of the minutes of 14th SAC meeting, progress report (April- 2018 to March-2019) and Action Plan (April 2019 to March- 2020) in brief. Dr. K. P. Baraiya, Senior Scientist & Head, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, JAU, Jamnagar presented progress report (2018-19) and Action Plan (2019-20) for discipline of Plant Protection. Smt. A. K. Baraiya, Scientist (Home Science), presented progress report & Action Plan for discipline of home science & Horticulture. Dr. J. N. Thaker, Scientist (Fisheries), presented progress report & Action Plan for discipline of fisheries and animal science & ATIC Scheme. Shri S. H. Lakhani, Scientist (Crop production), presented progress report & Action Plan for discipline of crop production, Agri.

4.

Engineering and Soil Health Fertility Management, NMOOP & NFSM. The annual report and action plan both were approved by the members with suggestions.

Suggestions made by committee members during presentation:

| 1. | | Dr. A. R. Pathak, Hon'ble Vice Chancellor, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh & | | |
|----|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| | Chairman of the SAC suggested following points. | | | |
| | > | Arrange FLD on latest variety of pearl millet. | | |
| | > | Arrange training on micro irrigation system. | | |
| | > | Analyze maximum soil and water sample at KVK Soil Testing Laboratory. | | |
| 2. | | Dr. V. P. Chovatiya, Director of Research, JAU, Junagadh pointed out | | |
| | > | Arrange training on processing of dragon fruit and pearl millet. | | |
| | > | Arrange training on horticultural crops cultivation. | | |
| | > | Informed farmers in advance about weather and technical suggestion on precaution | | |
| | | measures through SMS | | |
| 3. | | Dr. P. V. Patel, Director of Extension Education, JAU, Junagadh advice that | | |
| | λ | Presentation of SAC should be in English and vocal language should be in Gujarati | | |

Dr. P. V. Patel, Director of Extension Education, JAU, Junagadh appreciated work done by all scientist and presentation. Successful became with collaborative work. He emphasis on maximum publication, newspaper coverage and popular article.

farming and advice about dangerousness effect of chemical on human being.

Shri Vitthalbhai Sanghani progressive farmers of Jamnagar suggested for increase organic

Dr. V. P. Chovatia, Director of Research, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh guided for analyze the front line demonstration. Increase market inelegancy through value addition in farm produce instead of direct selling. Farmers should introduce new crop with long duration strategies.

After above suggestions from the house Dr. A. R. Pathak, Hon'ble Vice Chancellor, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, delivered the chairmen's remarks. He emphasized on soil analysis base recommendation used for reduction of cost and doubling the farmer's income. He also pointed out for marketing through group and sahkari mandal by processed and value added product. He noted that bio-products have been very helpful to farmes in minimizing the cost production in organic era. He also suggested to give integrated crop management technology through all the products produced by the University. At last he appreciated for overall work done by KVK.

The meeting ended with the vote of thanks by Dr. K. P. Baraiya, Senior Scientist & Head, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, JAU, Jamnagar.

Member Secretary, SAC & Senior Scientist & Head KVK, JAU, Jamnagar

Director of Extension Education, Junagadh Agricultural University Junagadh

Note: Proceeding for approval please.

Chairman, SAC
KVK, JAU, Jamnagar &
Vice Chancellor
Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh

ANNEXURE -II

RESEARCH RESULT OF TECHNICAL PROGRAMME

Technical Programme: - 1

Title:- KNOWLEDGE OF FARMER ABOUT INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT OF PINK BOLLWORM IN COTTON

Principle investigator

1. Dr. K. P. Baraiya, Senior Scientist & Head, KrishiVigyan Kendra, JAU, Jamnagar Co-investigator

- 1. Dr. V. C. Gadhiya, Scientists (Plant Protection), KVK, JAU, Jamnagar
- 2. Smt. A. K. Baraiya Scientist (Home Science), KVK, JAU, Jamnagar
- 3. Shri. S.H. Lakhani, Scientists (Agronomy), KVK, JAU, Jamnagar
- 4. Dr. A. M. Parakhia, Director of Extension Education, JAU, Junagadh
- 5. Dr. P. S. Gorfad, Scientists (Extension), KVK, JAU, Jamnagar

INTRODUCTION:-

India is a unique among the cotton growing countries of the world are grown commercially under diversified ecosystem. Cotton is an important fiber as well as oilseed crop. Cotton crop occupies enviable place amongst commercial crops of our country. With nearly 9.3 million hectares, India ranks first in the world in area and third in production with 13.28 million bales, and an average productivity 243 kg/ha (Singhal, 1999). About 6 million farmers cultivate cotton and about 40-50 million people are directly or indirectly employed by the cotton industry accounts for around 59% share of the raw material consumption of the Indian textile industry.

Further, Gujarat stands second in respect of area (1.479 million hectares) and first in respect of production (2.758 million bales) in India with productivity of 317 kg/ha (Singhal, 1999).

Several factors responsible for low yield. The plants suffer from the ravages of insect pests and production both in terms of quantity and quality are jeopardized. Among 162 species of insects and mites that associate with cotton (from seedling to harvest of the crop) in India, pink bollworm (*Pectinophoragossypiella* (Sauders)) became a very serious threat to crop production. Since last three year pink bollworm is became headache for farmers. Present investigation carried out during 2018 for determination knowledge of farmer about integrated management practices of pink bollworm in cotton.

OBJECTIVES:-

- 1. To study the socio-economic character of the selected cotton growers
- 2. To access the source of information by cotton growers
- 3. To know the knowledge level of cotton growers on pink bollworm management and constrains faced by them.
- 4. To seek suggestion from cotton growers to overcome such constraints.

METHODOLOGY:-

KrishiVigyan Kendra, Junagadh Agricultural University, Jamnagar working in Jamnagar and DevbhumiDwarka district. The study was under taken by the KVK in all 10 blocks, out of 10 Block six (Jamnagar, Jodia, Dhrol, Kalawad, Lalpur and Jamjodhpur) from Jamnagar and four (Jam Khambhalia, Jam Kalyanpur, Dwarka and Bhanvad) from DevbhumiDwarka district were selected. Randomly two villages were selected from each block. Ten cotton growers from each villages were selected for the present study. Total 200 farmers were selected by proportionate random sample method. In light of the objectives, the interview schedule was prepared and respondents were interviewed at their home and field. The data collected by personal interview method were processed, tabulated, classified and analyzed in light of objectives.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:-

The present study was conducted on 200 cotton growers of Jamnagar and DevbhumiDwarka districts to evaluate for knowledge of farmers about integrated management of pink bollworm in cotton. The data to statistical analysis and results are presented as per the objectives of study as below.

1. Socio-demographic characteristics

Table 1. Socio-demographic characters of cotton growers (n=200)

| Sr. No. | Particulars | Frequency | Per cent | Mean <u>+</u> S.D. |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|----------|--------------------|
| 1 | Age group | | | |
| | Young (18 to 25 Years) | 29 | 14.50 | 30.85 ± 5.795 |
| | Middle Age (26 to 35 Years) | 114 | 57.00 | |
| | Old Age (Above 35 Years) | 57 | 28.50 | |
| 2 | Educational status | | | |
| | Post Graduate | 12 | 6.00 | |
| | Graduate | 17 | 8.50 | |
| | Higher secondary | 85 | 42.50 | |
| | Secondry | 58 | 29.00 | |
| | Primari | 23 | 11.50 | |
| | Illiterate | 5 | 2.50 | |
| 3 | Size of land holding (Total) | | | |
| | Marginal (<1 ha) | 8 | 4.00 | 4.44 ± 5.43 |
| | Small (1.1 to 2 ha) | 35 | 17.50 | |
| | Medium (2.1 to 4 ha) | 66 | 33.00 | |
| | Big (>4 ha) | 91 | 45.50 | |
| 4 | Family Type | | | |
| | Nuclear | 143 | 71.50 | |
| | Joint | 57 | 28.50 | |
| 5 | Family Income | | | |
| | Up to Rs. 25000 | 17 | 8.50 | |
| | Rs. 25000 to Rs. 50000 | 12 | 6.00 | |
| | Rs. 50001 to Rs. 100000 | 114 | 57.00 | |
| | Above Rs. 100000 | 57 | 28.50 | |
| 6 | Milch Animal Possession | | | |
| | Cow | 63 | 31.50 | |
| | Buffalo | 140 | 70.00 | |
| | Others | 0 | 0.00 | |
| | Both (Cow + Buffalo) | 105 | 52.5 | |
| | Without animal | 46 | 23 | |
| 7 | Occupation | | | |
| | Agriculture | 78 | 39.00 | |
| | Agriculture & Animal Husbandry | 108 | 54.00 | |
| | Agriculture labour | 12 | 6.00 | |
| | Labour | 2 | 1.00 | |
| 8 | Residence | | - | |
| | Pakka House | 114 | 57.00 | |
| | Kachcha House | 29 | 14.50 | |
| | Mix (Half Pakka + Half Kachcha) | 57 | 28.50 | |
| 9 | Extension Participation | | 2.24 | |
| | Low extension participation (Below 0.48) | 30 | 15 | 3.105±2.62 |
| | Medium extension participation (0.48 to 5.73) | 126 | 63 | 2.103_2.02 |
| | High extension participation (Above 5.73) | 44 | 22 | |
| 10 | Social Participation | 177 | | |

| Low Social participation (Below 0.68) | 30 | 15 | 3.35±2.65 |
|--------------------------------------------|-----|----|-----------|
| Medium Social participation (0.68 to 5.99) | 122 | 61 | |
| High Social participation (Above 5.99) | 48 | 24 | |

Note: Figures in parenthesis indicates frequencies in number of participants

The results disclosed in Table 1 indicate that more than half (57 %) of farmers were from middle age group, followed by 28.5 per cent from old age and remaining 14.50 per cent of them were in young age group. The data indicated that 42.50 per cent of the farmers were educated up to higher secondary level, whereas 29 and 11.5 per cent of the farmers were educate up to secondary and primary level. However, very few were illiterate (2.5%) and very low were post graduate (6%) and graduate (8.5%).

According to land holding 45.5 per cent of the farmers were big farmers. However, the farmers were medium, small and marginal having 33, 17.50 and 4 per cent, respectively. In this era of nuclear family, farming business were done on cooperative basis of their cousins and siblings. Though, joint farmer's family type were found 28.50 per cent whereas only 71.50.50 per cent were farming in nuclear type. The same way 57 per cent farmers of them were in annual income between Rs.50000 to 100000, followed by 28.5 per cent (above Rs.100000), 8.5 per cent (below Rs.25000) and 6 per cent (Rs.25000 to 50000). Majority of the farmers (70 %) were kept buffalo, 31.50 per cent farmers kept cow, 52.5per cent having cow and buffalo and only 23 per cent farmers having no any animal keeping. According to occupation along with 54 per cent farmers having both agriculture and animal husbandry to gather, 39 per cent farmers have alone farming business. The category of residence 57 per cent of the farmers having pakka house, 28.50 per cent have mix (half pakka + half Kachcha) house and 14.50 per cent have kachcha house.

According to participation of above half of farmers (63%) of them were medium extension participation, 22 per cent were high extension participation and very few (15%) of them were low extension participation. Same way, in social participation, 61 per cent of them were medium, 24 per cent were high and 15 per cent were low participation.

2. Mass media exposure

The majority of farmers were using tools of mass media. The following table 2 show the results about the use of mass media means for communication for the management of pink bollworm management.

Table 2 Mass media exposure

(n=200)

| Sr. | Mass Media Exposure | Regularly | Frequently | Once in a | Not at all | Wt. | Rank |
|-----|------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-------|------|
| No. | | (3) | (2) | week (1) | (0) | Mean | |
| 1 | Radio | 3 | 19 | 60 | 118 | 26.75 | VI |
| 2 | Television | 6 | 31 | 71 | 92 | 37.75 | IV |
| 3 | News paper | 2 | 22 | 65 | 111 | 28.75 | V |
| 4 | Printed literature | 26 | 63 | 66 | 45 | 67.5 | II |
| 5 | Agril. Exhibition | 0 | 18 | 47 | 135 | 20.75 | VIII |
| 6 | Demonstration | 2 | 35 | 85 | 78 | 40.25 | III |
| 7 | University level (KVK) | 34 | 95 | 49 | 22 | 85.25 | I |
| 8 | Kisan call centre | 7 | 11 | 50 | 132 | 23.25 | VII |
| 9 | Any other | 0 | 4 | 40 | 156 | 12 | IX |

It can be concluded from table 2,KrishiVigyan Kendra or University level information for cotton cultivation practices on pink boll worm management were rank first (85.25%), followed by second printed literature (67.5%), third demonstration (40.25%), fourth television (37.75%), fifth newspaper coverage (28.75%), sixth radio (26.75%), seventh kishan call Centre (23.25%), eighth agricultural exhibition (20.75%) and lastly any other means (12%).

3. Use of information sources:

Majority farmers having different source of information according to their requirement for pink boll worm management in proper way studied in table e were presented below

Table 3 Information Source

(n=200)

| Sources of information | Wt. Mean | Per cent | Rank | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------|------|--|--|--|--|
| A. Formal sources | | | | | | | |
| 1. Village level worker Agril. Extension officer | 46.00 | 23.00 | IV | | | | |
| 2. SMS/Sub-divisional officer | 10.00 | 5.00 | XII | | | | |
| 3. Service of co-operative society | 17.33 | 8.67 | Х | | | | |
| 4. Agricultural University | 86.67 | 43.33 | II | | | | |
| 5. Agricultural Research Stations/KVK | 106.67 | 53.33 | 1 | | | | |
| B. Informal sou | B. Informal sources | | | | | | |
| 6. Neighbors | 37.33 | 18.67 | VI | | | | |
| 7. Fertilizer Depot. | 45.67 | 22.83 | V | | | | |
| 8. Progressive farmers | 22.67 | 11.33 | VIII | | | | |
| 9. Local leader | 16.67 | 8.33 | XI | | | | |
| 10. Seed/pesticide dealer | 61.67 | 30.83 | III | | | | |
| 11. Demonstrations | 21.67 | 10.83 | IX | | | | |
| 12. Self-experience/experimentation | 35.00 | 17.50 | VII | | | | |

Pink boll worm management proper guideline were taken from KrishiVigya Kendra or Agricultural Research Station and it come on first rank of information provide to farmers (53.33%) followed by Agricultural University (43.33%) Rank II. However, seed/pesticide dealer (30.83%) stand on third rank, village level worker/Agricultural Extension officer (23.00%) stand on fourth position. The subsequent information source decrease chronologically were fertilizer depot (22.83%), Neighbors (18.67%), Self-Experience/experimentation (17.50%), progressive farmers (11.33%), demonstration (10.83%), Service of cooperative society (8.67%), local leader (8.33%) and lastly SMS/Sub divisional officers (5.00%).

4. Knowledge of farmer about integrated management of pink bollworm in cotton

The respondents were asked to show their opinion for the following listed area of information of pink boll warm management.

Table 4. Knowledge of farmer about integrated management of pink bollworm in cotton(n=200)

| Sr. No. | Areas of Information | Frequ- ency | Perce- ntage | Rank |
|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|
| 1 | Identification of Pink Bollworm | 39 | 19.5 | XXIII |
| 2 | Life cycle of Pink Bollworm | 17 | 8.5 | XXVIII |
| 3 | Nature of damage of Pink Bollworm | 21 | 10.5 | XXVI |
| 4 | Control measures for Pink Bollworm | | | |
| | (1) Cultural Practices | | | |
| | (i) Deep ploughing | 12 | 6 | XXIV |
| | (ii) Timely sowing | 72 | 36 | XVIII |
| | (iii) Using refugia crop | 84 | 42 | XIV |
| | (iv) Removal of weeds/Wild okra weed | 107 | 53.5 | VIII |
| | (v) Cotton stalk should be burned after picking | 136 | 68 | П |
| | (vi) Collection of infested flowers, bolls and destroyed it | 119 | 59.5 | VI |
| | (vii) Grazing sheep & goat after harvest the crop | 145 | 72.5 | 1 |
| | (viii) Selection of early mature variety/Short duration | | | |
| | variety | 90 | 45 | XI |
| | (ix) Avoiding ratooning of crop | 31 | 15.5 | XXV |
| | (x) Following dense cropping system | 20 | 10 | XXVII |
| | (xi) Using drip irrigation system | 76 | 38 | XVII |
| | (2) Mechanical measures | | | |

| (i) | Use of pheromone trap @ 5/ha for monitoring of pink bollworm | 109 | 54.5 | VII |
|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-----|------|------|
| (ii) | Use of pheromone trap @ 40 /ha for mass trapping of | | | |
| (, | male adult of pink bollworm at the time of August | | | |
| | month/initiation of flowering | 97 | 48.5 | Χ |
| (iii) | Use of light trap | 122 | 61 | V |
| (3) Biolo | gical measures | | | |
| (i) | Release of trichogramma @ 1.5 lacs/ha five times at | | | |
| | weekly interval | 34 | 17 | XXIV |
| (ii) | Release of crysoperla larvae @ 10000/ha two times at | | | |
| | weekly interval when 8 to 9 male moth catch/trap | 68 | 34 | XIX |
| (iii) | Spraying of <i>Beauveria bassiana</i> @ 60-80 g/10 lit. of | | | |
| | water at the time of egg laying of pink bollworm | 131 | 65.5 | III |
| (4) Chem | nical measures | | | |
| (i) | Spraying of quinalphos 25 EC 20 ml/10 lit of water | | | |
| | OR | 42 | 21 | XXII |
| (ii) | Spraying of profenophos 50 EC 10 ml/10 lit of water | | | |
| | OR | 66 | 33 | XX |
| (iii) | 1 , 5 | | | |
| | OR | 90 | 45 | XII |
| (iv) | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | 46 | 23 | XXI |
| (v) | Spraying of fenvalrate 20 EC 10 ml/10 lit of water | | | |
| | OR | 82 | 41 | XV |
| (vi) | Spraying of deltamethrin 1 EC + trizophos 35 EC 20 | | | |
| | ml/10 lit of water OR | 100 | 50 | IX |
| (vii) | Spraying of beta cyfluthrin 2.5 SC 10 ml/10 lit of water | | | |
| | OR | 80 | 40 | XVI |
| (viii) | Spraying of spinosad 45 SC 3 ml/10 lit of water OR | 124 | 62 | IV |
| (ix) | Spraying of chlorantraniliprole 20 SC 3 ml/10 lit of | | | |
| | water | 86 | 43 | XIII |
| | | | | |

The respondents were scheduled interviewed and asked to opine their views about the management of pink boll worm in cotton. The area of information were on the management, identification, life cycle of pink boll worm. The date presented in table 5 concluded that Cultural management practice with grazing sheep and goat after harvest the crops were stand first rank (72.5%) as knowledge of the farmers. It was followed by Cotton stalk should be burned after picking (68%) Rank-II, Spraying of Beauveria bassiana @ 60-80 g/10 lit. of water at the time of egg laying of pink bollworm(65.5%)Rank-III, Spraying of spinosad 45 SC 3 ml/10 lit of water OR (62%) Rank-IV, Use of light trap (61%) Rank-V, Collection of infested flowers, bolls and destroyed it (59.5%) Rank-VI, Use of pheromone trap @ 5/ha for monitoring of pink bollworm (54.5%) Rank-VII, Removal of weeds/Wild okra weed (53.5%) Rank-VIII, Spraying of deltamethrin 1 EC + trizophos 35 EC 20 ml/10 lit of water OR (50%) Rank-IX, Use of pheromone trap @ 40 /ha for mass trapping of male adult of pink bollworm at the time of August month/initiation of flowering (48.5%) Rank-X, Selection of early mature variety/Short duration variety (45%) Rank-XI, Spraying of thiodicarb 75 WP 10 gm/10 lit of water OR (45%) Rank-XII, Spraying of chlorantraniliprole 20 SC 3 ml/10 lit of water (43%) Rank-XIII, Using refugee crop (42%) Rank-XIV, Spraying of fenvalrate 20 EC 10 ml/10 lit of water OR (41%) Rank-XV, Spraying of beta cyfluthrin 2.5 SC 10 ml/10 lit of water OR (40%) Rank-XVI, Using drip irrigation system (38%) Rank-XVII, Timely sowing (36%) Rank-XVIII, Release of crysoperla larvae @ 10000/ha two times at weekly interval when 8 to 9 male moth catch/trap (34%) Rank-XIX, Spraying of profenophos50 EC 10 ml/10 lit of water OR (33%) Rank-XX, Spraying of carbaryl 50 WP 40 gm/10 lit of water OR (23%) Rank-XXI, Spraying of quinalphos 25 EC 20 ml/10 lit of water OR(21%)Rank-XXII, Release of trichogramma @ 1.5 lacs/ha five times at weekly interval (17%) Rank-XXIV, Identification of Pink Bollworm (19.5%) Rank-XXIII, Avoiding ratooning of crop (15.5%)

Rank-XXV, Nature of damage of Pink Bollworm (10.5%) Rank-XXVI, Following dense cropping system (10%) Rank-XXVII, Life cycle of Pink Bollworm (8.5%) Rank-XXVIII, and Deep ploughing (6%) Rank-XXIX.

5. Constraints faced by cotton growersfor pink boll worm

The respondents were asked to show the problem or constraints for management of pink boll worm in cotton cultivation. On the basis of frequency and percentage were ranked and asigne as for interepratation.

Table 5. Constraints faced by cotton growers

(n=200)

| Sr. No. | Constraints | Frequency | Percentage | Rank |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------|------------|------|
| A. | Technical | | | |
| 1 | Lack of information regarding pink bollworm | | | |
| | identification | 39 | 19.5 | XIV |
| 2 | Lack of crop specific scientific recommendations | 113 | 56.5 | IX |
| 3 | Heavy attack of pink bollworm | 173 | 86.5 | Ш |
| 4 | Difficult to control of pink bollworm | 121 | 60.5 | VIII |
| В. | Institutional | | | |
| 1 | No Govt. subsidies for control of pink bollworm | 124 | 62 | VI |
| 2 | Lack of awareness | 54 | 27 | XIII |
| 3 | Lack of technical guidance | 86 | 43 | XI |
| 4 | Less exposure of training | 82 | 41 | XII |
| C. | Economic | | | |
| 1 | Require high investment to control of pink bollworm | 156 | 78 | IV |
| 2 | High labour requirement | 142 | 71 | V |
| 3 | High cost of pesticide | 192 | 96 | 1 |
| D. | Situational | | | |
| 1 | Small holding | 122 | 61 | VII |
| 2 | Fragmented holding | 181 | 90.5 | П |
| 3 | Inadequate transport facility | 90 | 45 | Х |

The respondents mentioned some problem in management of pink boll worm in cotton cultivation. The problems suggested by majority of cotton farmers were: High cost of pesticide 96per cent (Rank-I), Fragmented holding 90.5 per cent (Rank-II), Heavy attack of pink bollworm 86.5 per cent (Rank-III), Require high investment to control of pink bollworm 78 per cent (Rank-IV), High labour requirement 71 per cent (Rank-V), No Govt. subsidies for control of pink bollworm 62 per cent (Rank-VI), Small holding 61 per cent (Rank-VII), Difficult to control of pink bollworm 60.5 per cent (Rank-VIII), Lack of crop specific scientific recommendations 56.5 per cent (Rank-IX), Inadequate transport facility 45 per cent (Rank-X), Lack of technical guidance 43 per cent (Rank-XI), Less exposure of training 41 per cent (Rank-XII), Lack of awareness 27 per cent (Rank-XIII) and Lack of information regarding pink bollworm identification 19.5 per cent (Rank-XIV).

6.Suggestions from cotton growersto overcome the constraints faced by them in adoption of better integrated management of pink bollworm

The respondents were asked to give suggestion to overcome the constraints and minimize the problem of pink boll worm in cotton cultivation.

Table 6. Suggestions from cotton growersto overcome the constraints faced by them (n=200)

| Sr. No. | Suggestions | Frequency | Percentage | Rank |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|------------|------|
| 1. | Grow short duration and pink boll worm resistant variety | 80 | 40 | ΧI |
| 2. | Require crop rotation with non-host crop | 27 | 13.5 | XV |
| | Use of bio-logical control measure for pink bollworm management | 98 | 49 | VI |

| 4. | Use mass media and mass campaign for awareness at proper indication and time bound service provide | 116 | 58 | V |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|------|------|
| 5. | Avoid ratoon cropping | 58 | 29 | XIV |
| 6. | Recycling of plant stalks with the help of waste decomposers | 86 | 43 | IX |
| 7. | Avoid direct rotavator for recycling of cotton stalk | 68 | 34 | XII |
| 8. | Required genetic resistant variety for the pink bollworm | 164 | 82 | I |
| 9. | Refuge (20% non Bt seeds) should be planted along with Bt cotton, if provided in separate packet. | 26 | 13 | XVI |
| 10. | Install pheromone 5 /ha and light trap 5/ha | 124 | 62 | П |
| 11. | Use off mating disruption paste (MDP) Technology for reduce pest incidance | 60 | 30 | XIII |
| 12. | Inspect the crop at squaring and flowering stage for presence of PBW larvae within flowers. | 119 | 59.5 | IV |
| 13. | Chemical control measures should be initiated when pink bollworm crossed Economic Threshold Level (ETL) | 82 | 41 | Х |
| 14. | Destroy residual stalks and partially opened bolls | 93 | 46.5 | VIII |
| 15. | Collect and destroy fallen squares, flowers and bolls in the field | 95 | 47.5 | VII |
| 16. | Install light traps and pheromone traps near ginneries, market yards for mass trapping of adults. | 120 | 60 | III |

For the management of pink boll worm different suggestion were given by different faremrs and it were ranked as per:-Required genetic resistant variety for the pink bollworm 82per cent (Rank-I), Install pheromone 5 /ha and light trap 5/ha 62per cent (Rank-II), Install light traps and pheromone traps near ginneries, market yards for mass trapping of adults. 60per cent (Rank-III), Inspect the crop at squaring and flowering stage for presence of PBW larvae within flowers. 59.5per cent (Rank-IV), Use mass media and mass campaign for awareness at proper indication and time bound service provide 58per cent (Rank-V), Use of bio-logical control measure for pink bollworm management 49per cent (Rank-VI), Collect and destroy fallen squares, flowers and bolls in the field 47.5per cent (Rank-VII), Destroy residual stalks and partially opened bolls 46.5per cent (Rank-VIII), Recycling of plant stalks with the help of waste decomposers 43per cent (Rank-IX), Chemical control measures should be initiated when pink bollworm crossed Economic Threshold Level (ETL) 41per cent (Rank-X), Grow short duration and pink boll worm resistant variety 40per cent (Rank-XI), Avoid direct rotavator for recycling of cotton stalk 34per cent (Rank-XII), Use off mating disruption paste (MDP) Technology for reduce pest incidance 30per cent (Rank-XIII), Avoid ratoon cropping 29per cent (Rank-XIV), Require crop rotation with non-host crop 13.5per cent (Rank-XVI), Refuge (20% non Bt seeds) should be planted along with Bt cotton, if provided in separate packet. 13per cent (Rank-XVI).

CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that the cotton growers were medium in extension as well as social participation. They usage KrishiVigyan Kendra as a knowledge hub for the source of information as well as mass media exposure. The knowledge level of farmers were very poor in identification of pink boll worm and its life cycle. For the management of pink bollworm sheep and goat grazing after harvest were most important according to the farmers knowledge. They also know different cultural, mechanical, biological and chemical measures for the management of pink boll worm in cotton.

Heavy attack of pink boll worm, small holding and high cost of pesticides were major constraints of the cotton growers. To overcome the constraints faced by farmers were development of genetic variety, use of pheromone and light trap in mass at farmers field as well as ginneries, market yards for mass trapping of adults of pink boll worm.

TECHNICAL PROGRAMME 2

Title : ADOPTION OF RECOMMENDED PRACTICES OF POMEGRANATE GROWERS IN JAMNAGAR DISTRICT

Principle Investigator: Mr. S. H. Lakhani, Scientist, KVK, JAU, Jamnagar **Co-investigator:** 1) Dr. K. P. Baraiya, Senior Scientist & Head

2) Smt A. K. Baraiya, Scientist, KVK, JAU, Jamnagar 3) Dr. P. S. Gorfad, Scientist, KVK, JAU, Jamnagar

4) Dr. P. V. Patel, DEE, JAU, Junagadh

INTRODUCTION:

The pomegranate (*Punica grantum L.*) is a native fruit from Iran and the Himalayas in Northern India. It was cultivated and naturalized over the whole Mediterranean region since ancient times. The pomegranate belongs to the Punicaceae family. The leaves of the tree are oblong, narrow and have a glossy texture. Pomegranate trees are drought tolerant and may be grown in dry areas as well. The tree is susceptible to root decay from fungal diseases in wetter regions. They are also tolerant to moderate frost and can survive in a temperature of about 10°C. It is widely cultivated throughout India, South East Asia, The East Indies and Tropical Africa. Pomegranate is a high value crop and its entire tree is of great economic importance. The calorific value of the pomegranate fruit is 65 Joule/Kg. Its juice is easily digestible and contains about 15 per cent of invert sugar. It is a rich source of Sodium and also contains a good amount of Riboflavin, Thiamin, Niacin, Vitamin C, Calcium and Phosphorous. Protein and fat contents are negligible (Mahal, 2007). All parts of pomegranate tree have great therapeutic value and are used in leather and dying industry. Apart from its demand for fresh fruits and juice, the processed products like wine and candy are also gaining importance in world trade.

India is the second largest producer of fruits in the world and is the leader in producing of fruits like mango, banana, pomegranate, sapota, acid lime and aonla.

In India total area under pomegranate cultivation during 2016-2017 was 208.73 (000 ha) with 2442.39 (000) tones production and 11.70 tones / ha productivity. Major pomegranate growing states in India are Maharashtra having 136.75 (000) ha area under pomegranate crop stood first rank followed by Karnataka with 28.09 (000) ha area under crop and Gujarat rank 3rd in pomegranate cultivation with 18.54 (000) ha area under pomegranate crop (Anon., 2017).

Only few commercial varieties are regularly cultivated in India viz. Ganesh, Bhagwa, Ruby, Aarakta, Mridula and Jalore Seedless. 'Super Bhagwa' variety emerged only later. With the rapidly changing socio-economic scenario imposed by climate change, water scarcity, small land holding, etc. this crop is getting popular and thriving well due to its wider adaptability not only in Deccan plateau but also in 'Tarai regions' of northern hills and in the dry regions of North-East as it provides unmatchable return on investment from unit area of land.

Gujarat state is first in the production of date palm, second in production of banana, papaya and lime. Productivity of banana, pomegranate and sapota of Gujarat state is second highest in the country. Total area under fruit crops in the state is 401.073 (000 ha) with production of 8505.272 (000) MT in which pomegranate contributes to 18.538('000) ha area and 278.104 (000) MT of production.

In Saurashtra region Jamnagar is the leading district in pomegranate cultivation. Area under cultivation of pomegranate in Jamnagar is 410 ha with production of 5929 MT (Anon., 2017).

Despite the considerable advances in pomegranate production technology and various strategy extended to increase fruit production per unit area, the gap between know- how and their actual application in the field is still quite large. The pomegranate is a major fruit crop contributes good income to the farmers of Saurashtra region.

Pomegranate is being planting in all blocks of Jamnagar district. Pomegranate is one of the major horticultural crop in Jamnagar district. Major problems in pomegranate are climate change, price, pest and diseases. Farmers did not get good prices for their product. So in last two years pomegranate grower eradicating their pomegranate orchards and started growing other agricultural crops.

Therefore, the present study was conducted to know Adoption of recommended practices of pomegranate growers in Jamnagar district with the following specific objectives.

OBJECTIVES:

- 1. To study the profile characteristics of the selected pomegranate growers
- 2. To study level of adoption of respondents about recommended pomegranate production technology
- 3. To find out the constraints faced by pomegranate grower in management practices of pomegranate.
- 4. To seek suggestion from pomegranate growers to overcome such constraints.

METHODOLOGY:

The present study was conducted in jurisdiction of Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Junagadh Agricultural University, Jamnagar of Gujarat state. *Ex-post facto* research design was followed for carrying out the study. For drawing the sample for the study, purposive simple random sampling technique was used. Jamnagar district consist of total six talukas, out of six talukas four talukas were selected namely Jamnagar, Kalavad, Lalpur and Dhrol having maximum area of pomegranate orchard. From each selected taluka Five villages were selected purposively having more area under pomegranate plantation. Total twenty villages were selected randomly and five pomegranate growers from each village were selected as respondents. Thus, total 100 pomegranate growers from twenty villages were considered as population for this study.

Table 1:Village-wise numbers of respondents selected for the study

| Sr. No. | Taluka | Selected villages | No. of farmers selected for the study | Sr. No. | Taluka | Selected villages | No. of farmers selected for the study | | | | | | | |
|------------|----------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------|--------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--------|---|
| | | Theba | 5 | | | Haripar | 5 | | | | | | | |
| | | Dhudasiya | 5 | | | | | | | | | | Badhla | 5 |
| 1. | Jamnagar | Beraja | 5 | 3. | Lalpur | Arablus | 5 | | | | | | | |
| | | Nana Thavariya | 5 | | | Pipartoda | 5 | | | | | | | |
| | | Pasaya | 5 | | | Vadpanchasara | 5 | | | | | | | |
| | | Nana Vadala | 5 | | | Latipur | 5 | | | | | | | |
| | | Pithadiya | 5 | | | Chhalla | 5 | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Kalavad | MotaVadala | 5 | 4. | Dhrol | Mota Vagudad | 5 | | | | | | | |
| | | Navagam | 5 | | | Nana Vagudad | 5 | | | | | | | |
| | | MotiBhagedi | 5 | | | Motaltala | 5 | | | | | | | |

An interview schedule was prepared to collect the required information according to the specified objectives of the study. Data were collected by personal interview method. The collected data were quantified, categorized and tabulated. Analysis was carried out by using frequencies and percentages.

FINDINGS:

(1) Profile characteristics of the respondents

The data presented in Table 2.1 indicated that majority (64 per cent) of the respondents were in the middle age group followed by 19 and 17 per cent of respondents belonged to old and young age group respectively. While in case of education that is presented in Table 2.2, majority 48 per cent of the respondents were educated up to primary level whereas, 23 per cent of the respondents were educated up to secondary level followed by15, 8 and 6 per cent of the respondents were educated up to higher secondary, graduate and illiterate.

It is observed from Table 2.3, about the experience as a pomegranate grower, indicated that 53 per cent of the respondents had medium experience whereas, 39 and 8 percent respondents had low and high experience as a pomegranate grower. The data in Table 2.4 revealed that about 54 per cent of respondents had medium land holding, followed by 23 percent, 16 percent and 7 percent had large size, small size and marginal size of land holding. The data in Table 2.5 showed that 42 percent had high annual income followed by 27 percent farmer had very high annual income. The respondent belonged to medium

annual income category was 16 percent. Whereas 9 and 6 percent respondents were low and very low annual income respectively.

Table 2: Distribution of respondents according to their personal and socio-economic characteristics. (N=100)

| | (N=100) | | | | |
|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|------------|--|--|
| Sr. No | Characteristics | Frequency | Percentage | | |
| 1 | Age | | | | |
| | Young age (Up to 35 Years) | 17 | 17 | | |
| | Middle age (36to 55 Years) | 64 | 64 | | |
| | Old age (above 55 Years) | 19 | 19 | | |
| | Tota | l 100 | 100 | | |
| 2 | Level of Education | | | | |
| | Illiterate | 6 | 6 | | |
| | Primary (1 to 7 th std.) | 48 | 48 | | |
| | Secondary (8to 10 th Std.) | 23 | 23 | | |
| | Higher Secondary (11 th to 12 th std.) | 15 | 15 | | |
| | Graduate (above 12 th std.) | 8 | 8 | | |
| | Tota | l 100 | 100 | | |
| 3 | Experience as a pomegranate grower | | | | |
| | Low experience as a pomegranate grower (up to 5 years) | 39 | 39 | | |
| | Medium experience as a pomegranate grower (6 to 10 years) | 53 | 53 | | |
| | Higher experience as a pomegranate grower (above 10 years) | 8 | 8 | | |
| | Tota | | 100 | | |
| 4 | Size of land holding | | | | |
| • | Marginal (up to 1 ha) | 7 | 7 | | |
| | Small (1.01 to 2 ha) | 16 | 16 | | |
| | Medium (2.01 to 4 ha) | 54 | 54 | | |
| | Large (Above 4 ha) | 23 | 23 | | |
| | Tota | - | 100 | | |
| 5 | Annual income | 100 | 100 | | |
| | Very low annual income (Up to Rs. 50,000) | 6 | 6 | | |
| | Low annual income (Rs. 50,001 to 1,00,0000) | 9 | 9 | | |
| | Medium annual income (Rs. 1,00,001 to 1,50,000) | 16 | 16 | | |
| | High annual income (Rs. 1,50,001 to 2,00,000) | 42 | 42 | | |
| | Very high annual income (above Rs. 2,00,000) | 27 | 27 | | |
| | Tota | | 100 | | |
| 6 | Mass media exposure | 1 100 | 100 | | |
| 0 | Low mass media exposure (up to 10.19) | 16 | 16 | | |
| | Medium mass media exposure (10.19 to 20.61) | 59 | 59 | | |
| | High mass media exposure (above 20.61) | 25 | 25 | | |
| | | | - | | |
| 7 | Total Participation | 100 | 100 | | |
| | Social Participation | 21 | 24 | | |
| | Low social participation (up to 0.98) | 21 | 21 | | |
| | Medium social participation (0.98 to 3.12) | 62 | 62 | | |
| | High social participation (above 3.12) | 17 | 17 | | |
| | Tota | 100 | 100 | | |
| 8 | Extension Participation | 1.0 | 4.1 | | |
| | Low extension participation (Up to 4.14) | 14 | 14 | | |
| | Medium extension participation (4.14 to 19.52) | 67 | 67 | | |
| | High extension participation (above 19.52) | 19 | 19 | | |
| | Tota | 100 | 100 | | |

The result in Table 2.6 showed that more than half (59 percent) of the respondent had medium level of mass media exposure, whereas 25 and 16 per cent of them had high and low level of mass media exposure, respectively. The data regarding social participation in table 2.7 indicated that 64 per cent of the respondents had medium social participation followed by 21 and 17 per cent respondent had low and high social participation, respectively. The data in Table 2.8 revealed that majority (67 per cent) of the respondents had medium extension participation followed by 19 and 14 per cent respondents had high and low extension participation, respectively.

(2) Level of Adoption of recommended pomegranate production technology

Table 3: Distribution of pomegranate growers according to overall adoption of recommended pomegranate production technology (N=100)

| Sr. No. | Category | Frequency | Percentage |
|------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| 1 | Low level adoption (up to 44 score) | 20 | 20 |
| 2 | Medium level of adoption (44.01 to 85 score) | 66 | 66 |
| 3 | High level of adoption (above 85 score) | 14 | 14 |
| | Total | 100 | 100 |

Majority (66 per cent) of the respondents had medium level of adoption about the recommended practices of pomegranate production whereas, 20 per cent and 14 per cent had low and high level of adoption, respectively.

Table 4: Distribution of pomegranate growers according to their adoption of major pomegranate production practices (N=100)

| Sr. No. | Practices | Total Score | Mean Score | Rank |
|------------|------------------------|-------------|------------|------|
| 1 | Preparatory Tillage | 245 | 2.45 | II |
| 2 | Sowing Method | 255 | 2.55 | I |
| 3 | Fertilizer management | 224 | 2.24 | IV |
| 4 | Plant Protection | 175 | 1.75 | VI |
| 5 | Training and Pruning | 230 | 2.30 | III |
| 6 | Harvesting and Grading | 195 | 1.95 | V |

In general, It is observed from the table no. 4 that majority of farmers were adopting sowing method properly with first rank followed by preparatory tillage (second rank) and training and pruning (third rank) respectively. While in case of plant protection, harvesting and grafting and fertilizer management practices in pomegranate crops were ranked sixth, fifth, and fourth position respectively.

(3) Practice wise adoption of the respondents about recommended practices of pomegranate production

It was observed that the level of adoption was found high (more than 70 per cent) in practices like, improved variety (rank I), land preparation (rank II), selection of bahar (rank III), harvesting (rank IV), training and pruning of tree (rank V), Time of planting (rank VI), FYM and nutrient management (rank VII), weed management (rank VIII) and spacing (rank IX).

The moderate level of adoption (more than 50 per cent) was noticed in practices like, management of bahar (rank X), filling of pit (rank XI), insect management(rank XII) and size of pit(rank XIII).

The low level of adoption (less than 50 per cent) was found in practices like irrigation management (rank XIV), disease management (rank XV), preparation of seedling (rank XVII) and type of grafting (rank XVII).

Table 5: Practice wise adoption of the respondents about recommended practices of pomegranate production (N=100)

| produ | CUOII | | (M=TOO) | | | |
|------------|------------------------------|-----------|------------|------|--|--|
| Sr. No. | Name of Practices | Frequency | Percentage | Rank | | |
| 1 | Land preparation | 95 | 95 | II | | |
| 2 | Size of pit | 53 | 53 | XIII | | |
| 3 | Filling of pit | 59 | 59 | XI | | |
| 4 | Spacing | 72 | 72 | IX | | |
| 5 | Type of grafting | 6 | 6 | XVII | | |
| 6 | Preparation of seedling | 9 | 9 | XVI | | |
| 7 | Time of planting | 78 | 78 | VI | | |
| 8 | Improved variety | 100 | 100 | 1 | | |
| 9 | FYM and Nutrient management | 77 | 77 | VII | | |
| 10 | Selection of bahar | 91 | 91 | III | | |
| 11 | Management of bahar | 62 | 62 | X | | |
| 12 | Training and Pruning of tree | 84 | 84 | V | | |
| 13 | Weed management | 73 | 73 | VIII | | |
| 14 | Irrigation management | 48 | 48 | XIV | | |
| 15 | Disease management | 46 | 46 | XV | | |
| 16 | Insect management | 56 | 56 | XII | | |
| 17 | Harvesting | 87 | 87 | IV | | |

(4) Constraints faced by pomegranate grower in management practices of pomegranate

The constraints were kept open ended. The responses were recorded in the schedule itself. on the basis of frequency and percentages ranks were assigned.

Table: 6.Constraints faced by pomegranate growers in management practices of pomegranate (N=100)

| Sr. No. | Constraints | Frequency | Percentage | Rank |
|------------|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|------------|------|
| 1 | Non remunerative price | 96 | 96 | 1 |
| 2 | High cost of inputs (fertilizer, insecticides, pesticides, | 71 | 71 | П |
| | herbicides etc) | | | |
| 3 | Lack of skilled labour | 65 | 65 | Ш |
| 4 | High wages of labour | 59 | 59 | IV |
| 5 | Lack of knowledge about recommended | 52 | 52 | V |
| | pomegranate production practices | | | |
| 6 | More commission charged by commission agent | 48 | 48 | VI |
| 7 | Lack of grading and storage facility | 32 | 32 | VII |
| 8 | Scarcity of irrigation water | 21 | 21 | VIII |
| 9 | Pomegranate fruits damaged by birds | 16 | 16 | IX |

The data presented in table 6, revealed that majority of the farmers expressed constraints in adoption of recommended practices were: Non remunerative price (Rank I), High cost of inputs (fertilizer, insecticides, pesticides, herbicides etc) (Rank II), Lack of skilled labour (Rank III), High wages of labour (Rank IV), Lack of knowledge about recommended pomegranate production practices(Rank V), More

commission charged by commission agent (Rank VI), Lack of grading and storage facility (Rank VII), Scarcity of irrigation water (Rank VIII), Pomegranate fruits damaged by birds (Rank IX).

(5) Suggestions to overcome the constrains.

The respondents were asked to give suggestion to overcome the constraints and increasing adoption of recommended pomegranate production practices.

Table 7: Suggestions to overcome the constrains faced in adoption recommended practices of pomegranate production (N=100)

| Sr. | Suggestions | Freque | Perce | Rank |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-------|-------|
| No. |). | | ntage | Nalik |
| 1 | Remunerative minimum prices should be fixed by the government | 73 | 73 | I |
| 2 | Training should be given to the pomegranate growers so they can perform management operation easily | 61 | 61 | II |
| 3 | There should be an association of fruit growing farmers, so that they can meet labour and input demand | 57 | 57 | III |
| 4 | Required quantity of fertilizers and Micro nutrients should be made available in time at subsidized rate | 48 | 48 | IV |
| 5 | Adequate irrigation facility should be made available for fruit growers | 35 | 35 | V |
| 6 | Effective control measures of pest and disease should be evolved | 26 | 26 | VI |
| 7 | The methods to control damage by birds should be developed | 12 | 12 | VII |

The pomegranate growers had given some suggestions to increasing adoption of recommended pomegranate production practices. A rank was assign to each suggestion and presented in Table no. 7 revealed that majority of the pomegranate grower suggested that remunerative minimum prices should be fixed by the government (Rank I), training should be given to the pomegranate growers so they can perform management operation easily (Rank II), there should be an association of fruit growing farmers, so that they can meet labour and input demand (Rank III), Required quantity of fertilizers and Micro nutrients should be made available in time at subsidized rate (Rank IV), Adequate irrigation facility should be made available for fruit growers (Rank V), Effective control measures of pest and disease should be evolved (Rank VI) and the methods to control damage by birds should be developed (Rank VII).

CONCLUSION

The profile characteristics of the pomegranate growers shows that majority of respondents found to middle age, primary & secondary level of education, medium experience as a pomegranate grower, medium size of land holding, high annual income, medium mass media exposure, medium social participation and medium extension participation.

Majority of the pomegranate growers (66.00 per cent) were medium adopters of the pomegranate cultivation practices. Whereas, 20.0 per cent were low and 14.0 per cent were high adopters of the pomegranate cultivation practices.

The cent percent of the farmers adopted the improved variety of pomegranate with first rank. While the practices, land preparation, selection of bahar, and harvesting were ranked 2nd, 3rd and 4th respectively.

The low level of adoption (less than 50 per cent) was found in practices like irrigation management (rank XIV), disease management (rank XV), preparation of seedling (rank XVI) and type of grafting (rank XVII) respectively.

The most important constraint for adoption of pomegranate production technology were non remunerative price (Rank I), high cost of inputs (fertilizer, insecticides, pesticides, herbicides etc) (Rank II), and lack of skilled labour (Rank III).

The most important suggestion offered by pomegranate farmers were; remunerative minimum prices should be fixed by the government (Rank I), training should be given to the pomegranate growers so they can perform management operation easily (Rank II) and there should be an association of fruit growing farmers, so that they can meet labour and input demand (Rank III).

Technical Programme:-3

Title :KNOWLEDGE LEVEL OF RURAL WOMEN REGARDING WEANING FOOD FOR INFANT IN JAMNAGAR DISTRICT.

Principle investigator

- 1. Smt. A. K. Baraiya Scientist (Home Science), KVK, JAU, Jamnagar Co-investigator
 - 1. Dr. K. P. Baraiya, Senior Scientist & Head, KrishiVigyan Kendra, JAU, Jamnagar
 - 2. Shri. S.H. Lakhani, Scientists (Agronomy), KVK, JAU, Jamnagar
 - 3. Dr. A. M. Parakhia, Director of Extension Education, JAU, Junagadh
 - 4. Dr. P. S. Gorfad, Scientists (Extension), KVK, JAU, Jamnagar

INTRODUCTION:

In India, infants are breastfed during the first six months provided the mother can produce enough breast milk to satisfy the hunger needs of the baby. The growth rate of breastfed infants is quite satisfactory during this period. Many types of research have proved that breastfeeding alone is enough during the early stages of an infant for growth and health.

After six months of age, the nutrients and energy requirement of infants cannot be met only by the feeding breast milk. The mother's milk does not meet the calorie and protein requirements of the increasing growth spurt, also the quantity of the milk produced by the lactating mother starts to diminish. Breast milk is a poor source of Vitamin C & D. The iron stored in the liver of the infant lasts only until the 5th or the 6th month. So it becomes imperative to start supplementary feeding to maintain the rate of growth of the infant, beyond six months. The weaning foods or supplementary foods help the infants to be well nourished, be healthy and also improve their immunity.

Weaning – Weaning is the process of introducing supplementary food to an infant who has been exclusively breastfed till that time and goes on till the infant is off the mother's milk. Weaning is considered an important part of a child's growth from a nutritional angle. After introducing supplementary foods for nourishing the infant, the number of latching sessions to the mother's milk need to be gradually reduced.

Weaning can be a dangerous time for babies. In many places babies of weaning age do not grow well. They often fall ill and get more infections, especially diarrhea, than at any other time. Babies who are malnourished may get worse during the weaning period, and babies may become malnourished for the first time during weaning. Poor feeding and illness stop many children of weaning age growing well. This shows up on the growth chart as poor weight gain, or in more serious cases, as weight loss.

Foods should be prepared and given in a safe manner, meaning that measures are taken to minimize the risk of contamination with pathogens. And they should be given in a way that is *appropriate*, meaning that foods are of appropriate texture for the age of the child and applying responsive feeding following the principles of psycho-social care.

OBJECTIVES

- 1. To study the personal and social variable of respondents
- 2. To study the knowledge of rural women regarding feeding practices in infant
- 3. To know the knowledge of rural women regarding weaning food.
- 4. To assess need of training need of women about weaning food for infant.

METHODOLOGY

The present study was conducted from Jamnagar and DevbhumiDwarka Districts. Purposively all ten talukas were selected for the study purpose, three village were randomly selected from each talukas and five farm women from each village randomly selected for study purpose. Total 150 farm women were selected by proportionate random sample method. In light of the objectives, the interview schedule was prepared and respondents were interviewed at their home and field. The data collected by personal interview method were processed, tabulated, classified and analyzed in light of objectives.

| District Name | Taluka Name | Village Name | No. of Respondent |
|----------------------|-------------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| Jamnagar | Jodiya | Kunad, Keshiya, Hadiyana | 15 |
| | Dhrol | Nathuvadala, Mavapar, Majoth | 15 |

| | Lalpur | Arablus, Rampar, Babarzar | 15 |
|-------------------|------------|------------------------------------|-----|
| | Kalavad | Kalavad, MotiVavdi, Dhundhoraji | 15 |
| | Jamjodhpur | Mandasan, Kalyanpur, Sadodar | 15 |
| | Jamnagar | Khijdiya, Dodhiya, Khojaberaja | 15 |
| Devbhumidwarka | Khambhalia | Khambhalia, Shaktinagar, Dharampur | 15 |
| | Kalyanpur | Ran, Nandana, Patelka | 15 |
| | Bhanvad | Rupamora, Vanavad, Jampar | 15 |
| Dwarka Dwarka, Ma | | Dwarka, Makanpur, Tupni | 15 |
| Total | 10 | 30 | 150 |

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the present study results emerged out from the analysis of the data of the present investigation. The data have been organized and by taking into account the objectives of the study. All the pertinent information has been categorized and reported under the following major sections.

1. Background information of the respondents

The socio economic characteristics were studied by interview method and depicted in table 1.

Table 1: Personal Characteristics of respondents Rural Women (n=150)

| ole 1: Pe | rsonal Characteristics of respondents Rural Women | (r | า=150) |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Sr. No. | Personal Characteristics | Frequency | Percentage |
| 1 | Age | | |
| | a) 18 – 35 years | 72 | 48 |
| | b) 36 – 50 years | 69 | 46 |
| | c) 50 above | 9 | 6 |
| 2 | Marital Status | | |
| | a) Married | 150 | 100 |
| 3 | Family structure | | |
| (i) | Family Type | | |
| | a) Nuclear | 44 | 29.33 |
| | b) Joint | 106 | 70.67 |
| (ii) | Family Size | | |
| | a) Small(upto 4) | 58 | 33.67 |
| | b) Medium (5-8) | 72 | 48 |
| | c) Large (above 8) | 20 | 13.33 |
| 4 | Education | | |
| | a) Illiterate | 13 | 8.67 |
| | b) Primary(upto VII Std.) | 30 | 20 |
| | c) Secondary School(VIII to X Std.) | 46 | 30.67 |
| | d) Higher Secondary (XI &XII Std.) | 36 | 24 |
| | e) Graduate | 24 | 16 |
| | f) Post graduate | 1 | 0.67 |
| 5 | Occupation | | |
| | a) Farming | 63 | 42 |
| | b) Farming + Animal Husbandry | 56 | 37.33 |
| | c) Farm Labour | 12 | 8 |
| | d) Service | 7 | 4.67 |
| | e) Farming + Service(others) | 12 | 8 |
| 6 | No of animals | | |
| | a) No animal | 50 | 33.33 |
| | | | |

| | b) | Up to 1 animals | | 25.33 |
|---|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----|-------|
| | c) | 2 to 5 animals | 62 | 41.33 |
| | d) | Above 5 animals | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 7 Annual income | | | |
| | a) Low annual income (up to Rs.50000/-) | | 33 | 22 |
| | b) Medium annual income (Rs. 50000 to Rs.100000/-) | | 98 | 65.33 |
| | c) | High annual income (Above Rs. 100000/-) | 19 | 12.67 |

Table 1 revealed that the respondent were young age group (18 to 35 years) 48 per cent, middle age group (36 to 50 years) were 46 per cent, however, old age group (above 50 years) were very low (6%). According to marital status all the respondents were 100 per cent married. Looking to the family structure, 70.67 per cent lived in joint family, followed by 29.33 per cent were lived in nuclear family. Similar way, size of the family also medium size (5 to 8 members) were 48 per cent, followed by small (less than 4 member) 33.67 per cent and large size family (more than 8 members) 13.33 per cent.

According to family occupation the majority of farm women were engaged agriculture field (42 per cent), whereas 37.33 per cent were engaged with agriculture + animal husbandry, 8 per cent with farm labor as well as farming + service and only 4.67 per cent were engaged with service occupation. Along with the occupation number of animal keeping groups no body have more than 5 animals, 41.33 per cent farm women having 2 to 4 animals, 25.33 per cent farm women having only one animals and 33.33 per cent farm women having no animals.

According to annual income majority groups 65.33 per cent having medium annual income (Rs. 50000 to 100000), and it was followed by Low annual income (up to Rs.50000/-) 22 per cent and High annual income (Above Rs. 100000/-) 12.67 per cent.

2. Use of Mass media for increasing the knowledge

How frequently do you use the following mass media for Nutrition requirement and supplementary food for infant?

Table 2: Use of Mass media usages

(n=150)

| Sr. | Mass Media Exposure | Regularly | Frequently | Not at | Wt. | Rank |
|-----|----------------------------------|-----------|------------|--------|------|------|
| No. | | | | all | Mean | |
| 1 | Radio | 2 | 37 | 111 | 0.27 | VII |
| 2 | Television | 87 | 52 | 11 | 1.51 | 1 |
| 3 | News paper | 46 | 66 | 38 | 1.05 | II |
| 4 | Printed literature | 22 | 79 | 49 | 0.82 | IV |
| 5 | Mobile | 67 | 57 | 26 | 1.27 | II |
| 6 | Visit to Aganvadi | 6 | 89 | 55 | 0.67 | V |
| 7 | Any other Programme organized in | 12 | 45 | 93 | 0.46 | VI |
| | village | | | | | |

It can be concluded from table 2, television was proved the most favorite of each and every women. It stand on first rank for media usage with 1.51 weightage mean. The another media usages chronologically, mobile stand second rank (1.27), newspaper stand third rank (1.05), printed literature stand fourth rank (0.82), visit to anganvadi stand fifth rank (0.67), other programme organized in village were stand sixth rank (0.46) and radio stand last rank (0.27) for mass media usage by farm women. These finding can be prove that very few respondents were usage of radio.

3. Knowledge of rural women regarding weaning food for infant

In India nearly 75% of the population lives in rural areas. These rural women especially belonging to agricultural families are mostly engaged in agriculture activities with household responsibilities like cooking, cleaning, care of family members especially children and adults.

Knowledge is most important component of behavior and it plays major role in the convert and overt behavior of human being. Once knowledge is acquired, it produces change in one's opinion/thinking which would lead to further changes in attitude of the individual. Knowledge as a

function or stages in the innovation-decision process was recognized. This exemplifies the importance of knowledge in innovation-decision process.

Table 3 : Distribution of the respondents regarding their knowledge about weaning food for infant (n=150)

| | | (n=150) | | |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------|---------|------------|--|
| Sr. No. | Infant food practices | No. | Percentage | |
| 1 | Know about colostrum | 74 | 49.33 | |
| 2 | Know about supplementary food | 70 | 46.67 | |
| 3 | Know about required nutrient of infant | 79 | 52.67 | |
| 4 | Know about Mother milk is complete food for infant | 132 | 88.00 | |
| 5 | Best period for breast feeding | | | |
| | A) Birth to 1 year | 10 | 6.67 | |
| | B) Birth to 1.5 Year | 49 | 32.67 | |
| | C) Birth to 2 Year | 72 | 48.00 | |
| | D) Birth to 2.5 Year | 19 | 12.67 | |
| 6 | Time to start weaning food (Liquid food)with breast | | | |
| | feeding | | | |
| | A) After 5 month | 25 | 16.67 | |
| | B) After 6 month | 99 | 66.00 | |
| | C) After 7 month | 26 | 17.33 | |
| 7 | Time to start Semi Solid food Like Kheer, Rab,Fruit | | | |
| | pulp etc | | | |
| | A) After 6 month | 37 | 24.67 | |
| | B) After 7 month | 61 | 40.67 | |
| | C) After 8 month | 52 | 34.67 | |
| 8 | Time to start solid food Like roti, Parotha, or regular | | | |
| | daily meal | | | |
| | A) After 8 month | 26 | 17.33 | |
| | B) After 9 month | 60 | 40.00 | |
| | C) After 10 month | 64 | 42.67 | |
| 9 | Preference of food for 6 to 12 months infant | | | |
| | A) Boiled rice/Pulses | 32 | 21.33 | |
| | B) Rab | 17 | 11.33 | |
| | C) Kheer | 15 | 10.00 | |
| | D) Khichdi-Dahi | 48 | 32.00 | |
| | E) Fruit pulp/Juice | 42 | 28.00 | |
| | F) Boiled vegetables | 24 | 16.00 | |
| 10 | Are you prefer ready to mix food for your infant | 36 | 24.00 | |
| 11 | Which ready to mix baby food given your infant | | | |
| | A) Cerelac | 26 | 17.33 | |
| | B) Babyvita | 4 | 2.67 | |
| | C) Farex | 7 | 4.67 | |
| | D) Amway | 1 | 0.67 | |
| | E) Others | 0 | 0 | |
| 12 | Readymix food given to infant periodicity | | | |
| (i) | 6 to 8 Month baby | | | |
| | 2 time | 85 | 56.67 | |
| | 3time | 53 | 35.33 | |
| | 4 time | 12 | 8 | |
| (ii) | 9 to 11 Month baby | | | |
| | 2 time | 74 | 49.33 | |
| | 3time | 68 | 45.33 | |
| | | | | |

| | 4 time | 8 | 5.33 |
|-------|---------------------------------------------|-----|-------|
| (iii) | 12 to 24 month baby | | |
| | 1 time | 112 | 74.67 |
| | 2 time | 26 | 17.33 |
| | 3 time | 12 | 8 |
| 13 | Ready to mix powder made at home | 19 | 12.67 |
| 14 | Know about Ready to mix powder made at home | 24 | 16 |

In the area of Jamnagar district, nearly half of the farm women know about colostrum (49.33%). As it contains anti bodies to protect the new born against disease and they all planned to breast feed their babies. Regarding supplementary food 46.67 per cent farm women were know. More than half of the respondents were know about required nutrient of infant 52.67 per cent. The highest knowledge about mother milk is complete food for infant were known by 88 per cent of mother women.

Knowledge about breast feeding period have different information. 48 per cent of them having known about best period for breast feeding is birth to 2 year. And it was followed by birth to 1.5 year (32.67%), birth to 2.5 year (12.67%) and birth to 1 year (6.67%). Thus, these farm women having lacking in the proper knowledge about best period for feeding.

It also important to change infant from breast feeding to weaning food for proper growth of infant. In the initiation the present study respondents were know time to start weaning food (liquid food) with breast feeding majority of farm women have response to after 6 moth of birth (66.00%). In the same, 17.33 per cent respondents were respond at 7 month and 16.67 per cent respondents were respond for 5 month after birth. On another hand, 40.67 per cent farm women were noted time to start semi solid food like kheer, rab, fruit pulp etc after 7 month. Which, followed by 34.67 per cent were after 8 month and 24.67 per cent were after 6 month. The time to start solid food like roti, parotha or regular daily meal should start after 10 month (42.67%), and it was followed by 9 month (40.00%) and 8 month (17.33) after birth.

Preference of food for 6 to 12 months infantwere respond first rank to khichadi-dahi (32.00%), fruit pulp/juice stand on next best food (28%), followed by boiled rice/pulses (21.33%), boiled vegetables (16%), rab (11.33%) and kheer (10%).

According to prefer ready mix food for infant were only 24 per cent having positive response. There were also clear that ready mix food for infant were very less mother are ready. Among them cerelac stand first (17.33%), farex (4.67), babyvita (2.67%) and amway (0.67%) chronologically reduced.

The knowledge for Readymix food given to infant periodicity on age of 6 to 8 month baby were maximum 56.67 per cent for 2 time, followed by 35.33 per cent for 3 time and only 8 per cent for 4 time. On the age of 9 to 11 month baby, about half of the respondent (49.33 per cent were give 2 time, which was followed by 45.33 per cent were give 3 time and 5.33 per cent were give 4 time. Same way at the age of 12 to 24 month baby 74.67 per cent having 1 time food, however, 17.33 per cent were 2 time and 8 per cent were 3 time ready to provide mix food.

Among the farm women only 12.67 per cent were ready to mix powder made to home by own. It also found that only 16 per cent were know about ready to mix powder made at home.

CONCLUSION

This can be concluded from the study that rural women had good knowledge regarding importance of breast feeding practices. They were aware about supplementary feeding, time to start liquid and solid food for infant. It also clear that ready mix food available in market, its usages and periodicity for food given during day at different age level. Very few farm women were know about preparation of ready mix powder mate at home.

ANNUAL ACTION PLAN

(April-2019 to March- 2020)

KRISHI VIGYAN KENDRA JUNAGADH AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY, JAMNAGAR

1. Details of Operational area/ Villages (2018-19 to 2020-21)

| | 2 - tails 0. Operational all early 1 mages (2020 25 to 2020 22) | | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| SI No | Taluka | Name of the village | Major crops & enterprises | Major problem identified | Identified thrust area | |
| 1 | Jamnagar | Chandragadh, Khojaberaja, Lothiya, NaniBanugar, Suryapara | Cotton, groundnut, sesamum, castor, greengram, | Heavy infestation of sucking pest in cotton, stem rot disease&whitegrub in Groundnut, Root | ICM in major crops of the district Organic crop production Introudction of new crop Recycling of farm waste | |
| 2 | Kalyanpur | Gadhka, Patelka, Haripar, Juvanpur, Jampar | wheat, Gram, cumin, mustard, Vegetable, Soyabean, flowers, live stock, fisheries | rot in castor, Less area under horticulture crops, Blight in cumin, salinity, pink bollworm in cotton | Populirization of MIS Motivation of fishries cultivation Soil Reclamation Farm women empowerment Farm mechanization | |

2. Priority thrust areas

| SI. No | Crop/ Enterprise | Thrustarea |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. | Cotton, groundnut, castor, cumin, coriander, wheat, vegetables, fruits, etc. | Integrated Crop Management in major crops IPM & IDM in major field crops Whitegrub management in Groundnut Wireworm management in garlic & Onion Micronutriet management in wheat |
| 2. | Organic farming | Enhancement of organic farming through improved technologies |
| 3. | Farm waste/ organic matter | Recycling of farm waste through composting, vermicompost, green manuring, etc. |
| 4. | Micro irrigation | Efficient use of water by micro irrigation system, water harvesting structure, and water conservation techniques |
| 5. | Soil | Reclamation of saline & alkaline soils |
| 6. | Farm Women | Farm women empowerment by training in value addition, handi crafts, and small scale enterprises |
| 7. | Fisheries | Fish Farming |
| 8. | Improved Implements | Popularization of the mechanized technological know how |
| 9. | Plant protection | Pinkboll worm in cotton and white grub in groundnut, |
| 10 | Horticultural area | Enhancement of pomegranate, datepalm, draganfruit, |
| 11. | Storage facility | Requirement of storage techniques and value addition in farm produce |
| 12. | Water conservation & use of Micro irrigation | Efficient use of water by micro irrigation system, water harvesting structure, and water conservation techniques |

3. TECHNICAL PROGRAMME

3.1. Details of targeted mandatory activities by KVK

| 0 | FT | FLD | | |
|----------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|--|
| (1) | | (2) | | |
| Number of OFTs | Number of Farmers | Area (ha) | Number of Farmers | |
| 7 | 22 | 166 | 478 | |

| Trai | ning | Extension Activities | | |
|-------------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--|
| (3) | | (4) | | |
| Number of Courses | Number of Participants | Number of activities | Number of participants | |
| 47 | 1225 | 358 | 39632 | |

| Seed Production (Qtl.) | Planting material (Nos.) | Fish seed prod. (Nos) | Soil Samples |
|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| 264 | 500 | 500 | 550 |

3.2. Details of On Farm Trial / Technology Assessment during 2019-20

3. On Farm Trial (OFT)

| S. | Thrust | Crop/ | Identified Droblem | Interventions |
|----|--------|--------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| No | area | Enterprise | Identified Problem | Title of OFT if any |
| 1 | PLP | Sesame | attack of leaf Webber is increase | Management of sesame leaf Webber |
| 2 | FIS | Fresh | Use of Maximum | Stocking of Freshwater prawn |
| | | water | natural resources | (Macrobrachium rosenbergii) with IMC |
| | | prawn & | | (Catla catla) fingerlings in village |
| | | IMC | | pond/Dam. |
| 3 | CP | Sesame | Low yield, Threat to the | Assessment of the performance of high |
| | | | sustainability of crop production, | yielding Sesame varieties in summer |
| | | | High cost of production | irrigated condition for Jamnagar District |
| | | | Shortage of irrigation water | |
| 4 | CP | Groundnut | Low yield, Threat to the | Assessment of suitable high yielding |
| | | | sustainability of crop production, | Groundnut Variety in kharif season for |
| | | | High cost of production, | Jamnagar District |
| | | | Lack of well distributed rainfall & | |
| | | | low rainfall | |
| 5 | WOE | Solar cooker | drudgery of farm women, To | Comparison of solar cooker with |
| | | | reduce time and fuel consumption | traditional cooking system |
| 6 | PLP | Chilli | Minimize the incidence of thrips in | Management of thrips in chilli. |
| | | | chilli. | |
| 7 | PLP | Garlic | To Minimize the infestation of purple | Management of purple blotch of garlic |
| | | | blotch of garlic | |

OFT-1 Sesame (Assessment)

Title: Management of sesame leaf webber

Objective: To manage the leaf webber infestation in sesame

Problem definition: attack of leaf webber is increase

- > Heavy infestation of leaf webber was found
- > Improper cultivation practices
- > Lack of knowledge about pest outbreaks and its management

Problem diagram :-

| Improper cultivation practices | | Irregular irrigation |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Mono-cropping system | | Lack irrigation facilities |
| No adoption of recommended | | Lack of knowledge about pest |
| practices | | outbreaks and its management |
| Crop failure due to water | Management of sesame leaf webber | In judicious use of chemical |
| logging condition in rainy season | sesame lear webber | pesticide |
| Farmer follows instruction | | Heavy incidence of pest and |
| given by the local pesticides | | disease attack |
| retailer | | disease attack |

Treatments:

- 1. Injudicious use of insecticides. (Spray insecticides at weekly interval) (Farmers practices).
- 2. Recommended practices Application of the insecticide will be start at pest infestation occurred. Cartap hydrochloride 50% S.P. @ 10 g/10 Litre of water at the time of infestation.(Recommendation)

No. of Replication: 3 (Farmers)

Source of Technology:- Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh

Observations:

- 1. Record no. of larvae per plant/1 meter row length.
- 2. Yield data.

OFT: 2 (Assessment)

Title: Stocking of Freshwater prawn (*Macrobrachium rosenbergii*) with IMC fingerlings in village pond/Reservoir

Objectives: 1. To reduce the farming

cost by using use maximum natural resources (Food, water body etc.)

2. To increase total yield and Income.

Experimental Animal: IMC fingerlings (Catlacatla) and M. rosenbergii

Problem diagram :-

| Over stocking of seeds | Stocking of Freshwater prawn (Macrobrachium rosenbergii) | Minimum usage of natural resources |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Single Species stocking | with IMC fingerlings in village | Total production decrease |
| Lack of knowledge | pond/Reservoir | Low income |

Treatment: 1. **Farmer's practices**:- stocking a single species *Catlacatla* into ponds/reservoir.

2. Assessment:- stocking of M. rosenbergii with Catlacatla fingerlings into ponds/reservoir

No of Replications: 3 farmers

Source of Technology:-Central Inland Fisheries Research Institute, Barrakpore, Calcutta.

Thematic area: Production and management

Observations:

- 1. Average body weight of IMC and Prawn at the time of harvesting
- 2. Total production of fish and prawn (in KG.) at the time of harvesting from village pond/reservoir
- 3. Total Net income

OFT:-3 Sesame

Title: Assessment of the performance of high yielding Sesame varieties in summer irrigated condition for Jamnagar District

Objective: To find out suitable high yielding sesame variety for summer irrigated condition **Problem definition:**

- 1. Low yield.
- 2. Threat to the sustainability of crop production

- 3. High cost of production
- 4. Shortage of irrigation water

Problem diagram :-

| Improper cultivation practices | Assessment of the | Multi season cropping system |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Low yielding variety | performance of high | Irregular irrigation/ irregular rainfall |
| Lack of knowledge about balance | yielding Sesame varieties | Lack of knowledge about pest |
| use of nutritional | in summer irrigated | outbreaks and its management |
| recommendation | condition for Jamnagar | outbreaks and its management |
| High Wind velocity | District | In judicious use of chemical fertilizer |

Treatments:

- 1. T₁:- G. Til 2 (Farmers Practices)
- 2. T₂:- G. Til 3
- 3. T₃:-G. Til 5

No. of Replication :- 3 (Farmers)

Source of Technology: - Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh

Thematic area: Varietal evaluation

Observations:-

Yield (Kg/ha), Plant Height (cm), Capsule per plant, 1000 seed weight (g),

Maturity days, Economics

OFT: 4 Groundnut

- 1. Title: Assessment of suitable high yielding Groundnut Variety in kharif season for Jamnagar District
- 2. Objective:: To find out suitable high yielding groundnut variety for kharif season

Problem definition:

- 1. Low yield.
- 2. Threat to the sustainability of crop production
- 3. High cost of production
- 4. Lack of well distributed rainfall & low rainfall

Problem diagram :-

| Improper cultivation practices | A | Multi season cropping system | | |
|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--|--|
| Low yielding variety | Assessment of suitable high | Mono-cropping system | | |
| Irregular rainfall | yielding | Lack of knowledge about nutrient | | |
| iiiegulai Taliiiali | Groundnut Variety | management | | |
| Heavy incidence of pest and disease attack | in kharif season for Jamnagar | In judicious use of chemical fertilizer | | |
| In judicious use of pesticide | District | Heavy infestation of white grub was found | | |

Treatments:

1. T₁:- GG-20(Farmers Practices)

T₂:- GJG-22
 T₃:- GjG-32

No. of Replication :- 3 (Farmers)

Source of Technology: - Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh

Thematic area: Varietal evaluation

Observation:

Pod & Haulm yield (kg/ha), Plant Height (cm) at harvest time, No. of branches per plant, No. of pods per plant,

100 pods weight (g),

100 kernel weight (g), Economics

OFT-5 Solar cooker

Title:- Comparison of solar cooker with traditional cooking system

Items:- (1. Murbba 2. sweet potato 3. sweet corn 4. Salted -Roasted groundnut)

Objective:-

- 1. To improve quality of Prepared items
- 2. To reduce drudgery of farm women
- 3. To reduce time and fuel consumption

Treatment: - Item no. 1

- 1. Preparation by traditional method
- 2. preparation by sunlight heat
- 3. preparation by solar cooker

Treatment: - Item no. 2-4

- 1. Preparation by traditional method
- 2. Preparation by roasting
- 3. Preparation by solar cooker

No. of Replications: - 4

Source of Technology :- Department of renewable energy

Observations:-

- 1. Time consumption
- 2. Fuel consumption
- 3. Movement
- 4. Organo laptic test
 - a. Colour
 - b. Texture,
 - c. Test
 - d. Overall acceptance
- 5. Self life

OFT-6

Title: Management of thrips in chilli.

Objective: To minimize the thrips incidence in chilli. To reduce injudicious use of chemical pesticide. To minimize residual effect of chemical

Problem definition:

- 1. Heavy infestation of Thrips was found
- 2. Lack of seed treatment and improper cultivation practices
- 3. Lack of knowledge about pest outbreaks and its management
- 4. Injudicious use of nitrogenous fertilizer

Problem diagram :-

| Resurgence of thrips | | Multi season cropping system |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| Mono-cropping system | Management - | Lack of knowledge about pest outbreaks and its management |
| Lack of seed treatment | of thrips in | Lack of improper cultivation practices |
| In judicious use of pesticide | chilli | In judicious use of chemical fertilizer |
| Irregular irrigation | | Improper use of FYM (without decomposition) |

Treatments:

- 1. **Farmer's Practices**:-Injudicious use of insecticides. [use of chlorpyriphos, quinalphos, flubendiamide, imidacloprid, Fipronil, Thiamethoxam, cypermethrin, lamdacyhalothrin after infestation of thrips at weekly interval without follow ETL]
- 2. **Recommendation**:-Seed treatment with imidacloprid 70 WS (7.5 g/kg seed) and dipping of seedling before transplanting for two hours in solution of imidacloprid 17.8 SL (10 ml/10 litre water) or thiamethoxam 25 WG (10 g/10 litre water). Spraying of spinosad 45 SC (3 ml/10 litre water)
- 3. **Refinement:-** Spray of *Bearuveria bassiana* @ 5 g/lit of water at 15 days interval

No. of Replication: 3 (Farmers)

Source of Technology: - Junagadh Agricultural University

Thematic area: IPM Observations:

- 1. Record thrips population from five randomly selected plants from each plot at 7 days after spray
- 2. Record yield at every picking.

OFT-7 Garlic

Title: Management of purple blotch of garlic.

Objective: To minimize the infestation of purple blotch of garlic. To increase production. To reduce yield loss of garlic

Problem definition: Incidence of Thrips is increase

- 6. Heavy infestation of Thrips and purple blotch was found
- 7. Lack of seed treatment and improper cultivation practices
- 8. Lack of knowledge about pest, diseases outbreaks and its management
- 9. Injudicious use of nitrogenous fertilizer
- 10. Lack of fungicides use as preventive measure

Problem diagram :-

| Improper cultivation practices | | Multi season cropping system |
|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|
| Mono-cropping system | | Heavy infestation of purple blotch |
| World-Cropping system | | was found |
| Lack of seed treatment | Management of | Lack of knowledge about diseases |
| Lack of seed freatment | purple blotch of | outbreaks and its management |
| In judicious use of | garlic | In judicious use of chemical |
| pesticide/fungicide | | fertilizer |
| Irrogular irrigation | | Improper use of FYM (without |
| Irregular irrigation | | decomposition) |

Treatments:

- 1. **Farmer's Practices**:-Injudicious use of fungicide (Spray insecticides at weekly interval), spray fungicide after initiation/heavy infestation of diseases.
- 2. **Recommendation**:-Foliar sprays of Mancozeb @0.25%, Tricyclazole @ 0.1% and Hexaconazole @0.1% at 30, 45 and 60 days respectively after transplanting helps in checking disease incidence. (Junagadh Agricultural University; Director of Onion & Garlic Research Station, ICAR)
- 3. **Refinement:** Application of Trichoderma @ 5 kg/ha along with FYM @ 1 tonne/ha by broadcasting method + Foliar sprays of Hexaconazole @ 0.1% and Tebuconazole @0.1% at 40 and 60 days respectively after transplanting helps in checking disease incidence.

No. of Replication: 3 (Farmers)

Source of Technology: - Junagadh Agricultural University; Director of Onion & Garlic Research Station, ICAR

Thematic area: IDM Observations:

- 1. Record no. of infected plant per 1 meter row length
- 2. Yield data

3.3 FRONTLINE DEMONSTRATIONS

A. Details of FLDs to be organized –

| 1_ | | | | rganized – | | L | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------|------|--------------------------------------------|
| | | Name of | Themati | Technology | Critical Inputs | Seaso | | | Paramet |
| No | • • | Variety | c area | demonstrated | | n and | (ha.) | of | ers |
| | Enterpri | Enterpris | | | | year | | farm | identifie |
| | se | es | | | | | | ers | d |
| | | | | | | | | /De | |
| | | | | | | | | mo. | |
| 1 | Cotton | Bt. | IPM/IN | Insecticide, | Azadirechtin, | Kh-19 | 10 | 25 | Pest |
| | | Cotton | М | Bio pesticide | Profenophos.,MDP,SNPV | , | | | populatio |
| | | | | · | Beauveria bassiana | | | | n, yield |
| 2 | Chicory | | ICM | Bio pesticide | Beauveria bassiana | Kh-19 | 2 | 5 | Yield |
| | Cilicoly | | | Bio fertilizer | Azotobacter, PSB | 1111 13 | _ | | |
| 3 | Wheat | GW-463 | Varieta | Variety | seed | Rabi- | 4 | 10 | Yield |
| | vviicat | 011 100 | I | variety | 3000 | 19 | | | 11010 |
| 4 | Cumin | GC-4 | IPM/ID | Bio pesticide | Trichoderma, Beauveria | Rabi- | 4 | 10 | Yield, % |
| " | Cullilli | GC 4 | M | Bio fertilizer | bassiana | 19 | 1 | 10 | Plant |
| | | | 101 | bio fertilizer | Azotobacter, PSB | 15 | | | damage |
| 5 | . . | Guiarat | IPM/ID | Dio posticido | | 5.1. | | 10 | |
|) | Ajwain | Gujarat | - | Bio pesticide | Trichoderma, Beauveria bassiana | Rabi- | 4 | 10 | Yield, % Plant |
| | | Ajwain-2 | M | Bio fertilizer | | 19 | | | |
| _ | | 00.0 | 1014/10 | D: | Azotobacter, PSB | 5 1 : | | 20 | damage |
| 6 | Coriand | GC-2 | IPM/ID | Bio pesticide | Trichoderma, Beauveria | | 8 | 20 | Yield |
| | er | | M | Bio fertilizer | bassiana | 19 | | | |
| | | | | | Azotobacter, PSB | | | | |
| 7 | Pearl | GHB-732 | Varietal | Variety | Seed (GHB-732) | Sum- | 4 | 10 | Yield |
| | Millet | | | | 1.5 kg | 19-20 | | | |
| Otl | her Scher | ne | | | | | | | |
| 11 | NFSM- | GG-5 | Improve | Improved Variety, | Seed(GG-5), Beauveria | Rabi- | 20 | 50 | Yield, % |
| _ | Chickpe | | d | Bio pesticide, | bassiana, | 19-20 | | | pod |
| | | | Variety | Bio fungicide, | , | | | | damage |
| | | | | | | | | | aamage |
| | а | | | • | Trichoderma, | | | | |
| | d | | with | Bio fertilizer | Trichoderma, PSB, Rhizobium | | | | |
| 12 | | GIG-22/ | with ICM | Bio fertilizer | PSB, Rhizobium | KH-10 | 30 | 75 | Vield % |
| 12 | NMOOP | | with ICM Improve | • | PSB, Rhizobium Seed (GJG-22/GJG-9) | KH-19 | 30 | 75 | Yield, % |
| | NMOOP - | GJG 9 | with ICM Improve d | Bio fertilizer | PSB, Rhizobium Seed (GJG-22/GJG-9) Beauveria bassian, | KH-19 | 30 | 75 | pod |
| | NMOOP - Groundn | GJG 9 | with ICM Improve | Bio fertilizer | PSB, Rhizobium Seed (GJG-22/GJG-9) Beauveria bassian, Trichoderma, PSB, | KH-19 | 30 | 75 | · . |
| | NMOOP - | GJG 9 | with ICM Improve d | Bio fertilizer | PSB, Rhizobium Seed (GJG-22/GJG-9) Beauveria bassian, Trichoderma, PSB, Azotobacter and Micro | KH-19 | 30 | 75 | pod |
| | NMOOP - Groundn ut | GJG 9 | with ICM Improve d Variety | Bio fertilizer | PSB, Rhizobium Seed (GJG-22/GJG-9) Beauveria bassian, Trichoderma, PSB, | KH-19 | 30 | 75 | pod damage |
| | NMOOP - Groundn ut | GJG 9 | with ICM Improve d Variety | Bio fertilizer | PSB, Rhizobium Seed (GJG-22/GJG-9) Beauveria bassian, Trichoderma, PSB, Azotobacter and Micro | KH-19 | 30 | 75 | pod |
| | NMOOP - Groundn ut | GJG 9 | with ICM Improve d Variety | Bio fertilizer Improved Variety | PSB, Rhizobium Seed (GJG-22/GJG-9) Beauveria bassian, Trichoderma, PSB, Azotobacter and Micro nutrient | | 20 | | pod damage |
| | NMOOP - Groundn ut | GJG 9 | with ICM Improve d Variety Improve | Bio fertilizer Improved Variety Improved Variety, | PSB, Rhizobium Seed (GJG-22/GJG-9) Beauveria bassian, Trichoderma, PSB, Azotobacter and Micro nutrient Seed(GTil-3/5), Beauveria bassian, | Sum- | 20 | | pod damage |
| | NMOOP - Groundn ut NMOOP - | GJG 9 | with ICM Improve d Variety Improve d | Bio fertilizer Improved Variety Improved Variety, | PSB, Rhizobium Seed (GJG-22/GJG-9) Beauveria bassian, Trichoderma, PSB, Azotobacter and Micro nutrient Seed(GTil-3/5), Beauveria bassian, Trichoderma, | Sum- | 20 | | pod damage Yield, % pod |
| | NMOOP - Groundn ut NMOOP - | GJG 9 | with ICM Improve d Variety Improve d Variety | Bio fertilizer Improved Variety Improved Variety, | PSB, Rhizobium Seed (GJG-22/GJG-9) Beauveria bassian, Trichoderma, PSB, Azotobacter and Micro nutrient Seed(GTil-3/5), Beauveria bassian, Trichoderma, Pendimethalin, | Sum- | 20 | | pod damage Yield, % pod |
| | NMOOP - Groundn ut NMOOP - | GJG 9 | with ICM Improve d Variety Improve d Variety with | Bio fertilizer Improved Variety Improved Variety, | PSB, Rhizobium Seed (GJG-22/GJG-9) Beauveria bassian, Trichoderma, PSB, Azotobacter and Micro nutrient Seed(GTil-3/5), Beauveria bassian, Trichoderma, Pendimethalin, PSB, Azotobacter and | Sum- | 20 | | pod damage Yield, % pod |
| 13 | NMOOP Groundn ut NMOOP Sesame | GJG 9 | with ICM Improve d Variety Improve d Variety with ICM | Bio fertilizer Improved Variety Improved Variety, with ICM | PSB, Rhizobium Seed (GJG-22/GJG-9) Beauveria bassian, Trichoderma, PSB, Azotobacter and Micro nutrient Seed(GTil-3/5), Beauveria bassian, Trichoderma, Pendimethalin, PSB, Azotobacter and Micro nutrient | Sum- 19-20 | 20 | 50 | pod damage Yield, % pod damage |
| | NMOOP - Groundn ut NMOOP - Sesame | GJG 9 GTil -3/5 | with ICM Improve d Variety Improve d Variety with | Bio fertilizer Improved Variety Improved Variety, with ICM | PSB, Rhizobium Seed (GJG-22/GJG-9) Beauveria bassian, Trichoderma, PSB, Azotobacter and Micro nutrient Seed(GTil-3/5), Beauveria bassian, Trichoderma, Pendimethalin, PSB, Azotobacter and Micro nutrient Beauveriabassiana, | Sum- | 20 | | pod damage Yield, % pod |
| 13 | NMOOP Groundn ut NMOOP Sesame | GJG 9 | with ICM Improve d Variety Improve d Variety with ICM | Bio fertilizer Improved Variety Improved Variety, with ICM | PSB, Rhizobium Seed (GJG-22/GJG-9) Beauveria bassian, Trichoderma, PSB, Azotobacter and Micro nutrient Seed(GTil-3/5), Beauveria bassian, Trichoderma, Pendimethalin, PSB, Azotobacter and Micro nutrient | Sum- 19-20 | 20 | 50 | pod damage Yield, % pod damage |
| 13 | NMOOP - Groundn ut NMOOP - Sesame | GJG 9 GTil -3/5 | with ICM Improve d Variety Improve d Variety with ICM | Bio fertilizer Improved Variety Improved Variety, with ICM | PSB, Rhizobium Seed (GJG-22/GJG-9) Beauveria bassian, Trichoderma, PSB, Azotobacter and Micro nutrient Seed(GTil-3/5), Beauveria bassian, Trichoderma, Pendimethalin, PSB, Azotobacter and Micro nutrient Beauveriabassiana, | Sum- 19-20 | 20 | 50 | pod damage Yield, % pod damage |
| 13 | NMOOP - Groundn ut NMOOP - Sesame ATIC Cotton | GJG 9 GTil -3/5 BT cotton | with ICM Improve d Variety Improve d Variety with ICM | Bio fertilizer Improved Variety Improved Variety, with ICM Bio pesticide Bio fertilizer | PSB, Rhizobium Seed (GJG-22/GJG-9) Beauveria bassian, Trichoderma, PSB, Azotobacter and Micro nutrient Seed(GTil-3/5), Beauveria bassian, Trichoderma, Pendimethalin, PSB, Azotobacter and Micro nutrient Beauveriabassiana, SNPV, MDP, PSB and Azatobector | Sum- 19-20 Kh-19 | 20 | 50 | pod damage Yield, % pod damage |
| 13 | NMOOP Groundn ut NMOOP Sesame ATIC Cotton | GJG 9 GTil -3/5 | with ICM Improve d Variety Improve d Variety with ICM | Bio fertilizer Improved Variety Improved Variety, with ICM Bio pesticide Bio fertilizer Bio pesticide | PSB, Rhizobium Seed (GJG-22/GJG-9) Beauveria bassian, Trichoderma, PSB, Azotobacter and Micro nutrient Seed(GTil-3/5), Beauveria bassian, Trichoderma, Pendimethalin, PSB, Azotobacter and Micro nutrient Beauveriabassiana, SNPV, MDP, PSB and Azatobector Beauveria bassiana, | Sum- 19-20 | 20 | 50 | pod damage Yield, % pod damage |
| 13 | NMOOP - Groundn ut NMOOP - Sesame ATIC Cotton | GJG 9 GTil -3/5 BT cotton | with ICM Improve d Variety Improve d Variety with ICM | Bio fertilizer Improved Variety Improved Variety, with ICM Bio pesticide Bio fertilizer | PSB, Rhizobium Seed (GJG-22/GJG-9) Beauveria bassian, Trichoderma, PSB, Azotobacter and Micro nutrient Seed(GTil-3/5), Beauveria bassian, Trichoderma, Pendimethalin, PSB, Azotobacter and Micro nutrient Beauveriabassiana, SNPV, MDP, PSB and Azatobector | Sum- 19-20 Kh-19 | 20 | 50 | pod damage Yield, % pod damage |

| 16 | ATIC | GC-4 | ICM | Bio pesticide | Beauveria bassiana, PSB, | Rabi- | 10 | 25 | Yield |
|----|---------|------|-----|----------------|--------------------------|-------|-----|----|-------|
| | Cumin | | | Bio fertilizer | Azotobector | 19 | | | |
| | | | | | Trichoderma | | | | |
| 17 | ATIC | GC-2 | ICM | Bio pesticide | PSB, Azotobector, | Rabi- | 10 | 25 | Yield |
| | Coriand | | | Bio fertilizer | Beauveria bassiana, | 19 | | | |
| | er | | | | Trichoderma | | | | |
| | | | | | Total | | | 41 | |
| | | | | | | | 166 | 5 | |

Sponsored Demonstration

| Crop | Area (ha) | No. of farmers |
|------|-----------|----------------|
| - | - | - |

C. Details of FLD on Enterprises

a. Farm Implements

| Name of the implement | Crop | Season and year | No. of farmers | Area (ha) | Critical inputs | Performance parameters / indicators |
|-----------------------|------|--------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | |

b. Livestock Enterprises

| Enterprise | Kreed | | No. of animals, poultry birds/ha. etc. | Critical inputs | Performance parameters / indicators |
|------------|-------|---|----------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Animial | Gir | 3 | 3 | Bypass Fat | % of Fat increase |
| Husbandry | | | | | Total Production increase |

c. FLD on Other enterprises

| Enterprise | Name of the technology demonstrated | No. of farmers | No. of units | Critical inputs | Performance parameters / indicators |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Kitchen gardening | Nutritional gardening | 50 | 50 | Vegetable seeds | Yield |
| Okra Mittens | Vegetable mitten | 5 | 5 | Vegetable mitter | Picking |
| | | | | | efficiency, effect |
| | | | | | on skin, |
| Apron | Cotton picking apron | 5 | 5 | Apron | Picking |
| | | | | | efficiency |

3.4 TRAINING (INCLUDING THE SPONSORED AND FLD TRAINING PROGRAMMES

ON Campus

| | No. of | | | No. c | of parti | cipant | | |
|------------------------------------------|--------|------|--------|-------|----------|--------|-------|-------|
| (A) Farmers & Farm Women | couses | | others | | | SC/ST | | Grand |
| | | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Total |
| I Crop Production | 3 | 69 | 2 | 71 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 75 |
| II Horticulture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| III Soil Health and Fertility Management | 1 | 18 | 5 | 23 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 25 |
| IV Livestock Production and Management | 2 | 25 | 20 | 45 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 60 |
| V Home Science/Women empowerment | 3 | 0 | 66 | 66 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 75 |
| VI Agril. Engineering | 1 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| VII Plant Protection | 3 | 72 | 0 | 72 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 75 |
| VIII Fisheries | 2 | 30 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 60 |
| IX Production of Inputs at site | 1 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 25 |

| X Capacity Building and Group Dynamics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|----------------------------------------|----|-----|----|-----|----|----|----|-----|
| XI Agro-forestry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| XII Others (Pl. Specify) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (A) | 16 | 262 | 93 | 355 | 15 | 50 | 65 | 420 |
| (B) RURAL YOUTH | 2 | 36 | 0 | 36 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 50 |
| (C) Extension Personnel | 2 | 40 | 0 | 40 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 50 |
| Grand Total (A+B+C) | 20 | 338 | 93 | 431 | 39 | 50 | 89 | 520 |

Off Campus

| | No. of | | | No. c | of parti | cipant | | |
|------------------------------------------|--------|------|--------|-------|----------|--------|-------|-------|
| (A) Farmers & Farm Women | couses | | others | | | SC/ST | | Grand |
| | | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Total |
| I Crop Production | 3 | 64 | 11 | 75 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 80 |
| II Horticulture | 1 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| III Soil Health and Fertility Management | 4 | 89 | 21 | 110 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 115 |
| IV Livestock Production and Management | 3 | 70 | 0 | 70 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 85 |
| V Home Science/Women empowerment | 5 | 0 | 108 | 108 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 125 |
| VI Agril. Engineering | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VII Plant Protection | 6 | 130 | 0 | 130 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 150 |
| VIII Fisheries | 3 | 50 | 0 | 50 | 18 | 7 | 25 | 75 |
| IX Production of Inputs at site | 1 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 25 |
| X Capacity Building and Group Dynamics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| XI Agro-forestry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| XII Others (Pl. Specify) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (A) | 26 | 425 | 165 | 590 | 64 | 26 | 90 | 680 |
| (B) RURAL YOUTH | 1 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 25 |
| (C) Extension Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Total (A+B+C) | 27 | 425 | 184 | 609 | 64 | 32 | 96 | 705 |

Consolidated (On + Off Campus)

| | No. of | | | No. c | of parti | cipant | | |
|------------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|----------|--------|-------|-------|
| (A) Farmers & Farm Women | couses | others | | | SC/ST | | | Grand |
| | | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Total |
| I Crop Production | 6 | 133 | 13 | 146 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 155 |
| II Horticulture | 1 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| III Soil Health and Fertility Management | 5 | 107 | 26 | 133 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 140 |
| IV Livestock Production and Management | 5 | 95 | 20 | 115 | 20 | 10 | 30 | 145 |
| V Home Science/Women empowerment | 8 | 0 | 174 | 174 | 0 | 26 | 26 | 200 |
| VI Agril. Engineering | 1 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| VII Plant Protection | 9 | 202 | 0 | 202 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 225 |
| VIII Fisheries | 5 | 80 | 0 | 80 | 18 | 37 | 55 | 135 |
| IX Production of Inputs at site | 2 | 45 | 0 | 45 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 50 |
| X Capacity Building and Group Dynamics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| XI Agro-forestry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| XII Others (Pl. Specify) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (A) | 42 | 687 | 258 | 945 | 79 | 76 | 155 | 1100 |
| (B) RURAL YOUTH | 3 | 36 | 19 | 55 | 14 | 6 | 20 | 75 |
| (C) Extension Personnel | 2 | 40 | 0 | 40 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 50 |
| Grand Total (A+B+C) | 47 | 763 | 277 | 1040 | 103 | 82 | 185 | 1225 |

Details of training programmes attached in **Annexure -I**

3.5. Extension Activities (including activities of FLD programmes)

| | | • | Farmers | | Extension Officials | | | Total | | |
|-----------------------------------------|------------|------|---------|-------|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|
| Nature of Extension | No. of | | | | | Femal | | | | |
| Activity | activities | Male | Female | Total | Male | е | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| Field Day | 12 | 210 | 35 | 245 | 65 | 50 | 115 | 275 | 85 | 360 |
| KisanMela | 1 | 1200 | 250 | 1450 | 200 | 50 | 250 | 1400 | 300 | 1700 |
| KisanGhosthi | 10 | 300 | 125 | 425 | 200 | 100 | 300 | 500 | 225 | 725 |
| Exhibition | 5 | 4000 | 1000 | 5000 | 2000 | 800 | 2800 | 6000 | 1800 | 7800 |
| Film Show | 50 | 1500 | 400 | 1900 | 900 | 300 | 1200 | 2400 | 700 | 3100 |
| Method | 2 | 20 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 50 | 60 | 30 | 60 | 90 |
| demonstration | | | | 30 | 10 | | | | | |
| Farmers Seminar | 5 | 250 | 40 | 290 | 80 | 10 | 90 | 330 | 50 | 380 |
| Workshop | 1 | 200 | 100 | 300 | 100 | 80 | 180 | 300 | 180 | 480 |
| Group meetings | 12 | 120 | 30 | 150 | 50 | 30 | 80 | 170 | 60 | 230 |
| Lectures delivered as | 55 | 8000 | 1500 | 9500 | 3000 | 1000 | 4000 | 11000 | 2500 | 13500 |
| resource persons | | | | | | | | | | |
| Newspaper coverage | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Radio talks | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TV talks | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Popular articles | 3 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 40 | 40 |
| Extension Literature | 7 | 2500 | 200 | 2700 | 1200 | 100 | 1300 | 3700 | 300 | 4000 |
| Advisory Services | 10 | 100 | 10 | 110 | 50 | 10 | 60 | 150 | 20 | 170 |
| Scientific visit to farmers field | 50 | 200 | 20 | 220 | 60 | 5 | 65 | 260 | 25 | 285 |
| Farmers visit to KVK | 80 | 300 | 20 | 320 | 40 | 10 | 50 | 340 | 30 | 370 |
| Diagnostic visits | 32 | 30 | 5 | 35 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 35 | 7 | 42 |
| Exposure visits | 2 | 30 | 0 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 40 | 0 | 40 |
| Ex-trainees Sammelan | 3 | 20 | 5 | 25 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 24 | 6 | 30 |
| Soil health Camp | 3 | 100 | 20 | 120 | 30 | 20 | 50 | 130 | 40 | 170 |
| Animal Health Camp | 3 | 50 | 10 | 60 | 20 | 5 | 25 | 70 | 15 | 85 |
| Agri mobile clinic | 30 | 3000 | 100 | 3100 | 1000 | 100 | 1100 | 4000 | 200 | 4200 |
| Soil test campaigns | 2 | 110 | 10 | 120 | 40 | 10 | 50 | 150 | 20 | 170 |
| Farm Science Club Conveners meet | 3 | 100 | 10 | 110 | 40 | 10 | 50 | 140 | 20 | 160 |
| Self Help Group Conveners meetings | 3 | 40 | 20 | 60 | 20 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 40 | 100 |
| Mahila Mandals Conveners meetings | 6 | 10 | 50 | 60 | 10 | 40 | 50 | 20 | 90 | 110 |
| Celebration of important days (specify) | 3 | 150 | 40 | 190 | 60 | 30 | 90 | 210 | 70 | 280 |
| Krishi Mohostva | 5 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 40 | 40 |
| Krishi Rath | 3 | 40 | 0 | 40 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 60 | 0 | 60 |
| Pre Kharif workshop | 3 | 80 | 0 | 80 | 30 | 0 | 30 | 110 | 0 | 110 |
| Pre Rabi workshop | 7 | 250 | 40 | 290 | 100 | 30 | 130 | 350 | 70 | 420 |

| Total | 424 | 23150 | 4120 | 27270 | 9444 | 2918 | 12362 | 32594 | 7038 | 39632 |
|---------------------|-----|-------|------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|
| Any Other (Specify) | 5 | 220 | 20 | 240 | 90 | 10 | 100 | 310 | 30 | 340 |
| PPVFRA workshop | 1 | 20 | 10 | 30 | 10 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 15 | 45 |

3.6 Target for Production and supply of Technological products SEED MATERIALS

| SI. No. | Crop | Variety | Quantity (qtl.) |
|------------------|------------|---------|-----------------|
| CEREALS | Wheat | GW-496 | 150 |
| OILSEEDS | Groundnut | GJG-9 | 96 |
| | Sesame | G.Til3 | 12 |
| PULSES | Green gram | GM-4 | 6 |
| VEGETABLES | | | |
| OTHERS (Specify) | | | |

PLANTING MATERIALS

| Sl. No. | Crop | Variety | Quantity (Nos.) |
|------------------|---------|----------|-----------------|
| FRUITS | | | |
| SPICES | | | |
| VEGETABLES | Brinjal | GJLB-3,4 | 500 |
| FOREST SPECIES | | | |
| ORNAMENTAL CROPS | | | |
| | | Total | 500 |

Bio-products

| Sl. No. | Product Name | Species | Qua | antity |
|----------------|----------------|---------|-----|--------|
| | | | No | (kg) |
| BIO PESTICIDES | | | | |
| 1 | Beauveria | | | 5000 |
| 2 | Trichoderma | | | 5000 |
| 3 | PSB | | 200 | |
| 4 | Azotobactor | | 200 | |
| 5 | Rhizobium | | 200 | |
| 6 | Pheromone trap | | | |
| 7 | NPV | | | |

LIVESTOCK

| SI. No. | Туре | Breed | Qua | ntity |
|-------------|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| | | | (Nos) | Unit |
| Cattle | | | | |
| GOAT | | | | |
| SHEEP | | | | |
| POULTRY | | | | |
| Pig farming | | | | |
| FISHERIES | Advance Fingerlings | IMC | 500 | |

4. Targets of samples for analysis:

| Details | No. of Samples | No. of Farmers | No. of Villages | Amount to be realized |
|--------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Soil Samples | 500 | 500 | 15 | |

| Water | 50 | 50 | 12 | |
|-------|-----|-----|----|--|
| Plant | | | | |
| Total | 550 | 550 | 27 | |

5. ACTION PLAN OF INFRASTRUCTURE IN KVK

A. Action plan of demonstration units (other than instructional farm)

| SI. | Domo Unit | Demo Unit Year of establishment | | Details of production (expected) | | | Expected (Rs | Remarks | |
|-----|----------------|---------------------------------|------|----------------------------------|---------|------|-----------------|---------|--|
| No. | Delilo Ollit | | | Variety | Produce | Qty. | Cost of | Gross | |
| | | | | variety | Fiduace | Qty. | inputs | income | |
| 1 | Crop Cafeteria | Every year | 0.5 | - | - | - | 100000 | - | |
| 2 | Vermicompost | 2008 | 0.1 | - | - | - | 50000 | 70000 | |
| 3 | Animal unit | 2007 | - | Gir | - | - | 50000 | 61200 | |
| 4 | Fisheries | 2008 | 0.06 | IMC | 120 | Kg. | 1000 | 3600 | |

B. Action plan of instructional farm (Crops) including seed production

| Name | | Details of pro | oduction (expe | ected) | Expected Am | ount (Rs.) | Remarks |
|---------------------------|-----------|----------------|--------------------|--------|----------------|-----------------|---------|
| Name of the crop | Area (ha) | Variety | Type of Produce | Qty. | Cost of inputs | Gross income | |
| Cereals | | | | | | | |
| Wheat | 3 | GW-496 | Truthful | 150 | 180000 | 300000 | |
| Pulses | | | | | | | |
| Green gram | 1 | GM-4 | Truthful | 6 | 28000 | 54000 | |
| Oilseeds | | | | | | | |
| Groundnut | 8 | GJG-9 | Breeder | 96 | 380000 | 1200000 | |
| Sesame | 2 | G.Til3 | Breeder | 12 | 50000 | 180000 | |
| Fibers | | | | | | | |
| Spices & Plantation crops | | | | | | | |
| Floriculture | | | | | | | |
| Fruits | | | | | | | |
| Vegetables | | | | | | | |
| Others (specify) | • | | | | | | |

C. Action plan of production Units (bio-agents / bio pesticides/ bio fertilizers etc.)

| <u> </u> | . p.a o. p. oa. | | -80:110 / 10:0 P 00:1 | | | | |
|----------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------|------------------------|--|--|
| SI. | Name of the | _ , | Expected Am | | | | |
| No. | Product | Qty (expected) | Cost of inputs | Gross income | Remarks | | |
| 1 | Nil | - | - | - | As per the requirement | | |
| | | | | | | | |

D. Action plan of instructional farm (livestock and fisheries production)

| SI. | Name | lame Details of production (expected) | | | Expected A | | |
|-----|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|----------|----------------|--------------|---------|
| No | of the animal / bird / aquatics | Breed | Type of Produce | Qty. | Cost of inputs | Gross income | Remarks |
| 1 | Cow | Gir | Milk | 1600 lit | 40000 | 51200 | |
| | | | FYM | 3 ton | | 10000 | |

Annexure - I

TRAINING PROGRAMMES

i) Farmers & Farm women (On Campus)

| Date | Clientele | Title of the training programme | Duration | | umber | | | mber | of | G. |
|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------------------|----------|----|---------|----|---|-------|-----|-------|
| | | | in days | | rticipa | 1 | | SC/ST | T | Total |
| | | | | M | F | Т | M | F | Т | |
| Crop Produ | | Davidia - Farmana in a sur allo a si a si sur ili | | 24 | 0 | 24 | 4 | | _ | 25 |
| Quarter-1 st | PF | Doubling Farmers income through scientific | 4 | 24 | 0 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 25 |
| Overter 1st | DE | production technology of major kharif crops | 2 | 21 | 2 | 22 | 2 | | 2 | 25 |
| Quarter-1 st | PF | Groundnut seed production Technology for | 2 | 21 | 2 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 25 |
| Overter | DE | doubling farmers income | 4 | 24 | 0 | 24 | 1 | | 1 | 25 |
| Quarter– 3 rd | PF | Organic Farming: A Step towards doubling | 4 | 24 | 0 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 25 |
| Livestock p | d | farmers income | | | | | | | | |
| Quarter-1 st | | Food and Fodder Management in Animal | 1 2 | 25 | 0 | 25 | г | 0 | | 20 |
| Quarter-1** | PF | Feed and Fodder Management in Animal | 3 | 25 | U | 25 | 5 | U | 5 | 30 |
| Ouerter | PF | Husbandry | 4 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 20 |
| Quarter- 2 nd | PF | Additional income generation through | 4 | U | 20 | 20 | U | 10 | 10 | 30 |
| 2 | | Animal Husbandry by higher milk production | | | | | | | | |
| | | by improving Breed and Nutrition & Feed | | | | | | | | |
| Agril Enga | | Management | | | | | | | | |
| Agril. Engg. Quarter- | PF | Water management through micro irrigation | 2 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| 2 nd | PF | | 2 | 25 | U | 25 | U | U | U | 25 |
| 2 | | system in kharif crops doubling the farmers | | | | | | | | |
| Homo Co | | income | | | | | | | | |
| Home Sc. Quarter-1st | DE. | la como por continuo cotiviti co for | 1 1 | _ | 22 | 22 | _ | 1 | T 2 | 25 |
| Quarter-1* | PF | Income generation activities for | 1 | 0 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 25 |
| | | empowerment of rural Women for doubling | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | DE | the farmers income | 4 | _ | 25 | 25 | _ | _ | _ | 25 |
| Quarter- | PF | Value addition in fruits, vegetables and | 4 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| 2 nd | | agriculture produce for doubling the farmers | | | | | | | | |
| 0 1 | 55 | income | | | 40 | 10 | | | _ | 25 |
| Quarter– | PF | Importance of nutrition in daily diet and | 1 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 25 |
| 3 rd | | techniques of Minimization of nutrition loss | | | | | | | | |
| D I . | | in processing | | | | | | | | |
| Plan prot. | l | lines : | | | | | | | | |
| Quarter-1 st | PF | IPM in vegetable and summer crops for | 2 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 25 |
| | | doubling the farmers income | | | | | _ | _ | | |
| Quarter- | PF | Bio-control of pest & Diseases for doubling | 2 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| 2 nd | | the farmers income | | | | | _ | _ | _ | |
| Quarter– | PF | IPM and IDM in rabi crops for doubling the | 3 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| 3 rd | | farmers income | | | | | | | | |
| Fisheries | | T | I _ | T | | | | | 1 - | |
| Quarter- | PF | Doubling the income in brackish water | 5 | 30 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 2 nd | | Aquaculture-Shrimp Farming: Culture, Feed | | | | | | | | |
| | | Management, Diseases and its prevention. | | | | | | | | |
| Quarter – | PF | Natural resources for additional income | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| 3 rd | | generation in fisheries sector-Sea Weeds: | | | | | | | | |
| | | types, importance, culture techniques and | | | | | | | | |
| | | various uses. | | | | | | | | |
| Production | <u> </u> | | 1 | 1 | ı | | 1 | 1 | 1 | ı |
| Quarter- | PF | Vermi-compost production for doubling the | 1 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 25 |
| 4 th | | farmers income | | | | | | | | |
| Soil Health | | T | T | 1 | Т | | 1 | Т | | ı |
| Quarter- | PF | Importance of major and micro nutrient in | 1 | 18 | 5 | 23 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 25 |
| 2 nd | | crops production for doubling the farmers | | | | | | | | |
| | | income | | | | | | | | |

ii) Farmers & Farm women (Off Campus)

| Date | Clientele | Title of the training programme | Duration | | umber | | Νι | umber o | of | G. |
|----------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----|---------|----|----|---------|----|-------|
| | | | in days | | rticipa | | | SC/ST | _ | Total |
| Cuan Duadin | 4: | | | M | F | T | M | F | Т | |
| Crop Produc Quarter-2 nd | PF | Interpreted Mandagers and in | 1 | 21 | 3 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 25 |
| Quarter-2" | PF | Integrated Weed Management in Oilseed crops for doubling the farmers income | 1 | 21 | 3 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 25 |
| Quarter-3 rd | PF | Pre-seasonal training on rabi crops (Chickpea, Cumin, Wheat)for doubling the farmers income | 1 | 23 | 2 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Quarter-3 rd | PF | Techniques of weed Management in Pulse crop for doubling the farmers income | 1 | 20 | 6 | 26 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 30 |
| Horticulture | | | | | | | | | | |
| Quarter-1 st | PF | Processing and value addition in spices crops for doubling the farmers income | 1 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Livestock pr | od. | | | | | | | | | |
| Quarter-1 st | PF | Common diseases and its remedies in cattle. | 1 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Quarter-2 nd | PF | Importance of Nutrients and Feed Management in Animal Husbandry to increase milk production and diseases control. | 1 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 30 |
| Quarter-3 rd | PF | Importance of selection, housing, feed, breeding and health of animals for more profits in dairy industries | 4 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 30 |
| Home Sc. | I. | , | | ı | I | I | | | | |
| Quarter-1 st | PF | House hold food security by kitchen gardening and nutrition gardening for doubling the farmers income | 1 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 25 |
| Quarter-2 nd | PF | Location specific drudgery reduction technology for doubling the farmers income | 1 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 25 |
| Quarter-3 rd | PF | Income generation activities for empowerment of rural Women through rural crafts for doubling the farmers income | 4 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 25 |
| Quarter-4 th | PF | food processing and value addition in fruit, vegetable, and other agricultural produce for doubling the farmers income | 1 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Quarter-4 th | PF | Women and Child Care | 1 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Plan prot. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Quarter-1 st | PF | Management of pink bollworm in cotton for doubling the farmers income | 1 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 25 |
| Quarter-2 nd | PF | Management of pink bollworm in cotton & management of white grub in groundnut and other kharif crops | 1 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 25 |
| Quarter-2 nd | PF | Management of diseases in <i>kharif</i> crops | 1 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Quarter-3 rd | PF | Integrated Disease and pest management in cumin and gram for doubling the farmers income | 1 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 25 |
| Quarter-3 rd | PF | IPM in vegetable crops: onion & garlic | 1 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |

| Quarter-4 th | PF | Store grain pests and its management | 1 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
|-------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------------|----|----|---|----|----|---|----|----|
| | | for enhance the losss and double the | | | | | | | | |
| | | income | | | | | | | | |
| Fisheries | | | I. | 1 | | | | | | |
| Quarter-1 st | PF | Importance of composite/mix culture | 1 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| | | of IMC with exotic carp/Fresh water | | | | | | | | |
| | | prawn spp. | | | | | | | | |
| Quarter-3 rd | PF | Pearl production: A source of | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 7 | 25 | 25 |
| | | additional income generation from | | | | | | | | |
| | | inland fisheries | | | | | | | | |
| Quarter-4 th | PF | Doubling the income in inland | 1 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| | | fisheries sector by stocking, rearing | | | | | | | | |
| | | and selling the fish seeds. | | | | | | | | |
| Production o | f Input | s at site | | | | | | | | |
| Quarter-4 th | PF | Seed production technology of | 1 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 25 |
| | | summer sesame for doubling the | | | | | | | | |
| | | farmers income | | | | | | | | |
| Soil Health | | | | | | | | | | |
| Quarter-1 st | PF | Awareness about soil health card | 1 | 20 | 8 | 28 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 30 |
| | | (SHC) | | | | | | | | |
| Quarter-1 st | PF | Use of bio-fertilizers and recycling of | 1 | 28 | 0 | 28 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 30 |
| | | farm waste through composting for | | | | | | | | |
| | | doubling the farmers income | | | | | | | | |
| Quarter-2 nd | PF | Integrated Nutrient Management in | 1 | 22 | 7 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 30 |
| | | Groundnut for doubling the farmers | | | | | | | | |
| | | income | | | | | | | | |
| Quarter-3 rd | PF | Integrated Nutrient Management in | 1 | 19 | 6 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| | | rabi crops for doubling the farmers | | | | | | | | |
| | | income | | | | | | | | |

ii) Vocational training programmes for Rural Youth

| Crop / | Identified Thrust | Training title* | Month | Duration | No. of Participants | | | | | G.Total | |
|------------|--------------------|------------------------------|-------|----------|---------------------|----|----|---|----|---------|----|
| Enterprise | Area | | | (days) | М | F | Т | М | F | Т | |
| Plant | Bio-Pesticide | Production of Bio Pesticides | May | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Protection | | at Small scale level | | | | | | | | | |
| Fruit and | Value addition | Value addition in fruits, | Octo | 4 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 25 |
| Vegetable | | vegetables and agriculture | | | | | | | | | |
| | | produce for doubling farmers | | | | | | | | | |
| | | income | | | | | | | | | |
| Integrated | Integrated Farming | Integrated Farming System | Jan | 4 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 25 |
| Farming | | | | | | | | | | | |

iii) Training programme for extension functionaries

| Date | Clientele | Title of the training programme | Duration in days | No. of participants | | Number of SC/ST | | | G. Total | |
|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|---|-----------------|---|---|-------------|----|
| | | | | М | F | T | М | F | T | |
| On Campus | | | | | | | | | | |
| | EF | Pre-seasonal training on kharif crops (Pigeon | 2 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 25 |
| | | pea, Green gram, Groundnut, Cotton) | | | | | | | | |
| | EF | Crop production technology in Cumin, Gram, | 2 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 25 |
| | | Wheat, Onion, Garlic | | | | | | | | |

Quarter and discipline wise summary of training programme :

| Discipline | Subject | Subject On-Campus | | | | | | Off-Campus | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------|---------|-------------------|----|-----|----|-------|-----|------------|---|----|-------|----|--|--|
| | Code | de Quarter (| | | | | Qua | | | | | | | |
| | | ı | II | III | IV | Total | ı | II | Ш | IV | Total | | | |
| (A) Farmers & Farm Women, Rural Youth | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| I Crop Production | СР | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | | 1 | 2 | | 3 | 6 | | |
| II Horticulture | НО | | | | | 0 | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 | | |
| III Soil Health and Fertility Management | SFM | | 1 | | | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | | 4 | 5 | | |
| IV Livestock Production and Management | LPM | 1 | 1 | | | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 3 | 5 | | |
| V Home Science/Women empowerment | WOE | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 8 | | |
| VI Agril. Engineering | AEG | | 1 | | | 1 | | | | | 0 | 1 | | |
| VII Plant Protection | PLP | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 9 | | |
| VIII Fisheries | FIS | | 1 | 1 | | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | | |
| IX Production of Inputs at site | PI | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 | 2 | | |
| X Capacity Building and Group Dynamics | CBD | | | | | 0 | | | | | 0 | 0 | | |
| (B) Extension Functionaries | EF | 1 | | 1 | | 2 | | | | | 0 | 2 | | |
| (C) Rural youth | RY | 1 | | | 1 | 2 | | | 1 | | 1 | 3 | | |
| Tota | I | 7 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 20 | 7 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 27 | 47 | | |

iv) Sponsored programme

| Discipl Sponsorin Client Title of the training programme No. of No. of Number of G. | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|----------|---------------------------------------------------|--------|--------------|-----|-------|----|----|-------|-----|
| Discipl | Sponsorin | Client | Title of the training programme | No. of | | | | | G. | | |
| ine | g agency | ele | | cours | participants | | SC/ST | | | Total | |
| | | | | е | M | F | Т | M | F | T | |
| a) S | ponsored tra | aining p | rogdramme | | | | | | | | |
| AEG | ATMA | PF | Importance of MIS | 2 | 80 | 0 | 80 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 100 |
| PLP | ATMA | PF | Kharif crop protection and production technology | 3 | 100 | 40 | 140 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 160 |
| SFM, AEG | AGAKHAN | PF | INM and MIS in rabi crops | 2 | 50 | 50 | 100 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 110 |
| PLP | DAO | | Integrated pest and diseases management in cumin | 1 | 60 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 |
| PLP | ATMA | PF | IPM & IDM in groundnut, cotton crops | 1 | 55 | 0 | 55 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 60 |
| PLP | DAO | PF | IPM, IDM, INM in groundnut and cotton | 1 | 55 | 0 | 55 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 60 |
| PLP | ATMA | PF | IPM & IDM in kharif crop | 1 | 55 | 0 | 55 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 60 |
| PLP | Dy.D.Hort. | PF | IPM, IDM, INM in Horticultural Crops | 1 | 55 | 0 | 55 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 60 |
| PLP | ATMA | PF | IPM, IDM, INM in Horticultural Crops | 1 | 55 | 0 | 55 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 60 |
| PLP | DWDU | PF | IPM & IDM in kharif crop | 1 | 55 | 0 | 55 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 60 |
| PLP, CP | ATMA | | Seed Production technology and IPM in these crops | 1 | 55 | 0 | 55 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 60 |
| PLP | ATMA | PF | Storage Techniques and IPM in summer crops | 1 | 0 | 55 | 55 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 60 |
| | | | Total | 16 | 675 | 145 | 820 | 70 | 20 | 90 | 910 |
| b) S | ponsored re | search p | programme | • | | • | • | | | • | |
| | | | Total | | | | | | | | |
| c) A | ny special p | rogramı | nes | • | | • | | | | • | |
| SFM | ATMA | PF | World Soil health day | 1 | 50 | 50 | 100 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 120 |
| WOE | ATMA | PF | Mahila Krushi Divas | 1 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 120 |
| | | | Total | 2 | 50 | 150 | 200 | 10 | 30 | 40 | 240 |

Annexure-II

| New | Technical Project | Pr | oposal 1 (Plant Protection) |
|-----|----------------------------------------|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | Title | : | Knowledge of eco-friendly organic farming practices followed in crop by the |
| | | | farmers of Jamnagar District |
| 2 | Background information | | Organic farming follows the principle of circular causation and has emerged in response to questions on health, environment and sustainability issues. It assesses the status, opportunities and sequestration potentials of in India. It identifies constraints that impede adoption of especially for small farm holders who constitute over 70% of farming community in India. Although India occupies second position in terms of number of certified organic farms (44,926), it is 13th in terms of area under of representing only 0.3 % of total agricultural lands. This scenario appears poor compared to many other countries. Farmer"s apprehension towards in India is rooted in non-availability of sufficient organic supplements, bio fertilizers and local market for organic produce and poor access to guidelines, certification and input costs. An integrated effort is needed from government and non government agencies to encourage farmers to adopt of as a solution to climate change, health and sustainability issue. India's organic food market has potential to grow more than 25 per cent annually to touch \$1.36 billian by 2020. (Joshi, 2017). Organic farming system is not new in our country and is being followed from ancient time. It is a dynamic interaction between the soil, the plants, the ecosystem and the environment which primarily aimed at cultivating land and raising crops in such a way as to keep the soil alive and in good health by use of organic waste i.e. crop, animal and farm waste and other biological material along with beneficial microbes. Gujarat has remained a pioneer state in adopting organic farming. There are more than dozen groups and networks across the state working voluntarily for promotion, training and marketing of organic produce. But still there is a huge gap in efforts being made by govt and adoption of observe and do effort to document the practices followed by farmers who |
| | | | adopted organic farming in the region. Looking to this, the study was empirically |
| 3 | Objective | | carried out with following specific objectives To study the socio-economic profile of farmers. |
| 3 | Objective | • | To assess the adoption level of farmers about organic farming practices |
| | | | To study knowledge of farmers for organic farming practices. |
| 4 | Principal Investigator | : | Dr. K. P. Baraiya, Senior Scientist & Head, KVK, JAU, Jamnagar |
| | Co-investigator | | Smt. A. K. Baraiya, Scientist (Home Science), KVK, JAU, Jamnagar Shri S. H. Lakhani, Scientist (Agronomy), KVK, JAU, Jamnagar Dr. P. V. Patel, Director of Extension Education, JAU, Junagadh |
| 5 | Location | :- | Jamnagar and Devbhumi Dwarka District |
| 6 | Year of Commencement | : | 2019-20 |
| 7. | Experimental Detail/ Methodology | : | The present research study will conducted in jurisdiction of Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Junagadh Agricultural University, Jamnagar. Four talukas will selected purposively where organic farming is being practiced for conduction the present investigation. Three villages will further selected purposively from each selected taluka; where organic farming is being practiced and village wise organic farmers list will prepared. Ten farmers will selected randomly for the study purpose. Thus, overall 120 farmers will selected study purpose and an interview schedule was developed as preferred by farmer time period and data were collected by personal interview method. The data collected by personal interview method were processed, tabulated, classified and analyzed in light of objectives. |

| New | New Technical Project Proposal 2 (Home Science) | | | | | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Title | : | Knowledge of human nutritional practices among the farm women of | | | | | |
| | | | Jamnagar District | | | | | |
| 2 | Background information | : | Health is a precious asset for everyone. It is an essential requirement of all irrespective age, caste, creed, race, religion and economic standard. There is a significant relationship between housing conditions and health. An adequate and safe water supply, disposal of excreta and solid wastes drainage of surface water, facilities for personal and domestic hygiene and sanitary food preparation, control of indoor air pollution, safe handling of things and suitable precautions where the home serves as a work place. Moreover, the health problems are rampant in rural areas, not merely because of lack of medical facilities but because of general poverty, lack of balanced and nutritious diet to large proportion of rural population and moreover lack of knowledge with regard to health and hygiene. Good nutrition is a firm foundation for human happiness, and sound health and skilled performance. It constitutes the most important readily improved environmental influence of health. Even, today 25 percent of our Indian populations are trapped in the viscous circle of poverty, malnutrition and diseases which reduce their work performance nullify al efforts under taken for their development and finally impede over nation's progress. Even though, there are many schemes, programmes, medical services to serve the people, there is a great bulk of illness in our country. The common factors which contribute are personal ignorance, poverty, isolation, lack of resources and lack of knowledge. The overall objective of the study is to bring the awareness to improve the nutritional practices of the farm women. It would also give the information on the suggestions to improve the health and nutrition status | | | | | |
| 3 | Objective | : | among the rural livelihood. ➤ To know the social variables of farm women | | | | | |
| | | | ➤ To study knowledge of farm women on selected nutritional practices | | | | | |
| 4 | Principal Investigator | : | Smt. A. K. Baraiya, Scientist (Home Science), KVK, JAU, Jamnagar | | | | | |
| | Co-investigator | | Dr. K. P. Baraiya, Senior Scientist & Head, KVK, JAU, Jamnagar Shri S. H. Lakhani, Scientist (Agronomy), KVK, JAU, Jamnagar Dr. P. V. Patel, Director of Extension Education, JAU, Junagadh | | | | | |
| 5 | Location | :- | Jamnagar District | | | | | |
| 6 | Year of | : | 2019-20 | | | | | |
| | Commencement | | | | | | | |
| 7. | Experimental Detail/ Methodology | : | The study area of this research programme will be all six blocks viz., Jamnagar, Jodia, Dhrol, Kalavad, Lalpur & Jamjodhpur of Jamnagar District. From each taluka three villages and from selected villages four women will be selected randomly for the study. Thus, total of 120 women will constitute the sample size for this study. For collection of data personal interview technique will be use. Data will be collected with the help of structured interview schedule. Frequencies, percentage and mean percent score will be used for analysing the data statistically. | | | | | |

Annexure - III

Budget - Details of budget utilization (2018-19) up to 31 January 2019

| S. No. | Particulars | Sanctioned | Released | Expenditure |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------|-------------|
| 13.1 | Recurring Contingencies | | | |
| 13.1.1 | Pay & Allowances | 9500000 | 7200000 | 6850659 |
| 13.1.2 | Traveling allowances | 200000 | 50000 | 46923 |
| 13.1.3 | Contingencies | 1050000 | 850000 | 1030092 |
| 13.1 | Total Recurring | 10750000 | 8100000 | 7927674 |
| 13.2 | Non-Recurring Contingencies | | | |
| 13.2.1 | Works | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13.2.2 | Equipment's including SWTL & Furniture | | 0 | 0 |
| 13.2.3 | Vehicle (Four wheeler/Two wheeler, please specify) | 1600000 | 0 | 0 |
| 24.2.4 | Library | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13.2 | Total Non-Recurring | 1600000 | 0 | 0 |
| 13.3 | REVOLVING FUND | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13.4 | GRAND TOTAL (A+B+C) | 12350000 | 8100000 | 7927674 |

Details of Budget Estimate (2019-20) based on proposed action plan

| S. No. | Particulars | | | | | |
|-----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| 14.1 | Recurring Contingencies | | | | | |
| 14.1.1 | Pay & Allowances | 10800000 | | | | |
| 14.1.2 | Traveling allowances | 200000 | | | | |
| 14.1.3 | Contingencies | 2800000 | | | | |
| Α | Stationery, telephone, postage and other expenditure on office running, publication of Newsletter and library maintenance (Purchase of News Paper & Magazines) | 500000 | | | | |
| В | POL, repair of vehicles, tractor and equipments | 300000 | | | | |
| С | Meals/refreshment for trainees (ceiling up to Rs.40/day/trainee be maintained) | 400000 | | | | |
| D | Training material (posters, charts, demonstration material including chemicals etc. required for conducting the training) | 100000 | | | | |
| Ε | Frontline demonstration except oilseeds and pulses (minimum of 30 demonstration in a year) | 500000 | | | | |
| F | On farm testing (on need based, location specific and newly generated information | | | | | |
| | in the major production systems of the area) | 200000 | | | | |
| G | Training of extension functionaries | 300000 | | | | |
| Н | Maintenance of buildings | 400000 | | | | |
| 1 | Establishment of Soil, Plant & Water Testing Laboratory | 80000 | | | | |
| J | Library | 20000 | | | | |
| 14.1 | TOTAL Recurring Contingencies | 16600000 | | | | |
| 14.2 | Non-Recurring Contingencies | | | | | |
| 14.2.1 | Works | 55800000 | | | | |
| 14.2.2 | Equipments including SWTL & Furniture | | | | | |
| 14.2.3 | Vehicle (Four wheeler/Two wheeler, please specify) | 2000000 | | | | |
| 14.2.4 | Library (Purchase of assets like books & journals) | 50000 | | | | |
| 14.2 | TOTAL Non-Recurring Contingencies | 57850000 | | | | |
| 14.3 | REVOLVING FUND | 0 | | | | |
| 14.4 | GRAND TOTAL | 74450000 | | | | |